

Machine Learning

Regularization and Feature Selection

Fabio Vandin

November 15th, 2021

Tikhonov regularization

Regularization function: $R(\mathbf{w}) = \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^2$

- $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0$
- ℓ_2 norm: $\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d w_i^2$

Therefore the *learning rule* is: pick

$$A(S) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} (L_S(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^2)$$

Intuition:

- $\|\mathbf{w}\|^2$ measures the “complexity” of hypothesis defined by \mathbf{w}
- λ regulates the tradeoff between the empirical risk ($L_S(\mathbf{w})$) or overfitting and the complexity ($\|\mathbf{w}\|^2$) of the model we pick

Ridge Regression

Linear regression with squared loss + Tikhonov regularization

\Rightarrow *ridge regression*

Linear regression with squared loss:

- **given:** training set $S = ((\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_m, y_m))$, with $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$
- **want:** \mathbf{w} which minimizes empirical risk:

$$\mathbf{w} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2$$

equivalently, find \mathbf{w} which minimizes the *residual sum of squares* $RSS(\mathbf{w})$

$$\mathbf{w} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} RSS(\mathbf{w}) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^m (\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2$$

Linear regression: pick

$$\mathbf{w} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} RSS(\mathbf{w}) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^m (\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2$$

Ridge regression: pick

$$\mathbf{w} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} \left(\lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m (\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2 \right)$$

RSS: Matrix Form

Let

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \cdots & \mathbf{x}_1 & \cdots \\ \cdots & \mathbf{x}_2 & \cdots \\ \cdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \mathbf{x}_m & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

\mathbf{X} : *design matrix*

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{bmatrix}$$

\Rightarrow we have that RSS is

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2 = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})$$

Ridge Regression: Matrix Form

Linear regression: pick

$$\arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})$$

Ridge regression: pick

$$\arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} \left(\lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}) \right)$$

Want to find \mathbf{w} which minimizes

$$f(\mathbf{w}) = \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}).$$

How?

Compute gradient $\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$ of objective function w.r.t \mathbf{w} and compare it to 0.

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = 2\lambda \mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{X}^T(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})$$

Then we need to find \mathbf{w} such that

$$2\lambda \mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{X}^T(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}) = 0$$

$$2\lambda \mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{X}^T(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}) = 0$$

is equivalent to

$$(\lambda \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}) \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

Note:

- $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$ is positive semidefinite
- $\lambda \mathbf{I}$ is positive definite

$\Rightarrow \lambda \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$ is positive definite

$\Rightarrow \lambda \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$ is invertible

Ridge regression solution:

$$\mathbf{w} = (\lambda \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

Exercise 5

Consider the ridge regression problem

$\arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m (\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2$. Let: h_S be the hypothesis obtained by ridge regression on with training set S ; h^* be the hypothesis of minimum generalization error among all linear models.

- (A) Draw, in the plot below, a *typical* behaviour of (i) *the training error* and (ii) *the test/generalization error* of h_S as a function of λ .
- (B) Draw, in the plot below, a *typical* behaviour of (i) $L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*)$ and (ii) $L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S) - L_S(h_S)$ as a function of λ .



