Mathematical Finance Solution 8

Solution 8-1

a) $d\langle B, W \rangle_t = \rho dt$ because $d\langle W, W' \rangle_t = 0$, and

$$\langle S, Y \rangle_t = \left\langle \int \sigma(u, S_u, Y_u) dW_u, \int a(u, Y_u) dB_u \right\rangle_t$$
$$= \int_0^t \sigma(u, S_u, Y_u) a(u, Y_u) d\langle W, B \rangle_u = \int_0^t \sigma(u, S_u, Y_u) a(u, Y_u) \rho du.$$

b) Let Z^Q be the density process of $Q \approx P$. Note that \mathcal{F}_0 is trivial and Z^Q is continuous since the filtration is generated by (W, W'). Defining L^Q by

$$L^Q = \int \frac{1}{Z^Q} dZ^Q$$

we have $Z^Q = \mathcal{E}(L^Q)$. By the Kunita-Watanabe decomposition, L^Q is given by

$$L^Q = \int \gamma^Q \sigma dW + N^Q$$

with $N^Q \in \mathcal{M}_{0,loc}(P)$ and $\langle N^Q, \int \sigma dW \rangle = 0$. By Bayes' rule, Q is an ELMM for S iff $Z^Q S \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}(P)$. By the product rule, we obtain

$$d(Z_t^Q S_t) = Z_t^Q \sigma_t dW_t + S_t dZ_t^Q + Z_t^Q \left(\mu_t dt + d \left\langle L^Q, \int \sigma dW \right\rangle_t \right)$$
$$= Z_t^Q \sigma_t dW_t + S_t dZ_t^Q + Z_t^Q \left(\mu_t dt + \gamma_t^Q \sigma_t^2 dt \right),$$

yielding $Z^QS \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}(P)$ if and only if $\gamma^Q = -\frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_t^2}$. Therefore the equivalent local martingale measures Q are parametrized via

$$Z^{Q} = \mathcal{E}\left(-\int \frac{\mu}{\sigma} dW + N^{Q}\right).$$

Since the filtration is generated by (W, W'), we can apply the martingale representation theorem to write N^Q as

$$N^Q = \int \psi dW + \int \nu dW',$$

where ψ and ν are some predictable processes. As $\langle N^Q, \int \sigma dW \rangle = 0$, it follows that $0 = \int \psi_t \, \sigma_t \, dt$ and hence $\psi = 0$ so that we finally obtain

$$Z^{Q} = \mathcal{E}\left(-\int \lambda dW + \int \nu dW'\right),\tag{1}$$

where $\lambda = \mu/\sigma$ and ν is some predictable process.

c) By Girsanov, (W^Q, W'^Q) , defined by $W^Q = W + \int \lambda dt$ and $W'^Q = W' - \int \nu dt$, is a 2-dimensional Q-Brownian motion. Plugging this into the SDEs for S and Y gives

$$dS_t = \mu_t dt + \sigma_t (dW_t^Q - \lambda_t dt) = \sigma_t W_t^Q$$

and

$$dY_t = b_t dt + a_t \rho (dW_t^Q - \lambda_t dt) + a_t \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} (dW_t^{\prime Q} + \nu_t dt)$$
$$= (b_t + a_t (\sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \nu_t - \rho \lambda_t)) dt + a_t dB^Q$$

for the Q-Brownian motion $B_t^Q = \rho W_t^Q + \sqrt{1-\rho^2} \ W_t'^Q$.

Solution 8-2

a) Since Z is an exponential Lévy process, it is a P-martingale if and only if it is integrable with mean 1. First, note that

$$E[\exp(\phi(Y_1))] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\widetilde{\lambda}}{\lambda} \frac{d\widetilde{\nu}}{d\nu}(x) \, d\nu(x) = \frac{\widetilde{\lambda}}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\widetilde{\nu}(x) = \frac{\widetilde{\lambda}}{\lambda}. \tag{2}$$

Moreover, for $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $t \in [0, T]$,

$$E\left[a^{N_t}\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a\lambda t)^k}{k!} \exp(-\lambda t) = \exp((a-1)\lambda t). \tag{3}$$

Fix $t \in [0, T]$. Then by independence of N and the Y_k and by the above,

$$E[Z_t] = \exp((\lambda - \widetilde{\lambda})t)E\left[E[\exp(\phi(Y_1)]^{N_t}] = \exp((\lambda - \widetilde{\lambda})t)E\left[(\widetilde{\lambda}/\lambda)^{N_t}\right]$$
$$= \exp((\lambda - \widetilde{\lambda})t)\exp((\widetilde{\lambda}/\lambda - 1)\lambda t) = 1. \tag{4}$$

b) First, X has clearly RCLL paths under Q.

Next, we show that under Q, X has stationary increments and $X_t - X_s$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_s for all $0 \le s < t \le T$. So fix $0 \le s < t \le T$ and let $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded measurable function. Since X is a Lévy process for the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ under P, it follows that the process $\widetilde{X} = (\widetilde{X}_u)_{u \in [0,T-s]}$ defined by $\widetilde{X}_u := X_{u+s} - X_s$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_s and equal in distribution to $(X_u)_{u \in [0,T-s]}$ under P. Using this, the fact that

$$\frac{Z_t}{Z_s} = \exp\left(\sum_{s < u \le t} \phi(\Delta X_u) + (\lambda - \widetilde{\lambda})(t - s)\right)
= \exp\left(\sum_{0 < u < t - s} \phi(\Delta \widetilde{X}_u) + (\lambda - \widetilde{\lambda})(t - s)\right),$$
(5)

the fact that Z is the density process of Q with respect to P on $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ by part a) and Bayes' theorem, gives

$$E_{Q}[g(X_{t} - X_{s}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}] = E\left[\frac{Z_{t}}{Z_{s}}g(X_{t} - X_{s}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]$$

$$= E\left[\left(\sum_{0 < u \leq t-s} \phi(\Delta \widetilde{X}_{u}) + (\lambda - \widetilde{\lambda})(t-s)\right)g(\widetilde{X}_{t-s})\right] \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]$$

$$= E\left[\left(\sum_{0 < u \leq t-s} \phi(\Delta X_{u}) + (\lambda - \widetilde{\lambda})(t-s)\right)g(X_{t-s})\right]$$

$$= E[Z_{t-s}g(X_{t-s})] = E_{Q}[g(X_{t-s})]. \tag{6}$$

So X is a Lévy process for the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ under Q. In order to show that it is even a compound Poisson process with rate $\widetilde{\lambda}$ and jump distribution $\widetilde{\nu}$, we calculate the characteristic function of X_1 under Q to determine its law. To this end, let $v \in \mathbb{R}$. First, note that

$$E[\exp(ivY_1 + \phi(Y_1))] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(ivx) \frac{\widetilde{\lambda}}{\lambda} \frac{d\widetilde{\nu}}{d\nu}(x) d\nu(x) = \frac{\widetilde{\lambda}}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(ivx) d\widetilde{\nu}(x). \tag{7}$$

Using this, the independence of N and the Y_k under P and (3), gives

$$E_{Q}[\exp(ivX_{1})] = E[Z_{1}\exp(ivX_{1})] = \exp(\lambda - \widetilde{\lambda})E\left[E[\exp(ivY_{1} + \phi(Y_{1}))]^{N_{1}}\right]$$

$$= \exp(\lambda - \widetilde{\lambda})\exp\left(\left(\frac{\widetilde{\lambda}}{\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\exp(ivx)\,d\widetilde{\nu}(x) - 1\right)\lambda\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\widetilde{\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\exp(ivx) - 1)\,d\widetilde{\nu}(x)\right). \tag{8}$$

Solution 8-3

a) (\Rightarrow) : Since $S \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(P)$, there exists H > 0 and a local P-martingale M with $H \in L(M)$ such that $S = \int H \, dM$. For each m, define $D_m := \{|H| \le m\} \in \mathcal{P}$. Then $(D_m) \uparrow \Omega \times [0, \infty)$ and $1_{D_m} \in L(S)$ for each m being predictable and locally bounded. Moreover, by associativity, we have $1_{D_m} \bullet S = (1_{D_m} H) \bullet M$. Thus, we conclude from Exercise 7-1 c) that $1_{D_m} \bullet S \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}(P)$ for each m.

(\Leftarrow): First, observe that there exists a countable partition (B_m) of $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$ lying in \mathcal{P} . Indeed, define recursively $B_1 := D_1$, $B_2 := D_2 \setminus B_1$, $B_m = D_m \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^{m-1} B_i)$. Since $B_m \subseteq D_m$, we obtain that $1_{B_m} \bullet S = 1_{B_m} \bullet (1_{D_m} \bullet S)$ Thus, we deduce from Exercsie **6-1 c**) that $1_{B_m} \bullet S \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}(P)$ for each m. Since $\mathcal{M}_{loc} = \mathcal{H}^1_{loc}$, there exists for each m a localizing sequence $(\tau_{m,j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $(1_{B_m} \bullet S)^{\tau_{m,j}} \in \mathcal{H}^1$ for each j. For each m, choose j(m) such that

$$P[\tau_{m,j(m)} < \infty] \le 2^{-m},$$

and then define the stopping time $T_m := \inf_{k \geq m} \tau_{k,j(k)}$. By construction, we have $T_m < \tau_{m,j(m)}$ thus we see that such that $(1_{B_m} \bullet S)^{T_m} \in \mathcal{H}^1$ for each m. Moreover (T_m) converges to infinity P-a.s., as

$$P[T_m < \infty] \le \sum_{k=-m}^{\infty} P[\tau_{k,j(k)} < \infty] \le 2^{-m+1}.$$

Now, for each m define $A_m := B_m \cap \llbracket 0, \tau_m \rrbracket$. By construction, (A_m) is a partition of $\Omega \times \llbracket 0, \infty \rangle$ lying in \mathcal{P} . Moreover, $1_{A_m} \bullet S \in \mathcal{H}^1$ for each m. Let $(c_m) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly positive, decreasing sequence converging to zero such that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m \|1_{A_m} \bullet S\|_{H^1} < \infty$. Then, define

$$H := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_m} 1_{A_m}, \qquad M := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m (1_{A_m} \bullet S),$$

where $M \in \mathcal{H}^1$ is defined as the limit of the sequence $X_n := \sum_{m=1}^n c_m(1_{A_m} \bullet S)$, which is Cauchy in \mathcal{H}^1 . Then, we see that $H \in L(M)$ as for $n_k := \sup\{n : c_n \ge \frac{1}{k}\}$, we have

$$H1_{\{|H| \le k\}} \bullet M = \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n_k} 1_{A_m}\right) \bullet S,$$

and (A_m) is a partition of $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$. Moreover, since (n_k) tends to infinity, we obtain by uniqueness that $S = H \bullet M$ and hence $S \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(P)$.

b) Let $Z = \mathcal{E}(N)$ for some $N \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}(P)$. Then, by the product rule, we see that

$$ZS = Z_{-} \bullet S + S_{-} \bullet Z + [Z, S] = S_{-} \bullet Z + Z_{-} \bullet (S + [S, N]).$$

Thus, we deduce from Exercise Exercise 7-1 c) that

$$ZS \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(P) \iff S + [S, N] \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(P).$$

Now, using again the product rule, we obtain that

$$ZG(\vartheta) = Z_{-} \bullet G(\vartheta) + G(\vartheta)_{-} \bullet Z + [Z, G(\vartheta)] = G(\vartheta)_{-} \bullet Z + \vartheta \bullet (Z_{-} \bullet (S + [S, N])).$$

Now, from Exercise 7-1 c), we deduce that $G(\vartheta)_- \bullet Z \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}(P)$. Thus, we have

$$ZG(\vartheta) \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}(P) \iff \vartheta \bullet (Z_{-} \bullet (S + [S, N])) \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}(P).$$

Since $ZS \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(P)$, we know that $S + [S, N] = H \bullet M$ for some $M \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}(P)$ hand H > 0 lying in L(M). Thus, we see that

$$\vartheta \bullet (Z_{-} \bullet (S + [S, N])) = (\vartheta Z_{-} H) \bullet M.$$

Moreover, since ϑ is admissible, $ZG(\vartheta)$ is bounded from below and thus

$$(\vartheta Z_- H) \bullet M = Z G(\vartheta) - G(\vartheta)_- \bullet Z$$

has locally a lower bound in $L^1(P)$. Thus, we deduce from the proof of Exercise 7-1 c) that $(\vartheta Z_- H) \bullet M \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}(P)$ which implies that $Z G(\vartheta) \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}(P)$. The supermartingale property now follows directly from Fatou's lemma as $Z G(\vartheta)$ is bounded from below.