

Mathematical Finance Exercise Sheet 6

Please hand in until Wednesday, October 29th, 12:00 in your assistant's box in HG G 52.1

Exercise 6-1

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, P)$ be a filtered probability space, $S = (S^1, \dots, S^d)_{t \in [0, T]}$ a d -dimensional semimartingale and $Q \approx P$ on \mathcal{F}_T an equivalent probability measure.

- a) Assume that Q is a separating measure for S . Show that if S is (locally) bounded, then Q is an equivalent (local) martingale measure for S .

Hint: Consider suitable simple integrands.

- b) Assume that Q is an equivalent σ -martingale measure for S . Show that it is also an equivalent separating measure.

Hint: Use the Ansel-Stricker theorem.

- c) Now assume that $d = 1$, that $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is the natural (completed) filtration of S and that $S = (S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is of the form

$$S_t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq t < T, \\ X & \text{for } t = T, \end{cases}$$

where X is normally distributed with mean $\mu \neq 0$ and variance $\sigma^2 > 0$. Show that in this case the class \mathcal{M}_{sep} of equivalent separating measures for S is strictly bigger than the class \mathcal{M}_σ of equivalent σ -martingale measures for S .

Hint: Describe explicitly all predictable processes ϑ for the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and compute then $G(\vartheta)$.

Exercise 6-2

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space supporting a Brownian motion $W = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$. Denote by $(\mathcal{F}_t^W)_{t \in [0, T]}$ the natural (completed) filtration of W . Let $\mu = (\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be a predictable process with $\int_0^T |\mu_s| ds < \infty$ P -a.s. and $\int_0^t \mu_s^2 ds < \infty$ P -a.s. for all $t \in (0, T)$. Define the process $S = (S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ by the SDE

$$dS_t = S_t(\mu_t dt + dW_t), \quad S_0 = s_0 > 0.$$

Moreover, define the process $Z = (Z_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ by the SDE

$$dZ_t = -Z_t \mu_t dW_t, \quad Z_0 = 1. \tag{*}$$

- a) Show that (*) has a unique strong solution, which is nonnegative. (Note that Z_T can become 0.)

Hint: Use that (*) has a unique strong solution on $[0, t]$ for fixed $t \in (0, T)$ and that this solution has an explicit formula. Paste these solutions together and use the supermartingale convergence theorem.

- b) Show that Z is the unique nonnegative local P -martingale for the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t^W)_{t \in [0, T]}$ with $Z_0 = 1$ such that ZS is also a local P -martingale for the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t^W)_{t \in [0, T]}$.

Hint: Use the product formula and Itô's representation theorem.

Please turn the page

c) Suppose that Z is a true martingale. Show that the process S satisfies NA.

Hint: Define $Q \ll P$ on \mathcal{F}_T by $dQ := Z_T dP$. Then show that if $\vartheta \bullet S_T \geq 0$ P -a.s. for $\vartheta \in \Theta_{adm}$, then $\vartheta \bullet S \equiv 0$ under Q and use that $Q \approx P$ on \mathcal{F}_t for all $t \in [0, T)$.

d) Show that S satisfies NFLVR if and only if Z is a true martingale with the additional property that $Z_T > 0$ P -a.s.

Hint: Use the fundamental theorem of asset pricing.

e) Assume that $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is given by $\mu_t := \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-t}} 1_{[0, \tau]}$, where $\tau = \inf\{t \in (0, T) : \tilde{Z}_t = 2\} \wedge T$ and where $\tilde{Z} = (\tilde{Z}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is the unique strong solution of the SDE

$$d\tilde{Z}_t = \frac{-\tilde{Z}_t}{\sqrt{T-t}} dW_t, \quad \tilde{Z}_0 = 1.$$

Using the above results, show that S satisfies NA but fails NFLVR.

Exercise 6-3

The aim of this exercise is to see that the fundamental theorem of asset pricing does not extend to market models with infinitely many assets in a straightforward manner.

Consider $\mathbb{T} = \{0, 1\}$, i.e. a one-period model, and $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ with filtration $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$, $\mathcal{F}_1 = 2^\Omega$, and any probability P such that $P[\{k\}] > 0$ for all $k \in \Omega$. We assume that there is a constant bank account $S^0 \equiv 1$ and that there are infinitely many assets i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, satisfying

$$S_0^i = 1, \quad S_1^i(k) = 1 - \delta_{k,i} + \delta_{k,i+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad k \in \Omega,$$

where δ denotes Kronecker's delta. Since $\Delta S(k) \in \ell^\infty$ for all k , a strategy should take values in ℓ^1 . As $T = 1$, a strategy is therefore simply a summable (deterministic) sequence $\vartheta = (\vartheta^i)_{i=0,1,\dots}$, where ϑ^0 represents V_0 .

a) Show that the no-arbitrage condition (NA) holds true, i.e. that

$$\vartheta \cdot \Delta S \geq 0 \implies \vartheta \cdot \Delta S = 0.$$

b) Show that there exists no equivalent martingale measure for S .

Exercise sheets and further information are also available on:

<http://www.math.ethz.ch/education/bachelor/lectures/hs2014/math/mf/uebungen>