## 11.1

## Part A

# Part B

$$\sigma(k) = 2k, (s_{n_k}) = 5, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

## 11.2

	$a_n$	$b_n$	$c_n$	$d_n$
Monotone	$\sigma(k) = 2k$	$\sigma(k) = 2k$	$\sigma(k) = 2k - 1$	$\sigma(k) = 3k$
Sub. Limits	$\{1, -1\}$	{0}	{+∞}	$\left\{\frac{6}{7}\right\}$
Liminf	-1	0	+∞	$\frac{6}{7}$
Limsup	1	0	+∞	$\frac{6}{7}$
Bounded	$\checkmark$	✓		$\checkmark$
Limit	DNE	0	+∞	$\frac{6}{7}$

## 11.5

The set of subsequential limits is  $[0,1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}q_n=1$$
 
$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}q_n=0$$

## 11.8

$$\begin{split} \lim\inf s_n &= \lim_{N \to \infty} \inf\left\{s_n : n > N\right\} \\ &= -\lim_{N \to \infty} \sup\left\{-s_n : n > N\right\} \\ &= -\lim\sup(-s_n) \end{split}$$

## 11.9

#### Part A

**Proof.** Let  $(s_n)$  be a sequence of reals in [a,b] with  $\lim s_n = s$ . Since  $a \le s_n \le b$  for all n, it follows that  $a \le s \le b$ , hence [a,b] is closed.

## Part B

No since (0, 1) is not closed.

## 12.1

**Proof.** Let  $a_N = \inf \{s_n : n > N\}$  and  $b_N = \inf \{t_n : n > N\}$ . For  $n > N > N_0$ ,  $a_N \le s_n \le t_n$  hence  $a_N \le b_N$  for all  $N > N_0$ . Therefore by excercise 9.9,  $\lim a_N \le \lim b_N$  or equivalently  $\lim \inf s_n \le \lim \inf t_n$ . The same argument works for sup.

## 12.3

- a) 0
- b) 1
- c) 2
- d) 3
- e) 4
- f) 0
- g) 2

## 12.4

**Proof.** Since  $s_n$  and  $t_n$  are bounded, their sups exist and note that  $s_n + t_n \le \sup\{s_n : n > N\} + \sup\{t_n : n > N\}$  for all  $n > N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore  $\sup\{s_n : n > N\} + \sup\{t_n : n > N\}$  is an upper bound for  $s_n + t_n$  meaning

$$\sup\left\{s_n+t_n:n>N\right\}\leq \sup\left\{s_n:n>N\right\}+\sup\left\{t_n:n>N\right\},\forall n>N$$

Since N is arbitrary, it holds for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  meaning along with the results from 9.9,  $\limsup(s_n+t_n) \leq \limsup(s_n) + \limsup(t_n)$ 

## 12.10

**Proof.** Let  $(s_n)$  be a sequence.

- $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $s_n$  is bounded. That is  $\exists M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|s_n| \leq M, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\sup \{|s_n| : n > N\} \leq M \text{ for all } N \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ hence } \limsup |s_n| \leq M < +\infty.$
- $\Leftarrow$ ) Proof by contrapositive. Assume that  $s_n$  is not bounded. That is, for all  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|s_n| > M$  for all n > N. Therefore  $\sup |s_n| : n > N > M$ . That means that the supremum is larger than any real number, hence  $\limsup s_n = +\infty$ .