

Exam 1: March 3rd

Sheet of paper, notes on front and back, handwritten

Let the observations from treatment 1 be denoted X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m and the observations from treatment 2 be denoted Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n .

Let us assume that the data have no ties, so that any given observation is either strictly less than or strictly greater than any other observation.

Mann-Whitney statistic: Denoted U , is defined as

$$U = \text{number of pairs } (X_i, Y_j) \text{ for which } X_i < Y_j$$

The null hypothesis is that the distributions of the X 's and the Y 's

A large value of U indicates that the larger observations tend to occur with treatment 2 (the Y 's), and vice versa if U is small.

Table A4: Lower-tail and upper-tail values for the distribution of U under the null hypotheses are given in the Appendix.

- Upper-tail and lower-tail values are related by the equation

$$U_{\text{upper}} = m \cdot n - U_{\text{lower}}$$

For each the 16 pairs (X_i, Y_j) , $U = 12$ satisfy the inequality $X_i < Y_j$

The Mann-Whitney statistic can be shown to be equivalent to the Wilcoxon rank-sum statistic in the sense that one is a linear function of the other.

$$R(Y_j) = (\text{number of } Y\text{'s } \leq Y_j) + (\text{number of } X\text{'s } \leq Y_j)$$

Let's assume that the Y 's have been arranged from smallest to largest: $Y_1 < Y_2 < \dots < Y_n$.

Let W_2 denote the sum of the ranks of the Y 's.

Since:

$$Y_j \leq Y_j = j$$

Then:

$$W_2 = \sum_{j=1}^n R(Y_j) = 1 + 2 + \dots + n + U$$

So:

$$W_2 = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + U$$

For certain theoretical purposes.....

Let $F_1(x)$ and $F_2(x)$ denote the cdf's of the X 's and the Y 's respectively.

If there is a difference between treatments, suppose that the effect is to shift the distribution of one treatment an amount Δ to the right or left of the distribution of the other treatment.

$$F_1(x) = F_2(x - \Delta)$$

Note: Can think of Δ as the difference between the means (if they exist) or medians of the two distributions.

Since:

$$P(X_i \leq x) = \dots = P(Y_j + \Delta \leq x)$$

It follows that $Y_j + \Delta$ and X_i have the same probability distribution.

Form all $m \times n$ pairwise differences $X_i - Y_j$, and arrange these differences from smallest to largest. Let $\text{pwd}(k)$ be the k th smallest difference, and let k_a and k_b be integers such that $k_a < k_b$. Pwd = pairwise differences

The inequality

$$\text{pwd}(k_a) < \Delta \leq \text{pwd}(k_b)$$

Holds iff at least k_a and no more than $k_b - 1$ of the pairs (X_i, Y_j) satisfy the inequality

$$X_i - Y_j < \Delta$$

Or equivalently

$$X_i < Y_j + \Delta$$

Since $Y_j + \Delta$ and X_i have the same probability distribution, probabilities involving such inequalities may be obtained from the U statistic.

In particular:

$$P(\text{at least } k_a \text{ and no more than } k_b - 1 \text{ of pairs satisfy } X_i < Y_j + \Delta) = P(k_a \leq U \leq k_b - 1)$$

Example 2.6.2 the trace element cerium was measured in samples of granite and basalt.

1. For all pairwise differences of the form $X_i - Y_j$
2. Arrange the pairwise differences in order from smallest to largest
3. X
4. Having chosen k_a and k_b , we form the confidence interval: $\text{pwd}(k_a) < \Delta < \text{pwd}(k_b - 1)$
5. X

If sample sizes allow, we obtain k_a and k_b from Table A4 in the Appendix. For instance if we want a 90% level of confidence.

From Table A4, we find $U_{.05} = 7$, so $k_a = 8$ and $U_{.05} = 29$, so $k_b = 29$

Hodges-Lehmann Estimate

The median of all the pairwise differences of the form $X_i - Y_j$ is called the Hodges-Lehmann estimate of Δ . The Hodges-Lehmann estimate is sometimes suggested as a nonparametric alternative to the difference of the means.