

## Mathematical Finance Exercise Sheet 2

*Please hand in until Wednesday, October 1st, 12:00 in your assistant's box in HG G 52.1*

### Exercise 2-1

Consider the multiperiod Cox–Ross–Rubinstein binomial model for the bank account  $\tilde{B}$  and stock price process  $\tilde{S}$  with parameters  $r$  (i.e.,  $\tilde{B}_n = (1+r)^n$ ) and  $u > d > -1$ . That is, the discounted stock price  $S = \tilde{S}/\tilde{B}$  is given by

$$S_k = s_0 \prod_{j=1}^k R_j, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, T, \quad s_0 > 0,$$

where the  $R_j$  are i.i.d. under  $P$  with

$$P \left[ R_j = \frac{1+u}{1+r} \right] = p = 1 - P \left[ R_j = \frac{1+d}{1+r} \right]$$

for some  $p \in (0, 1)$ .

- a) If  $u \leq r$  or  $d \geq r$ , find an arbitrage opportunity, i.e., a self-financing strategy  $\varphi$  with  $V_0(\varphi) = 0$ ,  $V_T(\varphi) \geq 0$   $P$ -a.s. and  $P[V_T(\varphi) > 0] > 0$ .

**Hint:** Compare the behaviour of  $\tilde{S}$  and  $\tilde{B}$ .

- b) If  $u > r > d$ , find a probability measure  $Q \approx P$  such that  $S$  is a  $Q$ -martingale.

Together with the results from the lecture, this shows that the CRR model is arbitrage-free if and only if  $u > r > d$ .

### Exercise 2-2

Let  $(\Omega, \mathbb{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, P)$  be a filtered probability space and  $\bar{S} = (1, S_t^1, \dots, S_t^d)_{t \in [0, T]}$  a general continuous-time financial market with time horizon  $T > 0$ .

- a) Show that if there exists an (elementary) arbitrage opportunity

$$\vartheta = \sum_{k=1}^N h_k 1_{\llbracket \tau_{k-1}, \tau_k \rrbracket} \in \mathbf{b}\mathcal{E},$$

then there also exists a “one-step buy-and-hold” arbitrage opportunity  $\vartheta^* = h 1_{\llbracket \sigma_0, \sigma_1 \rrbracket} \in \mathbf{b}\mathcal{E}$ .

- b) Assume that  $S$  is a semimartingale and satisfies NA. Prove that if  $\vartheta \in \Theta_{adm}$  satisfies  $G_T(\vartheta) \geq -c$   $P$ -a.s. for some  $c \geq 0$ , then  $G_*(\vartheta) \geq -c$   $P$ -a.s.

**Hint:** Use that if  $\vartheta$  is  $S$ -integrable, and  $C \in \mathcal{P}$  is a predictable set, then  $1_C \vartheta$  is  $S$ -integrable, too.

*Please turn the page*

### Exercise 2-3

Consider a probability space with discrete-time filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$  and an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued local martingale  $X = (X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$  null at 0. Prove the following:

- a) If all the  $X_t$  are integrable, then  $X$  is a true martingale.
- b) The following sharpening is also true: For any  $T \in \mathbb{N}$  we have that if  $X_T^-$  is integrable, then  $(X_t)_{t=0,1,\dots,T}$  is a true martingale. In particular, if  $X$  is bounded from below, then  $X$  is a true martingale.  
**Hint:** First show via localization that  $X^-$  has the submartingale property. Deduce integrability of each  $X_t^-$  and hence of  $X_t^+$ .
- c) If  $\vartheta$  is any  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued predictable process with  $\vartheta_0 := 0$ , then the real-valued discrete-time integral  $Y = \int \vartheta dX$ , defined by  $Y_t = \sum_{k=1}^t \vartheta_k(X_k - X_{k-1})$ , is again a local martingale.

**Note:** All these assertions are false in continuous time in general.

---

Exercise sheets and further information are also available on:

<http://www.math.ethz.ch/education/bachelor/lectures/hs2014/math/mf/uebungen>