#### 2.1.1

- a) True
- b) False
- c) False
- d) True
- e) False
- f) False
- g) True
- h) False

# 2.1.5

**Proof.** Let  $T: P_2(\mathbb{R}) \to P_3(\mathbb{R})$  defined by  $T(f(x)) = x \cdot f(x) + f'(x)$ . Let  $f, g \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$T(f+g) = x(f(x)+g(x)) + f'(x) + g'(x) = xf(x) + f'(x) + xg(x) + g'(x) = T(f) + T(g)$$

and

$$T(cf) = x(c \cdot f(x)) + cf'(x) = c(xf(x) + f'(x)) = cT(f).$$

Therefore T is a linear transformation.

$$\begin{split} \beta_{N(T)} &= \{0\} \\ \beta_{R(T)} &= \left\{x, x^2 + 1, x^3\right\} \\ &\implies \dim(R(T)) = 3 \end{split}$$

Since  $N(T) = \{0\}$ , T is one-to-one but not onto since  $\operatorname{rank}(T) \neq \dim(P_3(\mathbb{R}))$ .

### 2.1.9

- 1.  $T(0,0) = (1,0) \neq (0,0)$
- **2.**  $cT(a_1, a_2) = (ca_1ca_1^2) \neq (ca_1, c^2a_1^2) = T(ca_1, ca_2)$
- 3.  $T(2 \cdot \frac{\pi}{2}, 0) = (0, 0) \neq (2, 0) = 2 \cdot T(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0)$
- 4.  $T((1,0)+(-1,0))=(0,0)\neq(2,0)=T(1,0)+T(-1,0)$
- 5.  $T(0,0) = (1,0) \neq (0,0)$

# 2.1.15

Since the only function when integrated equals zero is the zero function itself. Therefore  $N(T) = \{0\}$ , therefore T is one-to-one. Note as well that

$$T(a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \ldots + a_2 x + a_1) = \frac{a_n}{n+1} x^{n+1} + \frac{a_{n-1}}{n-1} x^n + \ldots + \frac{a_2}{2} x^2 + a_1 x$$

Since there is no constant term in the output, all constant polynomials dont have a corresponding polynomial that under T would equal it. Therefore T cannot be onto.

# 2.1.17

### Part A

Since rank  $T \leq \dim V < \dim W$ , rank  $T < \dim W$  and therefore T is not onto.

### Part B

Since nullity  $T = \dim V - \operatorname{rank} T \ge \dim V - \dim W > 0$ ,  $N(T) \ne \{0\}$  and therefore T cannot be one-to-one.

#### 2.1.22

For  $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ , let a = T(1,0,0), b = T(0,1,0), c = T(0,0,1). Note then that

$$T(x, y, z) = xT(1, 0, 0) + yT(0, 1, 0) + zT(0, 0, 1) = ax + by + cz$$

Now generally:

**Theorem 0.1**. Let  $T: \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}$  be linear. Then there exists scalars  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots a_nx_n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $T: \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}$  be linear. Let  $e_i$  denote the vector where the *i*th position is one and all other's are zero. Let  $a_i = T(e_i)$  where  $1 \le i \le n$ . Note than that

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i T(e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i.$$

#### 2.2.1

- a) True
- b) True
- c) False
- d) True

- e) True
- f) False

### 2.2.4

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

#### 2.2.10

$$[T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

### 2.2.14

**Proof.** Let  $V=P(\mathbb{R})$  and  $T_j(f)=f^{(j)}(x)$ . Let  $n\in\mathbb{N}$  and assume that  $\sum_{j=0}^n a_iT_i=0$ . Note that  $T_j(x^n)=\frac{n!}{(n-j)!}x^{n-j}$ . It is clear that for different j, the results are linearly independent since the degrees are different. Therefore  $\sum_{j=0}^n a_iT_i(x^n)=0$  implies that  $a_i=0$  for all i. Hence  $\{T_1,T_2,\ldots,T_n\}$  is linearly independent.

### 2.2.16

**Proof.** Let V and W be vector spaces such that  $\dim V = \dim W = n$  and let  $T: V \to W$  be linear. Let  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots u_m\}$  be a basis for N(T) and extend it to be a basis  $\beta = \{u_1, \dots, u_m, v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, \dots v_n\}$  of V. Examine the linear independent of the set  $\{T(v_{m+1}), T(v_{m+2}), \dots, T(v_n)\}$ . Assume that

$$a_{m+1}T(v_{m+1}) + a_{m+2}T(v_{m+2}) + \ldots + a_nT(v_n) = 0.$$

Then

$$T(a_{m+2}v_{m+1} + a_{m+2}v_{m+2} + \ldots + a_nv_n) = 0.$$

Therefore  $a_{m+2}v_{m+1} + a_{m+2}v_{m+2} + \ldots + a_nv_n \in N(T)$  and therefore can be expressed as linear combination of basis vectors of N(T). That is

$$\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} a_{i}v_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i}u_{i} \implies \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i}u_{i} - \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} a_{i}v_{i} = w = 0.$$

However, note that w is a linear combination of the basis  $\beta$ . Therefore the summation is linearly independent meaning all coefficients, and therefore all  $a_i$ , must equal 0. Therefore  $\{T(v_{m+1}), T(v_{m+2}), \ldots, T(v_n)\}$  is linearly independent and hence can be extend to

be a basis  $\gamma$  of W with  $\gamma = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m, T(v_{m+1}), T(v_{m+2}), \dots, T(v_n)\}$ . Therefore

$$\left[T
ight]_{eta}^{\gamma}=egin{pmatrix}0&&&&&&\ &\ddots&&&&&\ &&0&&&&&\ &&&1&&&&\ &&&\ddots&&&&\ &&&&\ddots&&&\ &&&&&1\end{pmatrix}$$

which is a diagonal matrix.

# 2.3.3

### Part A

$$\begin{split} [U]_{\beta}^{\gamma} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ [T]_{\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ [UT]_{\beta}^{\gamma} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 6 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & -8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \checkmark \end{split}$$

# 2.3.9

Take T(a, b) = (0, a) and U(a, b) = (a, 0). Note then that

$$UT(a,b) = U(T(a,b)) = U(0,a) = (0,0)$$

but that

$$TU(a,b) = T(U(a,b)) = T(a,0) = (0,a) \neq (0,0)$$

Therefore by using the standard basis for  $\mathbb{F}^2$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

# 2.3.11

**Proof.** Let V be a vector space and  $T: V \to V$  be linear.

- $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $T^2 = T_0$ . Let  $w \in R(T)$ . Then  $\exists v \in V$  such that w = T(v). Note than that T(w) = T(T(v)) = 0. Therefore  $w \in N(T)$ , meaning  $R(T) \subseteq N(T)$ .
- $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that  $R(T)\subseteq N(T)$ . That is, an element  $w\in R(T)$  is in N(T) meaning T(w)=0. Since  $w\in R(T)$ ,  $\exists v\in V$  such that T(v)=w. Hence T(T(v))=0 meaning  $T^2=T_0$ .

# 2.3.16

Let *V* be a finite dimensional vector space and  $T: V \to V$  be linear.

### Part A

**Proof.** Assume that  $\operatorname{rank} T = \operatorname{rank} T^2$ . First, note that  $N(T) \subseteq N(T^2)$  since for  $x \in T(V)$ ,  $T^2(x) = T(0) = 0$  hence  $x \in N(T^2)$ . Furthermore,  $N(T) = N(T^2)$  since nullity  $T^2 = \dim V - \operatorname{rank} T^2 = \dim V - \operatorname{rank} T = \operatorname{nullity} T$ . Let  $v \in N(T) \cap R(T)$ . Then  $v \in R(T)$  meaning there is  $u \in V$  such that T(u) = v. Since  $v \in N(T)$  as well, T(T(u)) = T(v) = 0, meaning  $u \in N(T^2)$  and therefore  $u \in N(T)$ . This means that T(u) = 0 = v and therefore v = 0, hence  $R(T) \cap N(T) = \{0\}$ .

Note that since  $R(T) \cap N(T) = \{0\}$ , it follows that  $R(T) \oplus N(T)$  is well defined and that  $R(T) \oplus N(T) = R(T) + N(T)$ . Since  $R(T) \subseteq V$  and  $N(T) \subseteq V$ ,  $R(T) + N(T) \subseteq V$ . Note that  $\dim(R(T) + N(T)) = \operatorname{rank} T + \operatorname{nullity} T - \dim(R(T) \cap N(t)) = \operatorname{rank} T + \operatorname{nullity} T + 0 = \dim V$ . Therefore it follows that  $V = R(T) \oplus N(T)$ .

### Part B

**Proof.** Note that  $R(T^{k+1}) \subseteq R(T^k)$  for any k since  $v \in R(T^{k+1})$  means there is some  $a \in V$  such that  $T^{k+1}(a) = T^k(T(a)) = v$  meaning  $v \in R(T^k)$ . Assume towards contradiction that there is no  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $R(T^k) \subseteq R(T^{k+1})$ . Then  $R(T^{k+1}) \subset R(T^k)$  meaning rank  $T^{k+1} < \operatorname{rank} T^k$  for all k. This gives rise to an infinite chain

$$0 \le \ldots < \operatorname{rank} T^3 < \operatorname{rank} T^2 < \operatorname{rank} T$$

However, since rank  $T \leq \dim V$ , it follows that there is an infinite chain of distinct powers of T between 0 and  $\dim V$  which is only finite. Therefore there must be some  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $R(T^k) \subset R(T^{k+1})$  and therefore  $R(T^k) = R(T^{k+1})$ . Hence rank  $T^k = \operatorname{rank} T^{k+1}$ . By using the argument from part A and using the fact that rank  $T^k = \operatorname{rank} T^{k+1}$  instead of rank  $T = \operatorname{rank} T^2$ , it will follow that  $V = R(T^k) \oplus N(T^k)$ .