

## Homework observations:

### Question 1:

**Observation.** If  $G$  is bipartite and  $W: v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}, e_k, v_k$  is a walk in  $G$ , then all of the even-indexed vertices are in one part and all of the odd-indexed vertices are in the other part.

**Observation.** If  $G$  is bipartite and connected, then for any vertex  $v \in V$ , the two sets

$$V_{\text{even}} = \{w \in V: D(v, w) \text{ is even}\} \text{ and } V_{\text{odd}} = \{w \in V: D(v, w) \text{ is odd}\}$$

forms a bipartition for  $G$ .

**Observation.** Suppose  $G$  is bipartite and connected. Two vertices in the same part iff they are an even distance from each other.

**Question 2.** The cycles 12341 and 14321 should be listed as different cycles, even though they contain the same vertices and edges. Recall that a cycle is an alternating sequence of vertices and edges, so different sequences are different cycles.

**Question 4.** One approach is to let  $C$  be a cycle that contains both  $u$  and  $v$ . Suppose  $C$  does not contain  $e_1$ . Then  $C$  is still a cycle in  $G - e_1$ . Now consider the effect of deleting  $e_2$  as well. Can  $u$  and  $v$  suddenly become disconnected by deleting one edge of the cycle  $C$ ?

Notice that  $e_2$  is a bridge of the graph  $G - e_1$ . This means  $e_2$  is not on  $C$  and so  $u, v$  are still connected after removing  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ .

---

**Theorem.** If  $G$  is connected and  $e = st$  is a bridge, then in the graph  $G - e$ , for every vertex  $v \in V$ , either  $v$  is connected to  $s$  or  $v$  is connected to  $t$ .

*Proof.* Consider a  $v, s$ -path  $P$  in  $G$ ,

$$P: v = v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, e_k, v_k = s.$$

If  $e$  is not on  $P$ , then  $P$  is a path in  $G - e$  and  $v$  is connected to  $s$  in  $G - e$ . If  $e$  is on  $P$ , it must be that  $e = e_k$  and  $v_{k-1} = t$ . Then

$$Q: v = v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, v_{k-2}, e_{k-1}, v_{k-1} = t$$

is a  $v, t$ -path in  $G - e$ . Hence,  $v$  is connected to  $t$  in  $G - e$ .

Informally, if  $G$  is connected, then every vertex is still connected to one of the endpoints of a removed bridge.

**Corollary.** Removing a bridge increases the number of components by exactly one.

**Theorem.** Given a graph  $G$  and an edge  $e$  of  $G$ ,  $e = st$  is on a cycle if and only if  $e$  is not a bridge.

*Proof.* For the forward direction, let

$$C: s = v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, e_k, v_k = t, e, s$$

be a cycle containing  $e$ . If  $e$  were a bridge, then its endpoints would become disconnected after deleting  $e$ . But, we still have an  $s, t$ -walk after removing  $e$ :

$$W: s = v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, e_k, v_k = t.$$

Hence, the endpoints of  $e$  remain connected and so  $e$  is not a bridge.

For the reverse direction, assume  $e = st$  is not a bridge. Then there exists an  $s, t$ -path not containing  $e$ :

$$P: s = v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, e_k, v_k = t.$$

But then

$$C: s = v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, e_k, v_k = t, e, s$$

is a cycle containing  $e$ , as desired.

Question to ponder: What happens to a connected graph after you delete all of the non-bridges?

#### Section II.B. 4. “Cut” vertices and blocks.

What happens to the numbers of components when one deletes vertices? The answer can be highly variable.

**Definition.** Given a graph  $G$ , a vertex  $v$  is called a **cut vertex** if  $G - v$  has more components than  $G$ .

Deleting a cut vertex is not guaranteed to increase the number of components by exactly one.

#### **Definitions.**

- A graph is **separable** if it is not connected or it has at least one cut vertex. Otherwise, the graph is **inseparable**.
- If  $H \leq G$  is inseparable and there is no other inseparable subgraph  $K$  where  $H < K \leq G$ , then  $H$  is called a **block** of  $G$ .

#### **Observations.**

- An inseparable component is a block.

**Definition.** A **cactus** is a graph such that every edge is on at most one cycle.

A **Dutch windmill** is a cactus where all of the cycles share a single common vertex.

**Question.** Is a tree (connected graph with no cycles) a cactus?

In a tree, every edge is on zero cycles. Hence, every edge is on at most one cycle. Hence, trees are cacti.