

Report

07_densenet121_384_final_best.ipynb

DenseNet121 Osteoarthritis Severity Classification

1. Objective of This Notebook

The purpose of this notebook is to implement and optimize a transfer learning pipeline using DenseNet121 for automatic Knee Osteoarthritis (KOA) grading (KL 0–4).

This notebook contains two full experimental configurations:

- 1. DenseNet121 @320 (Baseline with advanced tricks)**
- 2. DenseNet121 @384 (Final Best Optimized Model)**

The goal was to systematically improve performance while keeping the architecture fixed (DenseNet121).

2. Dataset Handling (Common to Both Codes)

Dataset:

Knee Osteoarthritis dataset with KL grades (0–4)

Automatic Drive Loading

- Google Drive mounted
- ZIP file automatically unzipped if not already extracted
- Auto-detection of train, val, and test folders

Dataset Sizes:

- Train: 5,778 images
- Validation: 826 images
- Test: 1,656 images

Class Distribution:

Highly imbalanced (KL4 smallest class)

Imbalance Handling:

- **WeightedRandomSampler** used during training
-

3. Code Block 1

DenseNet121 @320 – Advanced Training Tricks Baseline

3.1 Configuration

- Image size: 320×320
 - Batch size: 16
 - Epochs: 15
 - Optimizer: AdamW
 - Learning rate: 1e-4
 - Weight decay: 1e-4
 - Cosine LR scheduler with warm-up
 - Automatic Mixed Precision (AMP)
 - Exponential Moving Average (EMA)
 - MixUp (constant)
 - No label smoothing
-

3.2 Data Augmentation

- Resize
 - Random Horizontal Flip
 - Small Rotation ($\pm 7^\circ$)
 - ImageNet normalization
-

3.3 Model Architecture

- DenseNet121 pretrained on ImageNet
 - Final classifier replaced with 5-class linear layer
-

3.4 Results – 320 Model

Test Performance:

- Test Accuracy: **67.39%**
- Test Macro-F1: **0.6914**

Observations:

- Strong performance on KL0, KL3, KL4
- KL1 most confused class
- Errors mostly between adjacent grades

This model serves as a strong baseline.

4. Code Block 2

DenseNet121 @384 – Final Best Configuration

This is the improved configuration over the 320 baseline.

4.1 Updated Configuration

- Image size: **384 × 384**
- Batch size: 8 (due to larger resolution)
- Epochs: 18
- AdamW optimizer
- Cosine LR + warm-up
- AMP enabled
- EMA enabled

Additional Improvements:

1. **Label Smoothing = 0.07**
 2. **Scheduled MixUp**
 - Enabled during first half of training
 - Disabled during second half
-

4.2 Why These Improvements?

Increased Resolution (384)

- Preserves fine joint space details
- Improves subtle osteophyte detection

Label Smoothing

- Reduces overconfidence
- Helps KL1 borderline cases

Scheduled MixUp

- Early regularization
- Later sharp convergence

EMA

- Stabilizes evaluation
 - Reduces noisy weight fluctuations
-

4.3 Results – 384 Final Model

Final Test Performance:

- Test Accuracy: **70.89%**
 - Test Macro-F1: **0.7085**
-

5. Confusion Matrix Analysis (384 Model)

Strong Performance:

- KL0 (Normal)
- KL3 (Moderate)
- KL4 (Severe)

Most Challenging:

- KL1 (Mild OA)

Most misclassifications occur between:

- KL0 ↔ KL1
- KL1 ↔ KL2

This pattern is clinically expected due to subtle radiographic differences in early OA.

6. Comparison Between the Two Codes

Feature	DenseNet121 @320	DenseNet121 @384
Image Size	320	384
Epochs	15	18
Label Smoothing	No	Yes (0.07)
MixUp	Always On	Scheduled
EMA	Yes	Yes
Test Accuracy	67.39%	70.89%
Test Macro-F1	0.6914	0.7085

Improvement:

+3.5% absolute accuracy gain
+1.7% macro-F1 improvement

7. Final Conclusion of This Notebook

The notebook demonstrates a structured optimization process:

1. Baseline with strong training tricks (320 resolution)
2. Systematic improvement via:
 - o Higher resolution
 - o Label smoothing
 - o MixUp scheduling
 - o EMA stabilization

The final DenseNet121 @384 configuration achieved:

70.89% test accuracy

0.7085 macro-F1

This represents the best-performing single DenseNet model implemented in this project.