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Abstract

Quantity and quality of ecological data have rapidly increased in the last decades, bringing ecology into the realm of big data. Frequently, multiple databases of different origins with different data characteristics are combined together to address new research questions. Taxonomic name harmonization, i.e. the process of standardize taxa names according to common sources (i.e. taxonomic backbones, TB), is necessary to properly combine multiple databases through species names. In order to be able to develop proper data matching workflows, TBs and tools using them need to be clearly and comprehensively described. But this is currently rarely the case. For example, it is often unclear if TBs are actively updated or from where the primary source of taxonomic information comes, e.g. with secondary TBs taking information from primary TBs. In addition, software to access these TBs are partly redundant or developed following non-compatible standards, creating additional challenges for users. As as results, taxonomic name harmonization has become a major obstacle in ecological studies. Researchers face a jungle of primary and secondary TBs with a diversity of tools to access them and no accepted workflow on how to practically proceed. As a consequence, it is hard for users to know which TB, tool and workflow will fit the the task at hand and lead to the most robust results.

Here, we present an overview of major TBs as well as all R packages and other tools to access TBs, and to correctly harmonize taxa names. We developed a shiny app summarizing meta-data and linkages among TBs and R packages (available at https://mgrenie.shinyapps.io/taxharmonizexplorer/), which users can explore to learn about general features of TBs and tools and how they are linked among each other. This is particularly helpful to help users decide on the TBs and tools that best fit the task at hand and to develop more informed workflow for taxonomic name harmonization. Finally, from our review and using the shiny app, we were able to provide general best practice principles to harmonize taxonomic names and avoid common pitfalls.

To our knowledge, ours represents the most exhaustive review of TBs and R tools for taxonomic name harmonization. Our intuitive shiny app can help taking practical decision when harmonizing taxa names across multiple datasets. Finally, our proposed workflows, based on conservative guideline principles, provide a hands-on approach for taxonomic harmonization that still focus on the quality of the end results, e.g. making sure that incompatible taxonomic hypotheses are not combined together, while maximizing the number of species correctly matched.