

# Chapter 1

## Cayley Hamilton Theorem

We will build up the machinery needed to prove the following:

**Theorem 1.0.1** (Cayley Hamilton Theorem). [thm:cayley] Given a linear operator  $T : V \rightarrow V$  with  $\dim(V) = n$ , then

$$P_T(T) = 0_{n \times n}.$$

where  $P_T$  is the characteristic polynomial of  $T$ .

### 1.1 Invariant Subspaces

**Definition 1.1.1** (Invariant Subspace). Given a linear operator  $T : V \rightarrow V$  and subspace  $W \subseteq V$ , if  $T[W] \subseteq W$  then

$$T|_W : W \rightarrow W$$

is a linear operator on  $W$  and  $W$  is  $T$  invariant.

**Example 1.1.1.** Consider some eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $T$ . Then there is a subspace  $E_\lambda$  of  $V$  associated with that eigenvalue. Taking any  $v \in E_\lambda$ , note that by definition  $Tv = \lambda v \in E_\lambda$ . Therefore  $E_\lambda$  is an invariant subspace for any eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $T$ .

**Theorem 1.1.1.** If  $W \subseteq V$  is an invariant subspace under  $T$ , then for  $T|_W : W \rightarrow W$  we have

$$P_{T|_W}(t) \mid P_T(t).$$

**Proof.** Let  $\beta_w = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  be a basis of  $W$  and  $\beta = \beta_w \cup \{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}$  be a basis of  $V$  where  $w_i$  form a basis of  $W$ . Then the matrix form of  $T$  is

$$[T]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ 0 & B_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $B_1$  is  $[T|_W]_{\beta_w}$ . By subtracting  $tI$  from both sides and taking the determinant, we get

$$\det(T - tI) = \det(B_1 - tI) \det(B_3 - tI).$$

But this is just

$$P_T(t) = P_{T|_W}(t) \cdot q(t)$$

with  $q(t) = \det(B_3 - tI)$ . ■

### 1.1.1 Generating Invariant Subspaces

Consider some linear operator  $T$  on a finite dimensional space  $V$  with  $\dim V = n$ . Then note for any  $v \in V$  that

$$\{0, Tv, T^2v, \dots\}$$

must be a linearly dependent set of vectors. If this wasn't the case, then repeated applications of  $T$  would produce infinitely many linearly independent vectors within  $V$ . Therefore there is some  $k \leq n$  such that

$$\{0, Tv, T^2v, \dots, T^{k-1}v\}$$

is linearly independent. The span of this set gives a subspace  $W$  that is  $T$  invariant, something analogous to cyclic groups in group theory. This motivates the following definition.

**Definition 1.1.2** (Cyclic Subspace). Let  $T$  be a linear operator on  $V$  and  $v \in V$ . Then the subspace

$$W = \text{span} \{0, Tv, T^2v, T^3v, \dots\}$$

is the  $T$ -cyclic subspace of  $V$  generated by  $v$ .

**Example 1.1.2.** Consider the operator  $T : P(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R})$  with  $T(p(x)) = p'(x)$ . Starting with  $x^3$ , we see that

$$\{0, Tx^3, T^2x^3, \dots\} = \{0, 3x^2, 6x, 6\}.$$

The span of this set then is then  $P_3(\mathbb{R})$  which is invariant under  $T$ .

**Theorem 1.1.2.** If  $a_0 + a_1Tv + a_2T^2v + \dots + a_{k-1}T^{k-1}v + T^kv = 0$ , then

$$P_{T|_W}(t) = (-1)^k (a_0 + a_1t + \dots + a_{k-1}t^{k-1} + t^k).$$

**Proof.** We consider the cyclic invariant subspace  $W$  spanned by the basis  $\beta = \{v, Tv, T^2v, \dots, T^{k-1}v\}$ . If  $w \in W$ , then we know that

$$w = a_0v + a_1Tv + a_2T^2v + \dots + a_kT^kv$$

which gives

$$Tw = a_0Tv + a_1T^2v + \dots + a_kT^{k+1}v.$$

■

### 1.1.2 The Proof

*thm:cayley.* Let  $T$  be a linear operator on  $V$ ,  $v \in V \neq 0$ , and  $W$  be the cyclic subspace generated by  $v$ . ■

## Chapter 2

# Inner Products and Norms

When working in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , there is the familiar idea of the scalar/dot product. Given two vectors  $x$  and  $y$  then their scalar product is

$$x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i.$$

The concept of euclidean length is also captured by scalar products via

$$\sqrt{x \cdot x} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}.$$

This scalar product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  does not generalize to other vector spaces, or it may not be a useful notion of length/product of vectors even when working in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Therefore it is useful to generalize this notion of a scalar product.

**Definition 2.0.1** (Inner Product). A mapping  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow F$  is an inner product if for all  $x, y \in V$  and  $s \in F$

1.  $\langle x + z, y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle + \langle z, y \rangle$  for all  $z \in V$
2.  $\langle sx, y \rangle = s \langle x, y \rangle$
3.  $\overline{\langle x, y \rangle} = \langle y, x \rangle$
4.  $\langle x, x \rangle > 0$  when  $x \neq 0$

**Example 2.0.1.** The vector space  $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  of real  $n$  by  $n$  matrices can be endowed with an inner product where  $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr } B^t A$ .

**Example 2.0.2.** The vector space  $C([0, 2\pi])$  of continuous complex functions on the interval 0 to  $2\pi$  can be endowed with an inner product where

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt.$$

An important concept that can be generalized from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is orthogonality. It is common to compare the scalar product of two vectors to 0 to determine if they are orthogonal or not. This motivates a generalized notion of orthogonality.

**Definition 2.0.2** (Orthogonal Vectors). Let  $V$  be a vector space equipped with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Then  $x, y \in V$  are orthogonal if  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ .

**Example 2.0.3.** Consider from 2.0.2 the family of functions  $f_m(t) = e^{imt}$ . Then for any  $f_m, f_n$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f_m, f_n \rangle &= \int_0^{2\pi} f_m(\tau) \overline{f_n(\tau)} d\tau \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-n)\tau} d\tau \\ &= \frac{e^{i(m-n)\tau}}{i(m-n)} \Big|_0^{2\pi} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence all  $f_m$  are orthogonal to each other.

**Definition 2.0.3** (Vector Norm). Let  $V$  be a vector space equipped with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Then the **norm or length** of  $x$  is

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}.$$

**Theorem 2.0.1** (Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality). For any vector space  $V$  with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $x, y \in V$ ,

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|.$$

*Proof.* ■

The triangle inequality then follows quickly from Cauchy-Schwarz.

**Theorem 2.0.2** (Triangle Inequality). For any vector space  $V$  with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $x, y \in V$ ,

$$\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|.$$

This means that for any inner product on a space, it has its own version of a triangle inequality. This offloads the burden of proving directly that a norm satisfies the triangle inequality to finding some notion of an inner product that gives rise to that norm.

## 2.1 A General Notion of Norms

It is important to note that while every inner product gives rise to a norm, not every norm can be reverse engineered into an inner product.

**Example 2.1.1.** On the vector space  $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \|x\| \leq 1}} Ax$$

defines a norm, but there exists no inner product that gives rise to it.

**Definition 2.1.1** (Generalized Norm). Let  $V$  be a vector space. Then a norm  $\|\cdot\| : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $\forall x, y \in V$  and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$

1.  $\|x\| \geq 0$  and  $\|x\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$
2.  $\|sx\| = |s| \|x\|$
3.  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$  (★)

**Example 2.1.2.** The map

$$\|x\|_{\infty} := \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} |x_i|$$

on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a norm. Consider the requirements to be a norm

1. Since the norm takes the maximum of the absolute value of each component, the norm will be a non negative result, meaning  $\|x\|_{\infty} \geq 0$ . If the norm is 0, then the largest term in magnitude was 0, hence  $x = 0$ . The reverse follows easily.
2. With  $s \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned}\|sx\|_{\infty} &= \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} |sx_i| \\ &= |s| \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} |x_i| \\ &= |s| \|x\|_{\infty}.\end{aligned}$$

3. The triangle inequality follows from the triangle inequality on the reals and the linearity of the maximum function.

There is a famous and important class of norms defined on euclidean space known as the  $p$ -norms. They give rise to  $L^p$  spaces which are crucial to functional analysis.

**Definition 2.1.2** ( $L_p$  Norm). Given  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , the map

$$L_p(x) := \left( \sum_i |x_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is a norm for any  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .



## Chapter 3

# Orthogonality

When a vector space has an inner product, there is a notion of orthogonality as was defined in [Orthogonal Vectors](#). Orthogonality of vectors tends to make computations and proofs simpler, hence building and working in an orthogonal basis is advantageous. Imposing normality of the basis further improves the situation.

**Definition 3.0.1** (Orthogonal Basis). A basis  $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of a vector space  $V$  with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an **orthonormal basis** if  $\|v_i\| = 1$  and  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

**Theorem 3.0.1.** Suppose  $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of some vector space  $V$ . Then for any  $x \in V$

$$x = \sum_i \langle x, v_i \rangle v_i.$$

**Proof.** Since  $\beta$  is a basis,  $x \in V$  can be written as

$$x = \sum_i a_i v_i$$

for scalars  $a_i$ . Then note

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, v_i \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_j a_j v_j, v_i \right\rangle = \sum_j \langle a_j v_j, v_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_j a_j \langle v_j, v_i \rangle \\ &= a_i \langle v_i, v_i \rangle \\ &= a_i \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the expression for each  $a_i$  gives the desired result. ■

**Theorem 3.0.2.** Any set of non-zero orthogonal vectors is linearly independent.

**Proof.** Let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  be a set of orthogonal vectors with  $v_i \neq 0$ . Assume towards contradiction that this set is not linearly independent. Then there exists scalars  $a_i$  such that

$$\sum_i a_i v_i = 0.$$

Therefore at least one  $a_i$  is non-zero. Note that for any  $v_j$

$$\left\langle \sum_i a_i v_i, v_j \right\rangle = a_j \|v_j\|^2$$

from the previous proof. But at the same time

$$\left\langle \sum_i a_i v_i, v_j \right\rangle = \langle 0, v_j \rangle = 0$$

meaning  $a_j \|v_j\|^2 = 0$ . Since  $v_j$  is non-zero, then  $a_j = 0$ . However, this is true for any  $j$  meaning all  $a_i$  must be zero, a contradiction. ■

**Theorem 3.0.3** (Grahm-Schmidt). Let  $V$  be an inner product space and  $S = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  a set of linearly independent vectors. Then the set  $\tilde{S} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  where

$$v_1 = w_1 \quad v_k = w_k - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\langle w_k, v_j \rangle}{\|v_j\|^2} v_j$$

is an orthogonal set with  $\text{span } S = \text{span } \tilde{S}$ .

**Proof.** We induct on the number of vectors in  $S$ . The base case is trivial for  $n = 1$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume that  $\tilde{S}_{k-1}$  can be constructed. If  $S$  has  $k$  linearly independent vectors, then we can consider just  $k - 1$  of them. By the induction hypothesis, we can produce  $\tilde{S}_{k-1}$  that is an orthogonal set. ■

**Corollary 3.0.1.** Every basis of an inner product space can be turned into an orthonormal basis.

**Definition 3.0.2** (Orthogonal Complement). Given a set of vectors  $S$  in an inner product space  $V$ , the orthogonal complement is

$$S^\perp := \{v \in V : \langle v, s \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } s \in S\}.$$

**Theorem 3.0.4** (Orthogonal Decomposition). Let  $W \subseteq V$  be a subspace. Given  $y \in V$ , there is a unique  $w \in W$  and  $z \in W^\perp$  such that  $y = w + z$ . Equivalently,  $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $W$  and  $k = \dim W$ . Note that

$$w = \sum_i \langle v_i, w \rangle v_i.$$

Let  $z = y - w$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z, v_j \rangle &= \left\langle y - \sum_i \langle v_i, w \rangle v_i, v_j \right\rangle \\ &= \langle y, v_j \rangle - \langle y, v_j \rangle \langle v_j, v_j \rangle \\ &= \langle y, v_j \rangle - \langle y, v_j \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $z$  is orthogonal to all the vectors in  $\beta$ . It is orthogonal to every vector in  $W$  since taking  $v \in W$  gives

$$\langle z, v \rangle = \left\langle z, \sum_i \langle v, v_i \rangle v_i \right\rangle = \sum_i \langle \langle v, v_i \rangle v_i, z \rangle = 0.$$



I

■



# Chapter 4

## Spectral Theorem

**Lemma 4.0.1.** Let  $T$  be a linear operator on a finite dimensional inner product space  $V$ . If  $T$  has an eigenvector, then  $T^*$  does as well.

**Proof.** Suppose  $v$  is an eigenvector  $T$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then for any  $x \in V$

$$0 = \langle 0, x \rangle = \langle (T - \lambda I)v, x \rangle = \langle v, (T - \lambda I)^*x \rangle = \langle v, (T^* - \bar{\lambda}I)x \rangle.$$

Therefore  $v$  is orthogonal to the range of  $T^* - \lambda I$  ■

**Theorem 4.0.1** (Schur's Theorem). Let  $T$  be a linear operator on  $V$ . Suppose that the characteristic polynomial of  $T$  splits. Then there exists an orthonormal basis  $\beta$  for  $V$  such that  $[T]_\beta$  is upper triangular.

**Proof.** We proceed with induction on the dimension  $n$  of  $V$ . If  $n = 1$ , then  $[T]_\beta$  is a single entry and therefore is upper triangular. Assume the statement is true for an  $n - 1$  dimensional space. By lemma 4.0.1,  $T^*$  has a unit eigenvector  $z$  with some eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Let  $W = \text{span}\{z\}$ . Note that for any  $y \in W^\perp$  and  $x = cz \in W$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ty, x \rangle &= \langle Ty, cz \rangle \\ &= \langle y, T^*cz \rangle \\ &= \langle y, cT^*z \rangle \\ &= \langle y, c\lambda z \rangle \\ &= \overline{c\lambda} \langle y, z \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $Ty \in W^\perp$  meaning  $W^\perp$  is  $T$ -invariant, meaning the characteristic polynomial of  $T|_{W^\perp}$  divides the one of  $T$ . Since the characteristic polynomial of  $T$  splits,  $T|_{W^\perp}$  must also split. Additionally,  $\dim W^\perp = n - 1$  since  $\dim W = 1$ . Therefore the induction hypothesis can be applied to  $W^\perp$  to get an orthonormal basis  $\gamma$  such that  $[T|_{W^\perp}]_\gamma$  is upper triangular. Choosing  $\beta = \gamma \cup \{z\}$  gives an orthonormal basis for  $V$  since  $z$  is a unit vector and  $z$  is from  $W$  and hence orthogonal to the basis  $\gamma$  of  $W^\perp$ . It is clear then the matrix  $[T]_\beta$  is upper triangular. ■

### 4.1 Normal Operators

**Definition 4.1.1** (Normal Operator). Let  $V$  be an inner product space. A linear operator  $T$  (or matrix  $A$ ) is **normal** if  $TT^* = T^*T$  (or  $AA^* = A^*A$ ).

**Theorem 4.1.1.** If  $T$  is a normal operator on a complex vector space  $V$ , then there exists an orthonormal basis of  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$ .

**Proof.** Since  $V$  is a complex vector space, the characteristic polynomial of  $T$  splits by the fundamental theorem of algebra. Therefore by 4.0.1 there exists an orthonormal basis  $\beta$  with  $[T]_\beta$  upper triangular. Since  $[T]_\beta$  is upper triangular, the first basis vector  $v_1$  is an eigenvector of  $T$ . We can then consider an induction argument over the basis vectors to show that all are eigenvectors. Assume that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$  are eigenvectors of  $T$ . Note that  $T^*v_j = \overline{\lambda_j}v_j$  for any  $j < k$ . Since  $[T]_\beta$  is upper triangular,

$$Tv_k = A_{1k}v_1 + A_{2k}v_2 + \dots + A_{kk}v_k.$$

But note that

$$A_{jk} = \langle Tv_k, v_j \rangle = \langle v_k, T^*v_j \rangle = \langle v_k, \overline{\lambda_j}v_j \rangle = \overline{\lambda_j} \langle v_k, v_j \rangle = 0$$

for  $j < k$  since  $v_k$  and  $v_j$  come from an orthonormal basis. Therefore

$$Tv_k = A_{kk}v_k$$

and  $A_{kk} \neq 0$  meaning  $v_k$  must be an eigenvector of  $T$ . Therefore  $\beta$  is an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$ . ■

**Definition 4.1.2** (Self Adjoint). A linear operator  $T$  on a space  $V$  (or square matrix  $A$ ) is **self-adjoint** if  $T = T^*$  (or  $A = A^*$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
AQ &= \begin{pmatrix} - & Ae_1 & - \\ & \vdots & \\ - & Ae_n & - \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} | & & | \\ v_1 & \cdots & v_n \\ | & & | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle Ae_1, v_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle Ae_1, v_n \rangle \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle Ae_n, v_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle Ae_n, v_n \rangle \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \langle v_1, e_1 \rangle & \cdots & \lambda_n \langle v_n, e_1 \rangle \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \lambda_1 \langle v_1, e_n \rangle & \cdots & \lambda_n \langle v_n, e_n \rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad (\star) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} | & & | \\ \lambda_1 v_1 & \cdots & \lambda_n v_n \\ | & & | \end{pmatrix} \\
&= QD
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.1.2.** A linear operator  $T : V \rightarrow V$  is an orthogonal projection if and only if  $T^*$  exists and  $T^2 = T^* = T$ .

**Proof.** We consider both directions.

$\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $T$  is an orthogonal projection. Then note that  $V = R(T) \oplus N(T)$ . Therefore for any  $x, y \in V$ , there are decompositions

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= x_R + x_N \\
y &= y_R + y_N
\end{aligned}$$

where  $x_R, y_R$  are from the range and  $x_N, y_N$  are from the null space. Note then

$$\langle x, Ty \rangle = \langle x_R + x_N, y_R \rangle = \langle x_R, y_R \rangle + \underbrace{\langle x_N, y_R \rangle}_{=0} = \langle x_R, y_R \rangle.$$

By similar logic,  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x_R, y_R \rangle$ . Therefore  $\langle x, Ty \rangle = \langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$  meaning  $T = T^*$ .

$\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $T^2 = T = T^*$ . Take  $x \in R(T)$  and  $y \in R(T)$ . By definition of the range, we have  $x = Tx'$ . But then  $T^*x = Tx = T^2x' = Tx' = x$ . Therefore

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle T^*x, y \rangle = \langle x, Ty \rangle = \langle x, 0 \rangle = 0$$

meaning  $x \in R(T)^\perp$ . ■

**Theorem 4.1.3** (Spectral Theorem). Let  $T : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear operator over a finite dimensional inner product space with underlying field  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ . Assume  $T$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  and that  $T$  is normal/self-adjoint. Let  $W_i$  be the  $i$ th eigenspace and  $T_i$  the orthogonal projection onto  $W_i$ . Then all of the following are true

$$\text{a) } V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n W_i$$

$$\text{b) } W_i^\perp = \bigoplus_{j \neq i} W_j$$

$$\text{c) } T_i T_j = \delta_{ij} T_i$$

$$\text{d) } I = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

$$\text{e) } T = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i T_i$$