

**4.2.1**

- a)  $(231)_2 = 1110\ 0111$   
 b)  $(4532)_2 = 1\ 0001\ 1011\ 0100$   
 c)  $(97644)_2 = 1\ 0111\ 1101\ 0110\ 1100$

**4.2.3**

- a)  $(1\ 1111)_2 = 2^5 - 1 = 31$   
 b)  $(10\ 0000\ 0001)_2 = 2^0 + 2^9 = 513$   
 c)  $(1\ 0101\ 0101)_2 = 2^0 + 2^2 + 2^4 + 2^6 + 2^8 = 341$   
 d)  $(110\ 1001\ 0001\ 0000)_2 = 2^4 + 2^8 + 2^{11} + 2^{13} + 2^{14} = 26896$

**4.2.5**

- a)  $(572)_8 = 101111010$                       b)  $(1604)_8 = 11\ 1000\ 0100$   
 c)  $(423)_8 = 1\ 0001\ 0011$                       d)  $(2417)_8 = 101\ 0000\ 1111$

**4.2.7**

- a)  $(80E)_{16} = 1000\ 0000\ 1110$   
 b)  $(135AB)_{16} = 1\ 0011\ 1010\ 1011$   
 c)  $(ABBA)_{16} = 1010\ 1011\ 1011\ 1010$   
 d)  $(DEFACED)_{16} = 1101\ 1110\ 1111\ 1010\ 1100\ 1110\ 1101$

**4.2.9**

$$(ABCDEF)_{16} = 1010\ 1011\ 1100\ 1101\ 1110\ 1111.$$

**4.2.11**

$$(1011\ 0111\ 1011)_2 = (B7B)_{16}.$$

**4.3.1**

- a)  $21 = 3 \cdot 7 \implies$  not prime                      b) 29 is prime  
 c) 71 is prime    d) 97 is prime  
 e)  $111 = 3 \cdot 37 \implies$  not prime                      f)  $143 = 11 \cdot 13 \implies$  not prime

**4.3.3**

a)  $88 = 8 \cdot 11 = 2^3 \cdot 11$

b)  $126 = 2 \cdot 63 = 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$

c)  $729 = 3 \cdot 243 = 3^2 \cdot 81 = 3^2 \cdot 3^4 = 3^6$

d)  $1001 = 11 \cdot 91 = 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$

e)  $1111 = 11 \cdot 101$

f)  $909,090 = 2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 37$

**4.3.5**

The prime factorization of  $10!$  is the product of the prime factorizations of the integers  $\leq 10$ . Therefore

$$10! = 2^{(1+2+3+1+1)} \cdot 3^{(1+2+1)} \cdot 5^{(1+1)} \cdot 7 = 2^8 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7.$$

**4.3.15**

Since  $30 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$  its all integers below 30 that do not have any of those as prime factors, hence

$$1, 7, 11, 13, 17, 23.$$

**4.3.17**

a) Yes they are pairwise relatively prime

b) No since  $\gcd(15, 21) = 3 \neq 1$ 

c) Yes they are pairwise relatively prime

d) Yes they are pairwise relatively prime

**4.3.19**

We prove via contraposition.

**Proof.** Assume that  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  is not prime. Then  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $ab = n$  and both  $a, b > 1$ . Note then that

$$2^n - 1 = 2^{ab} - 1 = (2^a - 1)(2^{a(b-1)} + 2^{a(b-2)} + \dots + 2^a + 1).$$

Since  $a > 1$ , then  $2^a > 2 \implies 2^a - 1 > 1$ . Since  $b > 1$  the right hand side of the factorization is also guaranteed to be larger than 1. Therefore  $2^n - 1$  factors into two integers larger than 1, meaning it is must be not prime. ■

**4.3.21**

a)  $\phi(4) = \#\{1, 3\} = 2$

b)  $\phi(10) = \#\{1, 3, 7, 9\} = 4$

c)  $\phi(13) = \#\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\} = 12$

**4.3.23**

There are  $p^k$  candidates to consider. Since  $p$  is prime, any number is coprime to it except for the powers of  $p$  up to  $p^k$ . There are  $p^{k-1}$  powers of  $p$  less than or equal to  $p^k$  meaning

$$\phi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1}.$$

**4.3.25**

a)  $3^5 \cdot 5^3 = 30,375$

b) 1

c)  $23^{17}$

d)  $41 \cdot 43 \cdot 53$

e) 1

f) 1111

**4.3.27**

a)  $2^{11} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^9 \cdot 7^3$

b)  $2^9 \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^5 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$

c)  $23^{31}$

d)  $41 \cdot 43 \cdot 53$

e)  $2^{12} \cdot 3^{13} \cdot 5^{17} \cdot 7^{21}$

f) Undefined

**4.3.33**

a) Since  $18 = 1(12) + 6$  and  $12 = 2(6) + 0$ , the last non zero remainder is 6 and hence  $\gcd(12, 18) = 6$ .

b) Using the Euclidean Algorithm:

$$201 = 1(111) + 90$$

$$111 = 1(90) + 21$$

$$90 = 4(21) + 6$$

$$21 = 3(6) + 3$$

$$6 = 2(3) + 0$$

Since the last non-zero remainder was 3,  $\gcd(201, 111) = 3$

c) Using the Euclidean Algorithm:

$$1331 = 1(1001) + 330$$

$$1001 = 3(330) + 11$$

$$330 = 11(33) + 0$$

Since the last non-zero remainder was 11,  $\gcd(1331, 1001) = 11$

d) Using the Euclidean Algorithm:

$$54321 = 4(12345) + 4941$$

$$12345 = 2(4941) + 2463$$

$$4941 = 2(2463) + 15$$

$$2463 = 164(15) + 3$$

$$15 = 5(3) + 0$$

Since the last non-zero remainder was 3,  $\gcd(12345, 54321) = 3$

e) Using the Euclidean Algorithm:

$$5040 = 5(1000) + 401000 \qquad = 40(25) + 0$$

Since the last non-zero remainder was 40,  $\gcd(1000, 5040) = 40$

f) Using the Euclidean Algorithm:

$$9888 = 1(6060) + 3828$$

$$6060 = 1(3828) + 2232$$

$$3828 = 1(2232) + 1596$$

$$2232 = 1(1596) + 636$$

$$1596 = 2(636) + 324$$

$$636 = 1(324) + 312$$

$$324 = 1(312) + 12$$

$$312 = 26(12) + 0$$

Since the last non-zero remainder was 12,  $\gcd(9888, 6060) = 12$

### 4.3.39

a)  $1 = 11 - 10$

b)  $1 = 21(21) - 10(44)$

c)  $12 = 48 - 36$

d)  $1 = 13(55) - 21(34)$

e)  $3 = 11(213) - 20(117)$

f)  $223 = 0 + 223$

g)  $1 = 37(2347) - 706(123)$

h)  $2 = 1128(3454) - 835(4666)$

### 4.4.1

$$15(7) \equiv 105 \equiv 26(4) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{24}.$$

### 4.4.3

$$4(7) \equiv 28 \equiv 3(9) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}.$$

### 4.4.5

a) Since  $1 = 9 - 2(4)$ , we have  $-2 \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$  as the inverse of 4

b) Since  $1 = 52(19) - 7(141)$ , we have 52 as the inverse of 19

c) Since  $1 = 34(55) - 21(89)$ , we have 34 as the inverse of 55

d) Since  $1 = 73(89) - 28(232)$ , we have 73 as the inverse of 89

### 4.4.9

The solution will be  $5 \cdot 4^{-1} \equiv 5 \cdot 7 \equiv 35 \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$

**4.4.11**

- a)  $x \equiv 67 \pmod{141}$
- b)  $x \equiv 88 \pmod{89}$
- c)  $x \equiv 146 \pmod{232}$

**4.4.15**

**Proof.** Let  $m' = \frac{m}{\gcd(c, m)}$ . Since all shared factors between  $c$  and  $m$  are removed from  $m$ ,  $m'$  is coprime to  $c$ . Note that  $m$  divides  $ac - bc = (a - b)c$  and that  $m'$  divides it as well. Therefore since  $c$  and  $m'$  are coprime, it follows  $m'$  must divide  $a - b$ . Therefore  $a \equiv b \pmod{m'}$ . ■

**4.4.21**

We can construct the solution

$$x = 1(3)(5)(11)(1) + 2(2)(5)(11)(2) + 3(2)(3)(11)(1) + 4(2)(3)(5)(7).$$

Therefore the solutions are all of the form

$$x \equiv 323 \pmod{330}.$$

**4.4.37**

- a)  $2^{340} \equiv (2^{10})^{34} \equiv (2^{11-1})^{34} \equiv 1^{34} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$
- b)  $2^{340} \equiv 32^{68} \equiv 1^{68} \equiv 1 \pmod{31}$
- c)  $2^{340} \equiv 1 \cdot 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{31 \cdot 11}$  and  $31 \cdot 11 = 341$

**4.5.15**

The check digit is 4

**4.5.17**

The ISBN is 125967651X. Note that

$$1(1) + 2(2) + 5(3) + 9(4) + 6(5) + 7(6) + 6(7) + 5(8) + 1(9) + 10(10) = 319 \equiv 0 \pmod{11}.$$

Therefore the ISBN is valid.

**4.5.21**

- a) The code cannot be recovered
- b)  $Q = 5$
- c)  $Q = 7$
- d)  $Q = 8$

## 5.1.3

- a)  $P(1)$  is the statement that  $1^2 = \frac{1(1+1)(2(1)+1)}{6}$   
 b)  $P(1)$  is true since  $1^2 = 1 = \frac{6}{6} = \frac{1(2)(3)}{6} = \frac{1(1+1)(2(1)+1)}{6}$   
 c)  $P(k)$ , that is  $1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + (k-1)^2 + k^2 = \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6}$   
 d)  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$   
 e)

**Proof.** Consider the sum

$$1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + (k-1)^2 + k^2 + (k+1)^2.$$

By the inductive hypothesis

$$1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + (k-1)^2 + k^2 + (k+1)^2 = \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + (k+1)^2.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + (k+1)^2 &= \frac{2k^3 + 3k^2 + k}{6} + \frac{k^2 + 2k + 1}{6} \\ &= \frac{2k^3 + 4k^2 + 3k + 1}{6} \\ &= \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)}{6} \end{aligned}$$

we have what we sought to show. ■

- f)  $P(1)$  is true and  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$  meaning by the principal of mathematical induction  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

## 5.1.7

**Proof.** Proceed with induction. Consider the base case  $n = 1$ . Note that

$$3 = \frac{3(4)}{4} = \frac{3(5^1 - 1)}{4}.$$

Therefore the base case is established. Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and assume that

$$3 + 3 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + \dots + 3 \cdot 5^n = \frac{3(5^{n+1} - 1)}{4}.$$

Note that

$$3 + 3 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + \dots + 3 \cdot 5^n + 3 \cdot 5^{n+1} = \frac{3(5^{n+1} - 1)}{4} + 3 \cdot 5^{n+1}$$

by the inductive hypothesis. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{3(5^{n+1} - 1)}{4} + 3 \cdot 5^{n+1} &= \frac{3(5^{n+1} - 1) + 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5^{n+1}}{4} \\
 &= \frac{3(5^{n+1} - 1) + 3 \cdot (5 - 1) \cdot 5^{n+1}}{4} \\
 &= \frac{3(5^{n+1} - 1) + 3 \cdot (5^{n+2} - 5^{n+1})}{4} \\
 &= \frac{3(5^{n+2} - 1)}{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

hence we have

$$3 + 3 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + \dots + 3 \cdot 5^n + 3 \cdot 5^{n+1} = \frac{3(5^{n+2} - 1)}{4}$$

which was to be shown. Hence the statement holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . ■

### 5.1.15

**Proof.** Proceed with induction. Consider the base case  $n = 1$ . Note that

$$1 \cdot 2 = 2 = \frac{6}{3} = \frac{1(2)(3)}{6} = \frac{1(1+1)(1+2)}{6}.$$

Therefore the base case holds. Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and assume that

$$1(2) + 2(3) + \dots + n(n+1) = \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{3}.$$

By the inductive hypothesis

$$1(2) + 2(3) + \dots + n(n+1) + (n+1)(n+2) = \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{3} + (n+1)(n+2).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{3} + (n+1)(n+2) &= \frac{n(n+1)(n+2) + 3(n+1)(n+2)}{3} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{3} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$1(2) + 2(3) + \dots + n(n+1) + (n+1)(n+2) = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{3}$$

which was to be shown. Therefore the original statement is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . ■

### 5.1.23

The integers for which the statement holds are  $n \geq 4$ .

**Proof.** Proceed with induction. Consider the base case  $n = 4$ . Note that

$$2(4) + 3 = 8 + 3 = 11 \leq 16 = 2^4.$$

Therefore the base case holds. Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $n \geq 4$  and assume that

$$2n + 3 \leq 2^n.$$

Note that

$$2(n+1) + 3 = 2n + 5 \leq 4n + 6.$$

Therefore by the inductive hypothesis

$$2^{n+1} = 2 \cdot 2^n \geq 2(2n + 3) = 4n + 6 \geq 2(n+1) + 3$$

which was to be shown. Therefore the original statement holds for all integers  $n \geq 4$ . ■



**5.2.37**

**Proof.** Assume that  $a = dq + r = dq' + r'$  where  $0 \leq r, r' < d$ . Note that

$$dq + r = dq' + r' \implies d(q - q') = r - r'.$$

Therefore  $d$  divides  $r - r'$ . However  $-d < r - r' < d$  meaning  $r - r' = 0 \implies r = r'$ . This means as well that  $q = q'$ . Hence the original solution was unique. ■

**5.2.41**

**Proof.** Assume towards contradiction that the well ordering principle is false. Then we can find a set  $\emptyset \neq S \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that there is no least element. We can then define the statement

$$P(n) := i \notin S, 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

$P(0)$  is true since if  $0 \in S$ , 0 must be a least element which is assumed not possible. It also follows that  $P(n) \rightarrow P(n + 1)$  because otherwise we would have  $n + 1$  as a least element of  $S$ . Therefore by induction we have that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . However, this means no  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  is in  $S$  and hence  $S = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. ■