

On Category Errors, Attribution, and Authorial Non-Role

Purpose

This document establishes a firm interpretive boundary.

It exists to prevent a specific class of misreading: the attribution of salvific, prophetic, messianic, or historically mediating roles to this work, or to its author.

Such readings are not disagreements, critiques, or alternative interpretations. They are **category errors** — applications of meaning frameworks that do not apply to the kind of work this is.

This document does not argue against any belief system. It simply disqualifies a category of interpretation.

1. What This Work Does Not Do

This corpus does **not** introduce structure into the world.

It does not inaugurate a new phase of human development, prescribe a direction for humanity, or mediate a transition between historical epochs.

No intervention is proposed. No transformation is promised. No future state is implied.

The work does not claim novelty of structure. It describes constraints that must already hold for coherent structure of any kind to exist at all.

2. On Structural Description Versus Historical Intervention

Frameworks that assign salvific or messianic meaning rely on a common pattern:

- a privileged agent
- a historical rupture or intervention
- the introduction of new structure
- mediation between a prior and subsequent state

None of these conditions are present here.

This work is descriptive, not interventional. It does not stand between humanity and a future condition. It does not claim to unlock, deliver, or enable an evolutionary step.

To treat a description of constraints as an act of historical mediation is to mistake explanation for intervention.

3. On Misapplied Eschatological Narratives

Some interpretive traditions expect structure to enter history through singular figures or events. When those traditions encounter structural explanation, they may attempt to assimilate it into an existing narrative of successive revelations or "comings."

That narrative form does not apply here.

This work does not participate in a sequence of revelations. It does not complete, extend, or fulfill any prior narrative. It neither affirms nor negates such narratives; it simply operates in a different category.

Applying eschatological expectation to a non-interventional framework is a category mistake.

4. Authorial Role Is Not Structural Role

No role is created by this work, and no role is occupied by its author.

The corpus does not require an interpreter, guide, leader, or representative. It does not grant authority to any person, including its author. It does not establish lineage, succession, or custodianship beyond ordinary stewardship of documents.

Meaning does not flow through an individual. Structure is not transmitted, bestowed, or embodied.

Any attempt to assign the author a transcendent, prophetic, or mediating role is incompatible with the nature of the work itself.

5. Consequences of Category Error

When category errors of this kind occur, they do not merely misread the work; they distort it.

They reintroduce authority where none exists, intervention where none is proposed, and narrative inevitability where only constraint description is present.

Such interpretations are not alternative perspectives within the corpus. They fall outside its scope.

6. Interpretive Boundary

This boundary is not a matter of preference or tone. It is structural.

Interpretations that rely on salvific, messianic, prophetic, or historical-mediation frameworks do not apply to this corpus and should not be used to explain, promote, or critique it.

Disagreement, refutation, or rejection of the work must occur within categories that match its nature: descriptive, structural, and non-interventional.

Closing Statement (Authorial Clarification)

I did not introduce structure into the world.

I did not deliver a message, reveal a truth, or stand in for any historical role. I did not create a framework to guide humanity, improve it, or move it toward a destination.

I reduced a question as far as I could, followed the implications of the only answer I found plausible, and recorded what appeared to follow.

Nothing more is being offered, and nothing else should be inferred.