



Where are the traits of alien species? Identifying gaps in global trait databases

QR code
to Poster
DOI PDF
version


Matthias Grenié, Helge Brügelheide, Wayne Dawson, Franz Essl, Mark van Kleunen,
Holger Kreft, Ingolf Kühn, Petr Pyšek, Patrick Weigelt, Marten Winter

matthias.grenie@idiv.de

<https://rekyt.github.io/>

@LeNematode

@LeNematode@pouet.chapril.org



iDiv

sDiv

synthesis centre
of iDiv



UNIVERSITÄT
LEIPZIG

Introduction

Non-native species have strong negative effects on ecosystems, like *Reynoutria japonica* or *Lantana camara*. Functional ecology can help understand them using functional traits. However, the extent to which alien species are described by global trait databases is not known. We assessed the trait coverage of global alien species using global trait databases.

Photos of non-native invasive species
(left) *Reynoutria japonica* (right) *Lantana camara*




Public Domain

Methods

We assembled the largest trait dataset for alien plant species using global trait databases (AusTraits, BIEN, GIFT, TRY) and manually curated trait correspondence tables, combining them with the GloNAF database of alien species status and distributions.

We performed taxonomic harmonization for all the involved databases to unify the different taxonomies using the TNRS. In total we obtained distribution and alien status for 16,538 species and observed 2,216 different traits.



Because ecologists are seldom interested in isolated trait, we focused on 3 specific combinations of trait. These represent commonly used combinations for comparative ecology.

Leaf-Height-Seed Mass (LHS)



3 traits - Westoby et al. 1998

Aboveground Traits



6 traits - Diaz et al. 2016

Root Traits



4 traits - Bergmann et al. 2020

Take-Home Messages

Global trait databases show overall low coverage of alien species.

Many traits are measured (over 1,875 have been measured at least once) but as soon as we combine them the coverage drops dramatically.

We need a **global coordinated effort** to increase the trait coverage at global scale: **data mobilization, literature search, or targeted field campaign**.

This doesn't mean the data do not exist, rather that they are not deposited in global databases. If you have **trait data, deposit it** in databases like TRY


Deposit your data in TRY

<https://www.try-db.org/TryWeb/Submission.php>

Results

We found that the species-by-trait matrix (16,538 species x 2,216 traits) was **empty at 98%** (Figure 1A). 1,717 species (~10%) had >100 different traits measured, while **50%** of the species have **>20 traits** measured.


We also looked at the 20 most frequently measured traits (Figure 1B). Most of them were **categorical**. Plant height and seed mass were the most available continuous traits at respectively 68% and 51% of the species. There were **only 8 traits** available for over 50% of the species.



We mapped the proportion of alien species in each region with target trait combinations (Figure 3). All regions of the world showed >80% coverage with >0 trait.

However, for other trait combinations, the proportion dropped. The median was <60% for LHS. The Tropics showed vast regions with <40% coverage. Other combinations showed <20% coverage almost everywhere.

Figure 3 – Global Trait Combination Proportion of Alien Species across Regions



We measured the proportion of species with a given trait combination in function of their alien status as well as their widespreadness.

We found that **invasive and widespread species** had a consistently **higher proportion** of known trait combinations than non-invasive and non widespread species. This result hold whatever the considered trait combination.

Figure 2 – Trait combination proportions (top) by alien status (bottom) and range size





Figure 4 – Global Alien Plant Species Richness (according to GloNAF)



(Boring) Affiliations

German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany

Institute of Biology/Geobotany and Botanical Garden, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Halle, Germany

Dept of Biosciences, Univ. of Durham, Durham, UK

BioInvasives, Global Change, Macroecology Group, Dept of Botany and Biodiversity Research, Univ. Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Ecology, Dept. of Biology, Univ. of Konstanz, Konstanz, Germany

Zhejiang Provincial Key Laboratory of Plant Evolutionary Ecology and Conservation, Taizhou Univ., Taizhou, China

Czech Academy of Sciences, Inst. of Botany, Dept. of Invasion Ecology, Prague, Czech Republic

Dept of Biodiversity, Macroecology and Biogeography, Univ. of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

Centre of Biodiversity and Sustainable Land Use, Univ. of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

Campus-Inst. Data Science, Göttingen, Germany

Department Community Ecology, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research—UFZ, Halle, Germany

We need your contribution to close the gaps!

References

- Bergmann, J., Weigelt, A., von der Plass, F., Laughlin, D.C., Kupper, T.W., Guerrero-Ramirez, N., ... 2020. The fungal collaboration gradient dominates the root economics space in plants. *Science Advances*, 6, eaba3756. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaba3756>
- Boyle, B., Hopkins, N., Lu, Z., Garay, J.A.R., Moosherin, D., Rees, T., ... 2013. The taxonomic name resolution service: an online tool for automated standardization of plant names. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 14, 16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-14-16>
- Díaz, S., Kalte, J., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Wright, I.J., Lavorel, S., Dray, S., Reu, B., ... 2016. The global spectrum of plant form and function. *Nature* 529, 167–171. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16489>
- Fahnenstiel, D., Gallagher, R., Wernk, E.H., Wright, I.J., Indriati, D., Andrew, S.C., ... 2021. AusTraits, a curated plant trait database for the Australian flora. *Sci Data*, 8, 254. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-021-0106-6>
- Grenié, M., Berli, E., Carvalho-Quintana, J., Didlow, G.M.L., Sappolis, A., Winter, M., 2022. Harmonizing taxon names in biodiversity data: A review of tools, databases and best practices. *Methods Ecol Evol*, 2021-210X, 13892. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13892>
- Katze, J., Böröncs, G., Díaz, S., Lavorel, S., Prentice, I.C., Leadley, P., ... 2020. TRY plant trait database – enhanced coverage and open access. *Global Change Biology* 26, 119–188. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14940>
- Maher, B.S., Boyle, B., Casper, N., Connell, R., Donoghue, J., Durkin, S.M., ... 2018. The bioclim package: A tool to access the Botanical Information and Ecology Network (BIEN) database. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 9, 373–379. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12861>
- van Kleunen, M., Pyšek, P., Dawson, W., Essl, F., Kreft, H., Pergl, J., ... 2019. The Global Naturalized Alien Flora (GloNAF) database. *Ecology* 100, e02542. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2542>
- Weigelt, P., König, C., Kreft, H., 2020. GIFT – A Global Inventory of Floras and Traits for macroecology and biogeography. *Journal of Biogeography* 47, 16–43. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13623>
- Westoby, M., 1998. A leaf-height-seed mass (LHS) plant ecology strategy scheme. *Plant and Soil* 199, 213–227. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:100432722479>