

SDE and Filtration Prob.

(1) Existence and Uniqueness:

① Consider: $dX_t/dt = b(t, X_t) + \sigma(t, X_t) W_t$
Where W_t is white noise.

Write in Itô interpretation:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t) dt + \sigma(t, X_t) d\beta_t. \quad (*)$$

Thm. For $T > 0$. $b(\cdot, \cdot) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and
 $\sigma(\cdot, \cdot) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ measurable.

s.t. i) $|b(t, x)| + |\sigma(t, x)| \leq 1 + |x|$

ii) $|b(t, x) - b(t, y)| + |\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, y)| \leq |x - y|$

If Z is a r.v. indep of $\mathcal{F}_0^{(m)}$ generated
by \vec{B}_t . s.t. $Z \in L^2$. Then:

I.V.P: (*) with $X_0 = Z$ has a strong
solution X_t i.e. X_t adapted to $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$
 $\cap \mathcal{Z}$. given (\vec{B}_t) in advance).

s.t. i) X_t is t -conti

ii) $\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |X_t|^2 dt \right) < \infty$.

iii) It's strongly unique (pointwise)

Rmk: Condition i) ensures X_t won't explode. While condition ii) is for the unique solution.

Pf: 1) Unique:

Suppose $X_1(t, \omega)$, $X_2(t, \omega)$ are solutions with initial values \bar{z} , \hat{z} respectively.

$$\text{set } \alpha = b(s, X_1(s)) - b(s, X_2(s))$$

$$\gamma = \sigma(s, X_1(s)) - \sigma(s, X_2(s))$$

$$\Rightarrow E |X_1(t, \omega) - X_2(t, \omega)|^2 = E \left(|\bar{z} - \hat{z}|^2 + \int_0^t \alpha^2 + \int_0^t \gamma^2 \lambda \beta_s ds \right)$$

$$\leq E |\bar{z} - \hat{z}|^2 + E \left(\int_0^t \alpha^2 \right) + E \left(\int_0^t \gamma^2 \right)$$

$$\stackrel{(cond.)}{\leq} E |\bar{z} - \hat{z}|^2 + (1+t) \int_0^t E |X_1 - X_2|^2$$

follows from Hölder. Itô isometry.

Set $\bar{z} = \hat{z}$. By Gronwall's ineqn.

2) Existence:

By Picard seq:

$$\text{set } Y_t^{(0)} = X_0, \quad Y_t^{(k+1)} = X_0 + \int_0^t b(s, Y_s^{(k)}) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, Y_s^{(k)}) dB_s$$

$$\text{Note: } \begin{cases} E |Y_t^{(k)} - Y_t^{(k-1)}|^2 \leq A \cdot t \\ E |Y_t^{(k)} - Y_t^{(k-1)}|^2 \leq \int_0^t E |Y_s^{(k-1)} - Y_s^{(k-2)}|^2 \lambda ds \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{inductively: } E |Y_t^{(k+1)} - Y_t^{(k)}|^2 \leq A_T^k t^{k+1} / (k+1)!$$

$\Rightarrow (Y^{(k)})_k$ is Cauchy in $L^2(m \times P)$

Define the limit of $Y_t^{(k)}$ is X_t .

set $k \rightarrow \infty$ in Picard seq. it's the solution.

3') Note Itô integral has a conti. modification.

② Weak Solution:

Def: Weak solution for (x) is pair of process $(\tilde{X}_t, \tilde{B}_t, \tilde{N}_t)$ on $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}, P)$, st. given only $b(t, X_t), \sigma(t, X_t)$, $(*)$ holds.

Lemma. If b, σ satisfies conditions of Thm. above. Then. \forall solution is weakly unique. (i.e. have same finite-dimension list.)

Pf: If $(\tilde{X}_t, \tilde{B}_t, \tilde{N}_t), (\hat{X}_t, \hat{B}_t, \hat{N}_t)$ are two weak solutions.

suppose \tilde{Y}_t, \hat{Y}_t are two strong solutions from \tilde{B}_t, \hat{B}_t respectively.

\Leftrightarrow Prove: \tilde{Y}_t has same law as \hat{Y}_t .

It's easy to see from Picard seq:

$$(\tilde{Y}_t^{(k)}, \tilde{B}_t) \stackrel{L}{\sim} (\hat{Y}_t^{(k)}, \hat{B}_t), \forall k, \text{ set } k \rightarrow \infty$$

Remark: \exists SDE. s.t. Weak solution exists
but no strong solution.

e.g. $dX_t = \sin(X_t)dB_t$. (Tanaka Equation)

(2) Filtering Problem:

Consider: $dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dU_t$.

where $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $b: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$

U_t is p -dim BM indept of X_0 (dist is known)

Assume b, σ satisfies Exist and Unique Thm.

With observation: $dZ_t = c(t, X_t)dt + \gamma(t, X_t)dV_t$.

s.t. $Z_0 = 0$, V_t is r -dim BM indept of U_t, X_0 .

$c: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $\gamma: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$ satisfies E & U Thm.

Q: What's the best estimate \hat{X}_t of X_t
based on the observation Z_t ?

Remark: (\hat{X}_t) should satisfy:

i) $\hat{X}_t \in G_t = \sigma(Z_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$.

ii) $E|X_t - \hat{X}_t|^2 = \inf\{E|X_t - Y_t|^2 \mid Y \in K_t\}$

$K_t = \{Y: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \mid Y_t \in G_t, Y \in L^2(\mathcal{P})\}$.

Lemma. $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$, sub- σ -algebra. $X \in L^2(\mathcal{P})$. Set
 $\mathcal{N} = \{Y \in L^2(\mathcal{P}), Y \in \mathcal{N}\}$. $P_{\mathcal{N}}$ is ortho.
 proj. from $L^2(\mathcal{P})$ to \mathcal{N} . Then:

$$P_{\mathcal{N}}(X) = E(X | \mathcal{N}).$$

Pf: $\int_{\mathcal{N}} (X - P_{\mathcal{N}}(X)) Y = 0, \forall Y \in \mathcal{N}.$

Set $Y = I_A, A \in \mathcal{N}$. By def of $E(X | \mathcal{N})$.

Cor. $\hat{X}_t = P_{\mathcal{K}_t}(X_t) = E(X_t | \mathcal{G}_t).$

① One Dimension Linear Problem:

Consider one-dim linear system:

$$\dot{X}_t = F(t)X_t + G(t)U_t, \quad F, G \in \mathbb{R}'.$$

$$\dot{Z}_t = G(t)X_t + D(t)U_t, \quad G, D \in \mathbb{R}', \quad Z_0 = 0$$

Assume: i) F, G, D bnd on bnd intervals.

ii) $X_0 \sim$ normal dist. indept of U, V .

iii) $D(t) > 0$.

Step 1: Z -linear and Z -measurable estimators.

Lemma. $X, Z_s \in L^2(\mathcal{P}), \forall s \leq t$. If $(X, Z_{s_1}, \dots, Z_{s_n})$
 \sim Normal dist. $\forall s_1, \dots, s_n \leq t$. Then:

$$P_{\mathcal{L}_t}(X) = P_{\mathcal{K}_t}(X), \quad \mathcal{L}_t = \text{CLS of } Z_s, s \leq t.$$

pf: 1) $\tilde{X} = X - P_{\mathcal{L}_t}(X)$ is apt with \mathcal{Z}_s $\forall 0 \leq s \leq t$

$$2) E(X_A \tilde{X}) = 0 \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{G}_t.$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{\mathcal{L}_t}(X) = E(X | \mathcal{G}_t)$$

Remark: Estimate of normal dist. will be the "worst" (only by LFs)

Lemma: $M_t = \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ Z_t \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is Gaussian process.

pf: $dM_t = H_t M_t dt + K_t d\vec{B}_t$ $M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} X_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$H_t = \begin{pmatrix} F(t) & 0 \\ G(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_t = \begin{pmatrix} C(t) & 0 \\ 0 & D(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

By Picard Iteration:

$$M_{n+1}(t) = \int_0^t H(s) M_n(s) ds + \int_0^t K(s) d\vec{B}_s + M(0)$$

$M_n(t)$ is Gaussian $\forall n \rightarrow M(t)$.

Step 2: Innovative Process

Def: $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}, T)$ = closure of all linear combination:

$$c_0 + c_1 Z_{t_1} + \dots + c_n Z_{t_n}, \quad 0 \leq t_i \leq T, \quad \text{in } L^2(P)$$

Lemma: $\int_0^T f^2 \lesssim E \left(\int_0^T f(s) dZ_s \right)^2 \lesssim \int_0^T f^2$

for $\forall f \in L^2(0, T]$

Pf: $\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T f(u) G(u, X_u) du \int_0^T f(u) D(u) dV(u) \right) \stackrel{\text{indep}}{=} 0$

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_0^T f(u) G(u, X_u) du \right)^2 \right) \stackrel{\text{Itô}}{\leq} \int_0^T f^2(u) du$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_0^T f(u) D(u) dV(u) \right)^2 \right) = \int_0^T f^2(u) D^2(u) du$$

Lemma. $\mathcal{I}(Z, T) = Z_0 + \int_0^T f(u) dZ_u \mid f \in L[0, T], Z_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

Pf: 1') $RHS \subset LHS$:

$$\int_0^T f(u) dZ_u = \lim_n \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} f(t_i^*) \Delta Z_i$$

2') $LHS \subset RHS$:

$$\mathcal{I}(Z_i, Z_{t_i}) = \sum c_i \Delta Z_i = \mathcal{I}(c_i \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} dZ_s)$$

Besides, by Itô isometry, RHS is closed.

Def: N_t is innovation process if $N_t = Z_t - \int_0^t (G_s X_s)^\wedge ds$

where $(G_t X_t)^\wedge = P_{\mathcal{L}(Z, t)}(G(t) X_t) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} G(t) \hat{X}(t)$

i.e. $dN_t = G(t) (X_t - \hat{X}_t) dt + D(t) dV_t$

Lemma. i) N_t has orthogonal increments.

ii) $\mathbb{E}(N_t^2) = \int_0^t D^2(s) ds$ iii) $\mathcal{I}(N, t) = \mathcal{I}(Z, t)$

iv) N_t is Gaussian process.

Rmk: We want to replace Z_t by N_t .

Pf: i) It follows from $X_t - \hat{X}_t \perp \mathcal{L}(Z, t)$

and V_t has indep increments

ii) By Itô Formula:

$$dN_t^2 = 2N_t dN_t + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} d\langle N \rangle_t$$

$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t N_s dN_s \right) = 0$ follows from N_t has independent increments.

iii) $L(N, t) \subset L(Z, t)$ is trivial.

Conversely, we want to express Z_t by N_t :

$$\int_0^t f(s) dN_s = \int_0^t f(s) dZ_s - \int_0^t f(s) h(s) \hat{X}_1 dr$$

$$N_{t+r} - h(r) \hat{X}_1 = c(r) + \int_0^r g(r, s) dZ_s \text{ for}$$

some $g(r, \cdot) \in L^2([0, r])$ since $\hat{X}_1 \in L(Z, r)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \int_0^t f(s) dN_s &= \int_0^t f(s) g(r, s) dr dZ_s - \int_0^t f(s) h(s) dr \\ &= \int_0^t f(s) dN_s. \end{aligned}$$

By Volterra Integral Equation:

$\forall h \in L^1([0, t])$, $\exists f \in L^1([0, t])$ s.t. $s \leq t$.

$$f(s) - \int_s^t f(r) g(r, s) dr = h(s)$$

Set $h(s) = \chi_{[0, t]}(s)$.

iv) Z_t is Gaussian $\Rightarrow \hat{X}_t$ is (limit of ...)

$\Rightarrow N_t$ is.

Step 3: Construct BM by (N_t) .

Def: $\Delta R_t = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \Delta N(t)$, $R_0 = 0$.

Lemma: (R_t) is 1-dimension BM.

Pf: i) conti. ii) orthogonal increment
iii) Gaussian follows from prop. of (N_t) .

iv) $\mathbb{E}(R_t) = 0$. $\mathbb{E}(R_t R_s) = \min\{t, s\}$.

Note that $\Delta R_t^2 = 2R_t \Delta R_t + \Delta t$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\Delta R_t^2) = \Delta t$.

So $\mathbb{E}(R_t R_s) = \min\{t, s\}$ by ii).

Note that $\mathcal{L}(N, t) = \mathcal{L}(R, t)$. $\Rightarrow \hat{X}_t = P_{\mathcal{L}(R, t)} X_t$

In $\mathcal{L}(R, t)$, \hat{X}_t can be described well:

Lemma: $\hat{X}_t = \mathbb{E}(X_t) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbb{E}(X_t R_s) dR_s$.

Pf: Suppose $\hat{X}_t = G(t) + \int_0^t g(s) dR_s \in \mathcal{L}(R, t)$

$G(t) = \mathbb{E}(\hat{X}_t) = \mathbb{E}(P_{\mathcal{L}(R, t)}(X_t)) = \mathbb{E}(X_t)$

Combined with $X_t - \hat{X}_t \perp \int_0^t f(s) dR_s$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X_t \int_0^t f(s) dR_s) = \mathbb{E}(\int_0^t g(s) dR_s \int_0^t f(s) dR_s)$

$= \mathbb{E}(\int_0^t f(s) g(s) ds)$ (by Ito isometry)

Set $f(s) = X_{t-s}$. $\therefore \int_0^t g(s) dR_s = \mathbb{E}(X_t R_t)$

Step 4: Explicit formula for (X_t)

As in DPE, it's easy to obtain:

$$X_t = e^{\int_0^t F(s) ds} \left(X_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s F(u) du} (C(s) + \Delta W_s) \right)$$

generally, $X_t = e^{\int_r^t F(s) ds} X_r + \int_r^t e^{\int_s^t F(u) du} (C(s) + \Delta W_s)$

if we start at time $r \leq t$.

Rmk: $\mathbb{E}(X_t) = \mathbb{E}(X_0) e^{\int_0^t F(s) ds}$

Step 5: SDE for \hat{X}_t

First we have: $\hat{X}_t = \mathbb{E}(X_t) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbb{E}(X_t | \mathcal{F}_s) \Delta W_s$

Note $R_s = \int_0^s \frac{G(r)}{D(r)} (X_r - \hat{X}_r) \Delta W_r + V_s$, $\tilde{X}_r = X_r - \hat{X}_r$.

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X_t | \mathcal{F}_s) = \int_0^s \frac{G(r)}{D(r)} \mathbb{E}(X_t \tilde{X}_r) \Delta W_r$$

By explicit formula of X_t :

$$\mathbb{E}(X_t \tilde{X}_r) = e^{\int_0^t F(u) du} \mathbb{E}(X_r \tilde{X}_r) = e^{\int_0^t F(u) du} S(r)$$

where $S(r) = \mathbb{E}(\tilde{X}_r^2)$, MSE of X_r .

Second claim: $\frac{\lambda S}{\lambda t} = 2F(t)S(t) - \frac{G(t)}{D^2(t)} S^2(t) + C^2(t)$.

(The Riccati Equation)

Note: $S(t) = T(t) - \int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbb{E}(X_t | \mathcal{F}_s) \right)^2 \lambda ds - \mathbb{E}(X_t)^2$

$T(t) = \mathbb{E}(X_0^2)$ satisfies $\frac{\lambda T}{\lambda t} = 2F(t)T(t) + C^2(t)$.

Finally, we can obtain:

$$\hat{X}_t = (F(t) - \frac{G^T(t)S(t)}{D(t)}) \hat{X}_t \Delta t + \frac{S(t)}{D^2(t)} \cdot G(t) \Delta Z_t.$$

Rmk: We can see how the error $S(t)$ influences the estimate \hat{X}_t .

② Multi dimensional Case:

Thm (Kalman - Bucy Filter)

Solution $\hat{X}_t = \mathbb{E}(X_t | \mathcal{G}_t)$ of filtering problem:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = F(t)X_t dt + C(t) dW_t, & F \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p} \\ dZ_t = G(t)X_t dt + D(t) dV_t, & G \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, D \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r} \end{cases}$$

satisfies SDE:

$$d\hat{X}_t = (F - S G^T (D D^T)^{-1} G) \hat{X}_t dt + S G^T (D D^T)^{-1} dZ_t$$

$$\hat{X}_0 = \mathbb{E}(X_0), \text{ where } S(t) = \mathbb{E}((X_t - \hat{X}_t)(X_t - \hat{X}_t)^T)$$

satisfies Riccati equation:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = FS - SF^T - S G^T (D D^T)^{-1} G S + C C^T, \quad S_0 = \mathbb{E}((X_0 - \mathbb{E}(X_0))(X_0 - \mathbb{E}(X_0))^T).$$

$$S_0 = \mathbb{E}((X_0 - \mathbb{E}(X_0))(X_0 - \mathbb{E}(X_0))^T).$$

Under condition: i) $D_t \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$, $D_t^T D_t$ is invertible, $\forall t$

ii) $(D_t^T D_t)^{-1}$ is bdd on \mathcal{H} bdd t -intervals.