The Study of Rate of Convergence of Sequences and the Efficiency of an Algorithm

Jeffrey

2024/xx/xx

1 Introduction

In this study, I am going to take a deep look in What Sequences and Series really are, what makes them Converge and their rate of Convergence. I should clealry understand the concepts of Sequences, Series, and their relationships with each other. This understanding is crucial because Sequences and Series form the foundation of the Calculus. The convergence of a Sequence is actually a part of the definition of differentiation.

2 What is a Sequence?

When discussing sequences, it's essential to consider the concept of a 'set' as well; they are closely related. A sequence can be thought of as a set without order. (In this study I only talk about discrete sets and sequences but there's actually continuous ones!)

In 'Naive Set Theory', the elements in a set can encompass anything; for instance, let $S_1 = \{A, B, C, D\}$, where A could represent a person, B might signify an abstract concept like a president falling in love with a little girl, C could be a pizza, and D an integer. The possibilities are entirely open.

The key distinction is that a set, such as $S_1 = \{A, B, C, D\}$, is indifferent to the order of its elements. It is identical to $S_2 = \{B, C, D, A\}$; the order doesn't matter. However, in a sequence, the order is crucial. For example, Sequence $C_1 = \{A, B, C, D\}$ is NOT the same as Sequence $C_2 = \{B, C, D, A\}$.

The elements in a sequence can take various forms, just like elements in a set. However, in this study, I specifically focus on "Sequences of numbers", encompassing real numbers, irrational numbers, imaginary numbers, and so forth.

There are several well-known sequences, such as Fibonacci Sequence, Bernoulli Numbers, Natural numbers, Prime numbers and others. These sequences may

be finite or infinite but all have their own specific arrangement order or pattern. Identifying patterns within these sequences allows for the 'prediction' of the next element in a certain sense. If a sequence lacks a discernible pattern, it is categorized as a random sequence, which holds significance in probability theory and statistics. This study exclusively delves into sequences with identifiable patterns.

3 Definition of Limit

Now that we have a fundamental understanding of what sequence is, let's skip the easy part and delve directly into the infinite situation. A sequence can consist of an infinite number of terms. In such cases, our curiosity is often piqued about the behavior as we approach infinity. If the sequence converges to a specific number, we classfiy it as a convergent sequence. If the sequence does not converge to a specific number, such as reaching infinity, we refer to it as a divergent sequence.

3.1 The weird Infinitesimal in Differentiation

These things are easy but it is actually powerful and is used in differentiation. For example, how do we solve this $\frac{d(x^2+x+1)}{dx}$? It's simple.

(For dx, which is infinitely small compared to 2x and 1, should counts as 0.)

$$2x + 1$$

3.2 The Second Crisis of Math

In the above example, of course, one might just consider applying Newton's binomial theorem; however, not this time. We see, we treat dx as a real number in the process at the beginning, but in the end we treat it as 0. This is actually a significant issue here, as dx should not simultaneously be a real number and 0. The root of this problem lies in the lack of a clear definition for dx, which is

an infinitely small number referred to as 'infinitesimal'.

This issue is famously known as the 'Second Math Crisis', which occurred during the era of Newton and Leibniz. There are two ways to deal with this infinitely small crisis. First way, as one can intuitively come up with, is to define dx rigorously. This appoach is known as 'Non-Standard Analysis(NSA)', mainly developed by Abraham Robinson around 1960. However, the reason we refer to this intuitive solution 'Non-Standard' is that it was developed after the 'Standard analysis', which uses 'limit' idea instead of 'infinitesimal'. Most mathematicians accept 'Standard' more than 'Non-Standard' nowaday ,and interestingly, it may be more intuitive.

The reason I said it may be more intuitive is because nobody truly understand what 'infinitely close' or 'infinitely long' means, but everybody understands the idea of "wherever you go, I can go closer". It uses a Trick to make infinite question collapse to a finite question.

The definition of 'limit' was developed by great Cauchy and Weierstrass. For example, the limit of a function f(x) as x approaches c is denoted by

$$\lim_{x \to c} f(x)$$

and represent the value that f(x) approaches as x gets arbitrarily close to c.

$$\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = L$$

We can use the famous Epsilon-Delta definition to define what 'limit' reallly is:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \text{ such that } 0 < |x - c| < \delta \implies |f(x) - L| < \epsilon$$

 ϵ and δ can be any number. That means you can always find another smaller one. See, instead of directly dealing with 'infinitesimal', it says for all ϵ . This 'all' idea replaces 'infinity' which we don't really understand. Very clever.

People developed this concept around age 5 or maybe 6 when playing 'Say The Biggest Number' on the playground (around the time you are learning words like 'thousand', 'million', 'billion' etc). Eventually someone comes up with "Whatever you say plus one".

This idea, "Whatever you say...I adjust it thusly..." is incredibly deep and is the foundation for all rigorous ideas of 'limit'.

It is interesting because it places the work of infinity on your imaginary opponent. They actually give you epsilon out of this weird, murky infinite soup... and after that its a normal finite problem to manipulate it in your argument.

(If you are interested in digging deeper into 'Naive Set Theory' and 'Continuity of sets', you can check the study I create 'The Third Math Crisis: A Path from Naive Set Theory to Modern Set Theory.'. Here are some references: $https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/3299369/is-an-uncountable-set-and-a-continuous-set-the-same-thing <math display="block">https://mathbitsnotebook.com/Algebra2/FunctionGraphs/FGContinuousDiscrete.html \\ https://zhuanlan.zhihu.com/p/60177203$

4 The Infinite sequences and its convergence

Now we understand the idea behind how differentiation works, it takes the idea of 'Convergence of Sequences' and 'for all'. Let's keep talking about convergence of a sequence. We focus on the discrete sequences here. (However, remember there are continuous ones.")

If a sequence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = C \text{ , for } n = 1, 2, 3...\infty$$

If C is a finite real number, We say this sequence is convergent to C or else, if C is ∞ , $-\infty$ or uncertain we say it is divergent.

In the most cases, if you find the function of a_n , like $a_n = f(n)$ then you can know if it's convergent or not. For example, a geometric sequence is like this below

$$a_n = f(n) = ar^{n-1}$$

follows the recursive relation

$$a_n = ra_{n-1}$$
 for every integer $n \ge 2$

Such sequence is obviously divergent for

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ar^{n-1} = \infty$$

For another example, Fibonacci sequence

$$F_n = \{1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55...\} = F(n) = \frac{\phi^n - \psi^n}{\phi - \psi} = \frac{\phi^n - \psi^n}{\sqrt{5}}$$
 where

$$\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618...$$
 which is the well-known golden ratio, and

$$\psi = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx -0.618...~\phi$$
 and ψ are conjugate to each other.

And of course, it follows the recursive relation

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$

Fibonnaci sequence is divergent and it's term tend to infinity. The sequence of ratios of n-th and n-1 term of Fibonnaci sequence is Convergent.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_n^* =$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n} =$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\phi^{n+1} - \psi^{n+1}}{\phi^n - \psi^n} =$$
(Because $\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi^n = 0$)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\phi^{n+1}}{\phi^n} = \phi = 1.618...$$

It converges to the well-known golden ratio.

5 The Rate of Divergence or Convergence of a sequence

A sequence can be convergent or divergent, of course; However, if there are two sequences which have the same starting point and ending point (which can be ∞ , $-\infty$ or a real number L,), then, which one is going faster? That means which one need less steps to get closer to the infinity point?

5.1 The Rate of Divergence

For example,

$$A_n = n , A_1 = 0 , A_\infty = \infty$$

$$B_n = n^2$$
, $B_1 = 0$, $B_{\infty} = \infty$

 A_n and B_n both start at the 0 and diverge to the ∞ . Well, Apparently, B_n grows more faster than A_n . We can use differentiation to take a good look of what happened. For

$$A_n = A(n)$$

$$B_n = B(n)$$

We can easily see that

$$\frac{dA(n)}{dn} = A'(n) = 1$$

$$\frac{dB(n)}{dn} = B'(n) = 2n$$

And, B'(n) > A'(n) for n > 0. So, we can say that B(n) grows faster, or in other words, B(n) get closer to ∞ more faster than A(n) does for n > 0.

With this basic idea above, we can compare sequences by their differential, all we need to do is just finding the sequence's function.

5.2 What is a Series?

A Series is either finite or infinite, just like a sequence is. In the end, we all know that a series is just a summation of every term in a sequence. Nothing more, right? Interestingly, there's still something more can be discussed.

Specifically speaking, if we collect all the Series of a summation of a sequence, then we get a Sequence. How? See, we could have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} A_n = S_m$$

 S_m is actually a sequence based on m and it's parent sequence!

Now when the $m \to \infty$, then we get a infinite series

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{m} A_n = \lim_{m \to \infty} S_m$$

In sum, it's all about sequences. A series is just a sequence of additions, or a sequence of partial sums; conversely, every sequence of addable objects(in particular, numbers), can be decomposed into a series.

5.3 Digging deeper about The Rate of Divergence

Now, with the basic concept about Series, we discuss some famous series. First, the Harmonic Series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots$$

It seems like the series is convergent because $\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{1}{k}=0$. But we can easily show that this series is actually divergent to ∞ by using some convergent

test(like integral test), though the convergent rate is very slow.

But how do we know the convergent rate of harmonic series? By differentiation again? It turns out that it is not that simples as it seems. We have to find the sequence of harmonic series H_n first

$$H_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$$

This sequence, we also call it 'Harmonic Numbers'.

Harmonic numbers have been studied since antiquity and are important in various branches of number theory. They are sometimes loosely termed harmonic series, are closely related to the 'Reimann zeta function', and appear in the expressions of various special functions. We can check more details in Wikipedia.

5.4 The rate of convergence