1 Specification

 $C \in ContractNames$

1.1 Syntax

```
t \in \text{TypeNames}
                                                                                                 x \in \text{Identifiers}
                             n \in \mathbb{Z}
                     ! | any | nonempty
                                                                                                                                                                         (selector quantifiers)
Q
                     q | empty | every
                                                                                                                                                                         (type quantities)
\mathcal{C}
                     option | set | list
                                                                                                                                                                         (collection type cons
T
                     void | bool | nat | \mathcal{C} \tau | \tau \rightsquigarrow \tau | \{\overline{x : \tau}\} | t
                                                                                                                                                                         (base types)
τ
                      QT
                                                                                                                                                                         (types)
\nu
                     n \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{empty} \mid \lambda x : \tau . E
                                                                                                                                                                         (values)
\mathcal{L}
                     x \mid x[x] \mid x.x
                                                                                                                                                                         (locations)
Ε
                     \mathcal{V} \mid \mathcal{L} \mid x.m(\overline{x}) \mid \mathsf{some}(x) \mid f \mathsf{in} \mid x \mid \{\overline{x} : \tau \mapsto x\} \mid \mathsf{let} \mid x : \tau := E \mathsf{in} \mid E \mid \mathsf{if} \mid x \mathsf{then} \mid E \mathsf{else} \mid E
                                                                                                                                                                         (expressions)
S
                    \mathcal{L} | everything | \mathfrak{q} x : \tau s.t. E
                                                                                                                                                                         (selector)
\mathcal{N}
             := \mathcal{L} \mid \text{new } C(\overline{x}) \mid \text{consume}
                                                                                                                                                                         (nodes)
                     \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{N}
F
                                                                                                                                                                         (flows)
Stmt
             := F \mid E \mid \text{revert}(E) \mid \text{try } S \text{ catch}(x:\tau) S \mid \text{if } x \text{ then } S \text{ else } S
                     \operatorname{var} x : \tau := E \mid S; S \mid \operatorname{pack} \mid \operatorname{unpack}(x)
                                                                                                                                                                         (statements)
                      fungible | nonfungible | consumable | asset
M
                                                                                                                                                                         (type declaration mo
\mathcal{D}
                                                                                                                                                                         (field)
             ::=
                     x:\tau
                      type t is \overline{M} T
                                                                                                                                                                         (type declaration)
                      transaction m(\overline{x}:\tau) returns x:\tau do S
                                                                                                                                                                         (transactions)
                     view m(\overline{x:\tau}) returns \tau := E
                                                                                                                                                                         (views)
                     contract C \{ \overline{\mathcal{D}} \}
Con
                                                                                                                                                                         (contracts)
             ::=
                     \overline{\mathsf{Con}} ; S
Prog
           ::=
                                                                                                                                                                         (programs)
```

 $m \in \text{TransactionNames}$

Figure 1: Abstract syntax of LANGUAGE-NAME.

In the surface language, "collection types" (i.e., $QC\tau$ or a transformer) are by default any, but all other types, like nat, are !.

[Some simplification ideas] [Could get rid of selecting by locations and only allowed selecting with quantifiers, and just optimize things like $! x : \tau$ s.t. x = y into a lookup. Also, allowing any type quantity in a selector lets us do away with everything. Would actually be even nicer if we allowed any type quantity to appear in the quantifier, because then we wouldn't even need a special rule for everything.] [We could also get rid of "if" and instead do something like any $x : \tau$ s.t. if b then x = y else f alse]

[Contract types should be consumable assets by default (consuming a contract is a self-destruct?)]

1.2 Statics

```
\Gamma ::= \emptyset \mid \Gamma, x : \tau (type environments)
```

Definition 1. Define $Quant = \{empty, any, !, nonempty, every\}$, and call any $Q \in Quant$ a type quantity. Define empty < any < ! < nonempty < every.

[Eliminate all the locations except for x and then use flows to extract and put stuff back?]

 $modifiers(T) = \overline{M}$ Type Modifiers

$$\mathbf{modifiers}(T) = \begin{cases} \overline{M} & \text{if } (\mathbf{type} \ T \ \mathbf{is} \ \overline{M} \ T) \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

τ asset Asset Types

The syntax for record "fields" and type environments is the same...could just use it

$$(\mathcal{Q}\ T)$$
 asset $\iff \mathcal{Q} \neq \text{empty}$ and (asset $\in \text{modifiers}(T)$ or $(T = \tau \leadsto \sigma \text{ and } \sigma \text{ asset})$ or $(T = \mathcal{C}\ \tau \text{ and } \tau \text{ asset})$ or $(T = \{\overline{y}: \overline{\sigma}\} \text{ and } \exists x: \tau \in \overline{y}: \overline{\sigma}.(\tau \text{ asset})))$

[It should be the case that a transformer can have an output of an asset type if and only if it has an input asset type (and it the case of curried transformers, that some input type is an asset).]

τ consumable Consumable Types

 $(Q\ T)$ consumable \iff consumable \in modifiers(T) or $\neg((Q\ T)$ asset)

```
\begin{split} \operatorname{demote}(\tau) &= \sigma \quad \boxed{\operatorname{demote}_*(T_1) = T_2} \quad \mathbf{Type\ Demotion} \\ \operatorname{demote}(\mathcal{Q}\ T) &= \mathcal{Q}\ \operatorname{demote}_*(T) \\ \operatorname{demote}_*(\operatorname{void}) &= \operatorname{void} \\ \operatorname{demote}_*(\operatorname{nat}) &= \operatorname{nat} \\ \operatorname{demote}_*(\operatorname{bool}) &= \operatorname{bool} \\ \operatorname{demote}_*(t) &= \operatorname{demote}_*(T) \qquad \text{where type $t$ is $\overline{M}$ $T$ and asset $\in \overline{M}$} \\ \operatorname{demote}_*(\mathcal{C}\ \tau) &= \mathcal{C}\ \operatorname{demote}(\tau) \\ \operatorname{demote}_*(\{\overline{x}:\overline{\tau}\}) &= \left\{\overline{x}: \operatorname{demote}(\tau)\right\} \\ \operatorname{demote}_*(\tau \leadsto \sigma) &= \operatorname{demote}(\tau) \leadsto \operatorname{demote}(\sigma) \end{split}
```

 $decls(C) = \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ Contract Declarations

$$decls(C) = \overline{\mathcal{D}}$$
 where (contract $C \{\overline{\mathcal{D}}\}$)

 $fields(C) = \Gamma$ Contract Fields

$$fields(C) = \{this. f : \tau \mid f : \tau \in decls(C)\}$$

Definition 2. Let $Q, R \in Quant$. Define the commutative operator \oplus , called combine, as the unique function $Quant^2 \rightarrow Quant$ satisfying

$$every \oplus \mathcal{R} = every$$
 $empty \oplus \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}$
 $nonempty \oplus \mathcal{R} = nonempty$
 $! \oplus \mathcal{R} = nonempty$
 $if \mathcal{R} \neq empty$
 $any \oplus any = any$

Similarly, define the operator Θ , called split, as the unique function $Quant^2 \to Quant$ such that

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{Q}\ominus \mathit{empty} = \mathcal{Q} \\ \mathit{empty} \ominus \mathcal{R} = \mathit{empty} \\ !\ominus \mathcal{R} = \mathit{empty} \quad \mathit{if} \, \mathcal{R} \geq ! \\ \mathit{nonempty} \ominus \mathcal{R} = \mathit{any} \quad \mathit{if} \, \mathcal{R} \neq \mathit{empty} \\ \mathcal{Q}\ominus \mathit{any} = \mathit{any} \\ \mathcal{Q}\ominus \mathit{every} = \mathit{empty} \\ \mathit{every} \ominus \mathcal{Q} = \mathit{every} \end{array}$$

Note that we write $(Q\ T)\oplus \mathcal{R}$ to mean $(Q\oplus \mathcal{R})\ T$ and similarly $(Q\ T)\ominus \mathcal{R}$ to mean $(Q\ominus \mathcal{R})\ T$. $Q\oplus \mathcal{R}$ represents the quantity present when flowing \mathcal{R} of something to a storage already containing \mathcal{Q} . $Q\ominus \mathcal{R}$ represents the quantity left over after flowing \mathcal{R} from a storage containing \mathcal{Q} .

update $(\Gamma, \mathcal{S}, \tau)$ Type environment modification

$$\mathbf{update}(\Gamma, S, \tau) = \begin{cases} \Delta, S : \tau & \text{if } \Gamma = \Delta, S : \sigma \\ \Gamma & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Definition 3. We can consider a type environment Γ as a function Identifiers \to Types $\cup \{\bot\}$ as follows:

$$\Gamma(x) = \begin{cases} \tau & \text{if } x : \tau \in \Gamma \\ \bot & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We write $dom(\Gamma)$ to mean $\{x \in Identifiers \mid \Gamma(x) \neq \bot\}$.

Definition 4. Let Q and R be type quantities, T_Q and T_R base types, and Γ and Δ type environments. Define the following orderings, which make types and type environments into join-semilattices. For type quantities, define the partial order Γ by

$$Q \sqsubseteq any$$
; every $\sqsubseteq nonempty$; ! $\sqsubseteq nonempty$

For types, define the partial order $\leq by$

$$Q T_O \leq R T_R \iff T_O = T_R \text{ and } Q \sqsubseteq R$$

For type environments, define the partial order $\leq by$

$$\Gamma \leq \Delta \iff \forall x. \Gamma(x) \leq \Delta(x)$$

 $\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash p : \mathsf{bool} \dashv \Gamma, x : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{everything}} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash p : \mathsf{bool} \dashv \Gamma, x : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\mathsf{q} \; x : \tau \; \mathsf{s.t.} \; p) \; \mathsf{selects}_{\mathsf{q}} \; \tau} \; \mathsf{Select-Quant}$

$\Gamma \vdash S \text{ wf } \dashv \Delta$ Statement Well-formedness

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash S :: \tau \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{Q}} \sigma \qquad \Gamma \vdash f \ \mathtt{selects}_{\mathcal{R}} \ \mathtt{demote}(\sigma)}{\Delta = \mathtt{update}(\Gamma, S, \Gamma(S) \ominus \mathcal{R}) \qquad \Delta \vdash D :: \sigma \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}} \pi}{\Gamma \vdash (S \overset{s}{\to} D) \ \mathtt{wf} \dashv \mathtt{update}(\Delta, D, \Delta(D) \oplus \min(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}))} \ \mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{F}}\text{-}\mathsf{Flow}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E : \tau \dashv \Delta \qquad \Delta, x : \tau \vdash S \text{ wf } \dashv \Xi, x : \sigma \qquad \neg (\sigma \text{ asset})}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{var } x : \tau := E \text{ in } S) \text{ wf } \dashv \Xi} \text{ } W_{\text{F-Var-Def}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash x : \mathtt{bool} \dashv \Gamma \qquad \Gamma \vdash S_1 \ \mathtt{wf} \dashv \Delta \qquad \Gamma \vdash S_2 \ \mathtt{wf} \dashv \Xi}{\Gamma \vdash (\mathtt{if} \ x \ \mathtt{then} \ S_1 \ \mathtt{else} \ S_2) \ \mathtt{wf} \dashv \Delta \lor \Xi} \ W_{\mathtt{F}}\text{-}I_{\mathtt{F}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash S_1 \text{ wf } \dashv \Delta \qquad \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash S_2 \text{ wf } \dashv \Xi, x : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{try } S_1 \text{ catch } (x : \tau) S_2) \text{ wf } \dashv \Delta \vee \Xi} \text{ } W_{\text{F-Try}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash E : \tau \dashv \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \text{revert}(E) \text{ wf } \dashv \Gamma} \text{ } W_{\text{F-Revert}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E : \tau \dashv \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash E \text{ wf } \dashv \Gamma} \text{ W}_{\text{F-Expr}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash S_1 \text{ wf } \dashv \Delta \qquad \Delta \vdash S_2 \text{ wf } \dashv \Xi}{\Gamma \vdash (S_1; S_2) \text{ wf } \dashv \Xi} \text{ W}_{\text{F-Seq}}$$

$$\frac{\texttt{this}.f:\tau\in \texttt{fields}(C)}{\Gamma,\texttt{this}:C\vdash \texttt{unpack}(f)\ \texttt{wf} \dashv \Gamma,\texttt{this}:C,\texttt{this}.f:\tau}\ \texttt{W}_{\texttt{F}}\text{-}\texttt{U}_{\texttt{NPACK}}$$

$$\frac{(\Gamma|_{\mathsf{dom}(\mathtt{fields}(C))}) \leq \mathtt{fields}(C)}{\Gamma, \mathtt{this}: C \vdash \mathtt{pack} \ \mathsf{wf} \dashv \Delta, \mathtt{this}: C} \ \mathsf{Wf-Pack}$$

$\vdash_C \mathcal{D}$ wf Declaration Well-formedness

$$\frac{\Gamma = \mathtt{this} : C, \mathtt{fields}(C), \overline{x} : \overline{\tau} \qquad \Gamma \vdash E : \sigma \dashv \Gamma}{\vdash_C (\mathtt{view} \ m(\overline{x} : \overline{\tau}) \ \mathtt{returns} \ \sigma := E) \ \mathtt{wf}} \ \mathsf{Wf-View}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{this}: C, \mathsf{fields}(C), \overline{x}: \overline{\tau}, y : \mathsf{empty} \ T \vdash S \ \mathsf{wf} \dashv \Delta, \mathsf{this}: C, y : \mathcal{Q} \ T}{\mathsf{dom}(\mathtt{fields}(C)) \cap \mathsf{dom}(\Delta) = \emptyset} \qquad \forall x : \tau \in \Delta. \neg (\tau \ \mathsf{asset})} \qquad \mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{F}} \mathsf{-} \mathsf{Tx}} \qquad \frac{\mathsf{dom}(\mathtt{fields}(C)) \cap \mathsf{dom}(\Delta) = \emptyset}{\vdash_{C} (\mathsf{transaction} \ m(\overline{x}: \overline{\tau}) \ \mathsf{returns} \ y : \mathcal{Q} \ T \ \mathsf{do} \ S) \ \mathsf{wf}} \qquad \mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{F}} \mathsf{-} \mathsf{Tx}} \qquad \frac{\mathsf{do}(x) \cap \mathsf{v}}{\vdash_{C} (x : \tau) \ \mathsf{wf}} \mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{F}} \mathsf{-} \mathsf{Field}} \mathsf{v}$$

$$\frac{T \text{ asset} \implies \text{asset} \in \overline{M}}{\vdash_{C} (\text{type } t \text{ is } \overline{M} \text{ } T) \text{ wf}} \text{ Wf-Type}$$

Con wf | Contract Well-formedness

$$\frac{\forall d \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}.(\vdash_C d \text{ wf})}{(\text{contract } C \{\overline{\mathcal{D}}\}) \text{ wf}} \text{ Wf-Con}$$

Prog wf | Program Well-formedness

$$\frac{\forall C \in \overline{\mathsf{Con}}.C \ \mathsf{wf} \qquad \emptyset \vdash S \dashv \emptyset}{(\overline{\mathsf{Con}};S) \ \mathsf{wf}} \ \mathsf{WF\text{-}Con}$$

[Asset retention theorem?] [Resource accessiblity?]

[What guarantees should we provide (no errors except for flowing a resource that doesn't exist in the source/already exists in the destination)?]

2 Introduction

LANGUAGE-NAME is a DSL for implementing programs which manage resources, targeted at writing smart contracts.

2.1 Contributions

We make the following main contributions:

- Safety guarantees: similar to [or maybe just exactly] linear types[or maybe uniqueness types? need to read more about this], preventing accidental resource loss or duplication. Additionally, provides some amount of reentrancy safety.
 - We can evaluate these by formalizing the language and proving them; the formalization is something that would be nice to do anyway.
- **Simplicity**: The language is quite simple—it makes writing typical smart contract programs easier and shorter, because many common pitfalls in Solidity are automatically handled by the language, such as overflow/underflow, checking of balances, short address attacks, etc.
 - We can evaluate these by comparing LOC, cyclomatic complexity, etc. Not sure what
 the right metric would be. [Or how cyclomatic complexity would work exactly in this
 language.]
 - We can also evaluate via a user study, but that will take a long(er) time.
- [Optimizations?] Some of the Solidity contracts are actually inefficient because:
 - They use lots of modifiers which repeat checks (see reference implementation of ERC-721).
 - 2. They tend to use arrays to represent sets. Maybe this is more efficient for very small sets, but checking containment is going to be much faster with a mapping (X => bool) eventually.
 - We can evaluate this by profiling or a simple opcode count (which is not only a proxy for performance, but also means that deploying the contract will be cheaper).

3 Language Intro

The basic state-changing construct in the language is a *flow*. A flow describes a transfer of a *resource* from one *storage* to another. A *transaction* is a sequence of statements.

Each flow has a *source*, a *destination*, and a *selector*. The source and destination are two storages which hold a resource, and the selector describes which part of the resource in the source should be transferred to the destination. A flow may optionally have a *name*.

Note that all flows fail if they can't be performed. For example, a flow of fungible resources fails if there is enough of the resource, and a flow of a nonfungible resource fails if the selected value doesn't exist in the source location.

NOTE: If we wanted to be "super pure", we can implement preconditions with just flows by doing something like:

contractCreator = msg.sender } --[true]-> consume

This works because { contractCreator = msg.sender }: set bool (specifically, a singleton), so if contractCreator = msg.sender doesn't evaluate to true, then we will fail to consume true from it. [I don't think actually doing this is a good idea; at least, not in the surface language. Maybe it would simplify the compiler and/or formalization, but it's interesting/entertaining.]

The DAO attack We can prevent the DAO attack (the below is from https://consensys.github.io/smart-contract-best-practices/known_attacks/):

```
function withdrawBalance() public {
    uint amountToWithdraw = userBalances[msg.sender];
    // At this point, the caller's code is executed, and can call withdrawBalance again
    require(msg.sender.call.value(amountToWithdraw)(""));
    userBalances[msg.sender] = 0;
}
```

In LANGUAGE-NAME, we would write this as:

```
transaction withdrawBalance():
```

userBalances[msg.sender] --> msg.sender.balance

Not only is this simpler, but the compiler can automatically place the actual call that does the transfer last, meaning that the mistake could simply never be made.

4 Random Thoughts

[Ignore this for now, just some stuff for fun that may or may not end up being useful.] [At some point, maybe for antoher language, would like to figure out the more general type quantity thing where you can freely combine set and list and nonempty and one, etc.] [We could also add sum types and "sum type quantities" so you can say \times : Left (a + b). Something like $\{\ell, r\}$ where ℓ and r are state specifiers. Probably would work best with labeled sum types.] [Add at "at most one" quantity, which makes the type quantities into a group?] [Free group of quantities list set and option makes teh type quantities we care about? What are the inverses?]

$${\tt nonempty} = {\tt option}^{-1}$$

Definition 5. [Don't think this really has a good name] A resource \mathcal{R} is a tuple $(R, +, 0, \leq, -)$ where

- (i) (R, +, 0) is a monoid.
- (ii) (R, \leq) is a partial order that is compatible with (R, +, 0). That is, for any $x, y \in R$ such that $x \leq y$, and for any $z \in R$, we have $x + z \leq y + z$.
- (iii) $-: R \times R \to R$ is a function so that for any $x, y \in R$ such that $y \le x$, we have (x y) + y = x.

Examples

- 1. The natural numbers with the standard operations is a resource $(\mathbb{N},+,0,\leq,-)$, where is saturating subtraction: n-m=0 if m>n.
- 2. For any set A, we can build the resource $(\mathcal{P}(A), \cup, \emptyset, \subseteq, \setminus)$, where $X \setminus Y$ is the set difference operation.
- 3. Similarly, given any set A, we can build the resource $(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(A), \cup, \emptyset, \subseteq, \setminus)$; this resource is called the *nonfungible resource on* A, written nf(A).
- 4. [I have no idea if this is useful, but it's fun] The set of strings on an alphabet Σ can be made into the *prefix resource* $(\Sigma^*, \varepsilon, \cdot, \leq_p, -_p)$ or the *suffix resource* $(\Sigma^*, \varepsilon, \cdot, \leq_s, -_s)$, where \cdot is concatenation, $x \leq_p y$ if x is a prefix of y and similarly $x \leq_s y$ if x is a suffix of y. The functions $-_p$ and $-_s$ are defined as follows:

$$(x \cdot y) -_{p} x = y$$

and

$$(x \cdot y) -_s y = x$$

Definition 6. For any two resources \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} , their direct product, $\mathcal{R} \oplus \mathcal{S}$ is $(R \times S, +_{RS}, (0_R, 0_S), \leq_{RS}, -_{RS})$, where

$$(r_1, s_1) +_{RS} (r_2, s_2) := (r_1 +_R r_2, s_1 +_S s_2)$$

 $(r_1, s_1) -_{RS} (r_2, s_2) := (r_1 -_R r_2, s_1 -_S s_2)$
 $(r_1, s_1) \leq_{RS} (r_2, s_2) :\iff r_1 \leq_R r_2 \land s_1 \leq_S s_2$

Definition 7. Let \mathcal{R} be a resource and let A be a set. The exponential resource \mathcal{R}^A [I suspect this would be an exponential, anyway] is defined so

$$\mathcal{R}^A := (R^A, +, \mathbf{0}, \leq, -)$$

where for $f, g \in R^A$, we define

$$0(x) := 0$$

$$(f+g)(x) := f(x) + g(x)$$

$$f \le g : \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in A. f(x) \le g(x)$$

$$(f-g)(x) := f(x) - g(x)$$

Note that f - g is only defined when $g \le f$.