

## Mathematical Finance

### Exercise Sheet 4

*Please hand in until Wednesday, October 15th, 12:00 in your assistant's box in HG G 52.1*

#### Exercise 4-1

For a financial market with discounted price process  $S$ , define as usual  $G(\vartheta) = \int \vartheta dS$  when  $\vartheta$  is predictable and the stochastic integral is well defined, i.e.  $\vartheta \in L(S)$ . For all  $a \geq 0$ , set

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta^a &:= \{\vartheta \in L(S) \mid G(\vartheta) \geq -a \text{ } P\text{-a.s.}\}, \\ \mathcal{G}^a &:= \{G_T(\vartheta) \mid \vartheta \in \Theta^a\} = G_T(\Theta^a).\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{adm} &:= \bigcup_{a \geq 0} \Theta^a, \\ \mathcal{G}_{adm} &:= \bigcup_{a \geq 0} \mathcal{G}^a = G_T(\Theta_{adm}).\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $S$  satisfies (NA). For the case of finite discrete time, show that

- a) each  $\mathcal{G}^a$  is closed in  $L^0$  – but you are not allowed to use martingale measures.
- b)  $\mathcal{G}_{adm}$  is not closed in  $L^0$ .

**Remark:** This corrects an error in the course.

#### Exercise 4-2

Let  $X = (X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  be an adapted RCLL process and  $\mathcal{D}_n := k2^{-n}T$ ,  $k := 0, 1, \dots, 2^n$ , the  $n$ -th dyadic partition of  $[0, T]$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $X$  is bounded.

- a) Show that for each stopping time  $\rho$  with values in  $[0, T]$  that

$$\text{MV}(X^\rho, \mathcal{D}_n) \leq \sum_{t_i \in \mathcal{D}_n} E \left[ 1_{\{t_i < \rho\}} |E[X_{t_{i+1}} - X_{t_i} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_i}]| \right] + 2\|X\|_\infty =: \text{MV}(X^{\rho+}, \mathcal{D}_n) + 2\|X\|_\infty.$$

- b) Show that  $\text{MV}(X) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{MV}(X, \mathcal{D}_n)$ .

#### Exercise 4-3

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, P)$  be a filtered probability space satisfying the usual conditions and let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a nonnegative product-measurable process.

- a) Show that there is an optional process  ${}^{\mathcal{O}}X$  such that for every stopping time  $\tau$

$$E[X_\tau 1_{\{\tau < \infty\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_\tau] = ({}^{\mathcal{O}}X)_\tau 1_{\{\tau < \infty\}} \quad P\text{-a.s.}$$

**Remark:** In fact, one can show that  ${}^{\mathcal{O}}X$  is unique. It is called the *optional projection* of  $X$ .

*Please turn the page*

b) For every stopping time  $\tau$ , we can define the  $\sigma$ -field

$$\mathcal{F}_{\tau-} := \mathcal{F}_0 \vee \sigma(A \cap \{t < \tau\}; t \geq 0, A \in \mathcal{F}_t).$$

One can show that for any sequence of stopping times  $(\tau_n)$  with  $\tau = \sup_n \tau_n$  and  $\tau_n < \tau$  on  $\{0 < \tau_n < \infty\}$  for all  $n$ , we have  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau-} = \bigvee_n \mathcal{F}_{\tau_n}$ .

A stopping time  $\sigma$  is called *predictable* if its graph  $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket = \{(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \infty) \mid \sigma(\omega) = t\}$  is in  $\mathcal{P}$ . In particular, every deterministic time  $t \in [0, \infty]$  is a predictable stopping time. One can show that a stopping time  $\sigma$  is predictable if and only if there exists a sequence of stopping times  $(\tau_n)$  converging to  $\sigma$  with  $\tau_n \leq \sigma$  and  $\tau_n < \sigma$  on  $\{\sigma > 0\}$ . We call  $(\tau_n)$  a *foretelling sequence* for  $\sigma$ .

Now let  $(Y_t)_{t \in [0, \infty]}$  be a (right-closed) RCLL martingale. Show that for every predictable stopping time  $\sigma$  and any stopping time  $\tau \geq \sigma$ , we have

$$E[Y_\tau | \mathcal{F}_{\sigma-}] = Y_{\sigma-} \quad P\text{-a.s.} \quad (1)$$

**Remark:** The result in (1) is called the *predictable stopping theorem*.

c) Show that there is a predictable process  ${}^{\mathcal{P}}X$ , such that for every predictable stopping time  $\sigma$

$$E[X_\sigma 1_{\{\sigma < \infty\}} | \mathcal{F}_{\sigma-}] = ({}^{\mathcal{P}}X)_\sigma 1_{\{\sigma < \infty\}} \quad P\text{-a.s.}$$

**Remark:** In fact, one can show that  ${}^{\mathcal{P}}X$  is unique. It is called the *predictable projection* of  $X$ .

**Remark:** By decomposing  $X = X^+ - X^-$  into its positive and negative parts, we see that we can generalize the above results as long as the (conditional) expectation of  $X$  is well defined.

Exercise sheets and further information are also available on:

<http://www.math.ethz.ch/education/bachelor/lectures/hs2014/math/mf/uebungen>