1 Quotient spaces

Let X be a Banach space and $M \subset X$ a closer linear subspace. Let \sim be the equivalence relation defined through $x \sim y$ if and only if $x - y \in M$ and let X/M be the quotient space with respect to \sim .

a) For $[x] \in X/M$ let

$$||[x]||_{X/M} := \inf_{y \in [x]} ||y||_X = \inf_{y \in M} ||x - y||_X$$

Show that $\|\cdot\|_{X/M}$ is a norm on X/M.

Proof. Indeed we have that $\|\cdot\|_{X/M}$ is well-defined, it is in particular finite because $\|\cdot\|_X$ is a norm on X. Moreover we have

$$||[x]||_{X/M} = \inf_{y \in M} ||x - y|| = 0$$

Thus we can construct a sequence y_n in M such that $||x-y_n|| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. But since $M \subset X$ is closed we have that $y_n \to x \in M$, henceforth [x] = 0.

Next we have

$$\begin{split} \|[x] + [y]\|_{X/M} &= \|[x + y]\|_{X/M} = \inf_{v \in M} \|x + y - v\| \\ &= \inf_{v \in M} \|x - v + y - v + v\| \\ &\leq \inf_{v \in M} \|x - v\| + \inf_{v \in M} \|y - v\| + \inf_{v \in M} \|v\| \\ &= \inf_{v \in M} \|x - v\| + \inf_{v \in M} \|y - v\| \\ &= \|[x]\|_{X/M} + \|[y]\|_{X/M} \end{split}$$

Finally we have for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$

$$\|\lambda[x]\|_{X/M} = \|[\lambda x]\|_{X/M} = \inf_{y \in M} \|\lambda x - y\|$$

$$= \inf_{\lambda y \in M} \|\lambda x - \lambda y\|$$

$$= \inf_{u \in M} \|\lambda x - \lambda u\|$$

$$= \inf_{u \in M} |\lambda| \|x - u\| = |\lambda| \|[x]\|_{X/M}$$
(*)

Where we used in (*) that $\varphi_{\lambda}: M \to M$ given by $\varphi_{\lambda}(x) = \lambda x$ is a bijection for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. Thus we have shown that $\|\cdot\|_{X/M}$ is a norm on X/M.

b) Show that the projection $\pi: X \to X/M, x \mapsto [x]$ is continuous.

Proof. Evidently, the function π is linear because we have by definition that

$$[x + y] = [x] + [y]$$
$$\lambda[x] = [\lambda x]$$

For all $x, y \in X, \lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. Moreover we have

$$\|\pi(x)\|_{X/M} = \|[x]\|_{X/M} = \inf_{y \in M} \|x - y\| \le \inf_{y \in M} \|x\| + \inf_{\underbrace{y \in M}} \|y\| = \|x\|_X$$

That is π is a bounded linear operator and thus continuous.

c) Show that X/M is complete.

Proof. Recall from Exercise Sheet 1 Exercise 4: Normed spaces and Banach spaces where we have shown

Theorem: Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space if and only if, all absolutely convergent series are also convergent, i.e. if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ||x_n|| < \infty \implies \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n < \infty$$

Thanks to the above theorem in order to prove that X/M is a Banach space it is enough to show that every series in X/M that converges absolutely also converges in X/M.

To this extent let us take an arbitrary sequence $([x_n])_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in X/M such that its series is absolutely convergent, i.e. we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|[x_n]\|_{X/M} < \infty$$

By definition of the quotient norm (via the inf) we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the existence of some $y_n \in M$ such that $||x_n - y_n|| \le ||[x_n]||_{X/M} + 1/2^n$. Since we've already established the convergence of the right hand side, the convergence of the left hand side is immediate by domination. But X is a Banach space, thus it follows from the Theorem above that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x_n - y_n) = x < \infty$$

We now claim that the series over the sequence $([x_n])_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in X/M converges to $[x] \in X/M$.

Indeed, let us consider for $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left\| [x] - \sum_{n=0}^{N} [x_n] \right\|_{X/M} = \left\| [x - \sum_{n=0}^{N} x_n] \right\|_{X/M} \le \left\| x - \sum_{n=0}^{N} x_n \right\|_{X} \to 0$$

as $N \to \infty$. Where the last inequality just follows by the very definition of the quotient norm.

d) Let X and Y be Banach spaces and $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$.

We define the kernel of T, $\ker T := \{x \in X : Tx = 0\}$ and the range of T, $\operatorname{ran}(T) := \{Tx : x \in X\} \subset Y$. Let $\iota : \operatorname{ran}T \to Y, x \mapsto x$ be the inclusion map. Show that then there exists a bijective operator $\hat{T} \in \mathcal{L}(X/\ker T, \operatorname{ran}T)$ with $T = \iota \hat{T}\pi$ and $\|\hat{T}\| = \|T\|$.

Proof. We have indeed that $\ker T \subset X$ is a closed linear subspace. Now we define

$$\hat{T}: X/\ker T \to \operatorname{ran}T, \ [x] \mapsto T(x)$$

We notice that that \hat{T} is well-defined. Indeed, let $[x], [y] \in X/\ker T$ be such that [x] = [y], this is the case if and only if $x \sim y$ i.e. $x - y \in \ker T$, or equivalently $x \in y + \ker T$. This entails that we can write x = y + t for some $t \in \ker T$. Thus we get

$$\hat{T}([x]) = T(x) = T(y+t) = T(y) + \underbrace{T(t)}_{=0} = T(y) = T([y])$$

Which shows that \hat{T} is well-defined. Moreover, \hat{T} is by definition (defined through T) surjective onto the range of T. Furthermore \hat{T} is injective, let $[x], [y] \in X/\ker T$ be such that

$$\hat{T}([x]) = \hat{T}([y]) \iff T(x) = T(y) \implies T(x - y) = 0$$
 $\iff x - y \in \ker T$
 $\iff x \sim y$
 $\iff [x] = [y]$

The linearity of \hat{T} follows immediatly by the linearty of π and the linearty of T. Finally, we have that π is continuous, and by assumption T is continuous too.

We have seen that $||[x]||_{X/\ker T} \leq ||x||_X$ and by the continuity of T we obtain for some C > 0 that

$$\|\hat{T}([x])\|_{Y} = \|T(x)\|_{Y} \le C\|x\|_{X}$$

let us now set $\tilde{C} := \max(1, C)$. This yields that

$$\|\hat{T}([x])\|_{Y} \le C\|x\|_{X} \le \tilde{C}\|x\|_{X} = \tilde{C}\|x - 0\|_{X}$$

Since $0 \in \ker T$ we conclude that

$$\|\hat{T}([x])\|_{Y} \le \inf_{t \in \ker T} \|x - t\| = \|x\|_{X/\ker T}$$

showing that indeed $\hat{T} \in \mathcal{L}(X/\ker T, \operatorname{ran}T)$.

Further, by the very definition of \hat{T} we have that $T = \iota \hat{T} \pi$.

Lastly, we observe that since $0 \in \ker T$ we have that if $||x||_X \leq 1$ for an arbitrary $x \in X$, then $||x - 0||_X = ||x||_X \leq 1$ and thus by definition of the infimum as the greatest lower bound we must also have $\inf_{y \in \ker T} ||x - y|| \leq 1$.

Conversely, if $\inf_{y \in \ker T} ||x - y|| \le 1$. Then again by definition of the greatest upper bound we must also have that $||x - 0|| = ||x|| \le 1$. This shows that

$$\begin{split} \|\hat{T}\| &= \sup_{\substack{[x] \in X/\ker T \\ \|[x]\|_{X/\ker T} \le 1}} \|\hat{T}([x])\|_{Y} = \sup_{\substack{[x] \in X/\ker T \\ \|[x]\|_{X/\ker T} \le 1}} \|T(x)\|_{Y} \\ &= \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ \|x\|_{X} \le 1}} \|T(x)\|_{Y} = \|T\| \end{split}$$

2 Reflexivity and weak convergence

a) Let X be a normed space and X^* its dual. Let $(L_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X^* and $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence in X. Let $L_n \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} L$ in X^* with respect to the weak-* topology and $x_n \to x$ with respect to the norm in X. Show that if X is reflexive, then $L_n(x_n) \to L(x)$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. We recall that the space X^{**} is always a Banach space, since by assumption X is reflexive we have $X \cong X^{**}$, i.e. X is a Banach space as well. Thus we know that the reflexivity of X is equivalent to saying that X^* is reflexive.

Claim: Let X be a reflexive Banach space, then weak-* convergence of a sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in X^* implies weak convergence.

Proof of Claim: Let $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X^* that converges towards $f\in X^*$ w.r.t. τ_W^* , this is the case if and only if $f_n(x)\to f(x)$ for all $x\in X$ as $n\to\infty$.

We want to show that $f_n \to f$ in X^* , that is by **Lemma 6.2.1.** equivalent to showing that $\lambda(f_n) \to \lambda(f)$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $\lambda \in X^{**}$.

Since X is reflexive, the canonical inclusion $J_X: X \to X^{**}$ is surjective, that is to say that for every $\lambda \in X^{**}$ we find an $x \in X$ such that $J_X(x) = \lambda$. But then by the definition of the canonical inclusion we have

$$\lambda(f_n) = J_X(x)(f_n) = f_n(x) \to f(x) = J_X(x)(f) = \lambda(f)$$

which gives the weak convergence.

Next we recall that weakly convergent sequences are always bounded. By our efforts above we now have for the sequence $(L_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in X^* that $L_n \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} L$ in X^* implies that $L_n \stackrel{}{\rightharpoonup} L$ in X^* and the sequence $(L_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. Let M > 0 be a constant such that $||L_n||_{X^*} \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$|L_n(x_n) - L(x)| = |L_n(x_n) - L_n(x) + L_n(x) - L(x)|$$

$$\leq |L_n(x_n - x)| + |L_n(x) - L(x)|$$

$$\leq ||L_n||_{X^*} ||x_n - x||_X + |L_n(x) - L(x)|$$

$$\leq M||x_n - x||_X + |L_n(x) - L(x)|$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ the right hand side converges to zero since $x_n \to x$ with respect to the norm in X and L_n converges in the sense of weak-* converges towards L (see characterization at begin of proof of claim).