

# Asymptotics

Spencer Hirsch, Tyler Gutowski, Remington Greko

February 6, 2023

You have been randomly assigned to teams. Work together to write a report crossing this first bridge on algorithmic quest.

Submit the team's report on Canvas. Include a task matrix indicating who did what.

## Asymptotic Quest

After successful completion of these exercises you will understand the topic of *Asymptotics* and be able to explain and correctly answer questions about the topic.

### *The Pieces and their relationships*

The pieces are functions which we will call  $f$ ,  $g$ , and  $h$ , should we need others they can be named.

Standard relations include:

less than, equal, greater than, etc.

Relations can have properties such as:

Reflexing, Symmetric, Transitive

Quantifiers are also needed

For all, There exists...

Write precise (mathematical) definitions of the following relations:

1. Big-O:

Big-O is used as a general equation in order to find the time complexity of a function. It is hardly ever exact, however, it is unnecessary for it to

be exact as a general estimate is sufficient in determining the complexity of an algorithm based on  $n$ , the number of input.

$T(n)$  and  $f(n)$  are two positive functions. We can write  $T(n) \in O(f(n))$ , and say that  $T(n)$  has order of  $f(n)$ , if there are positive constants  $M$  and  $n_0$  such that  $T(n) \leq M \cdot f(n)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$

(<https://yourbasic.org/algorithms/big-o-notation-explained/>)

## 2. Big- $\Omega$ :

Big  $\Omega$  is used to give a lower bound for the growth of a function. It is very similar to traditional Big- $O$ , however the inequality is different.

$T(n)$  and  $f(n)$  are two positive functions. We write  $T(n) \in \Omega(f(n))$ , and say that  $T(n)$  is Big- $\Omega$  of  $f(n)$ , if there are positive constants  $m$  and  $n_0$  such that  $T(n) \geq m \cdot f(n)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$

(<https://yourbasic.org/algorithms/big-o-notation-explained/#omega-and-theta-notation>)

## 3. Big- $\Theta$ :

Now that general complexity and lower bound complexity have been defined, we can now look at Big- $\Theta$  which is used to determine both the upper and the lower bound of the time complexity function.

$T(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$  if  $T(n)$  is both  $O(f(n))$  and  $\Omega(f(n))$

Give examples of functions that satisfy these relations.

Note: **All bounds are defined as  $n \rightarrow \infty$**

### 1. Big- $O$ :

The function  $f(n) = 3n^2 + 2n + 1$  is defined by  $O(n^2)$  because there exists a constant  $c = 3$ ,  $n_0 = 1$  such that for all  $n > 1$ ,  $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$ , where  $g(n) = n^2$ . This means that the function  $f(n)$  has a growth rate no worse than a quadratic function ( $n^2$ ).

The function  $f(n) = 5n \cdot \log(n) + 1000$  is defined by  $O(n \cdot \log(n))$  because there exists a constant  $c = 5$ ,  $n_0 = 1$  such that for all  $n > 1$ ,  $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$ , where  $g(n) = n \cdot \log(n)$ . This means that the function  $f(n)$  has a growth rate no worse than a log-linear function ( $n \cdot \log(n)$ ).

(<https://jarednielsen.com/big-o-log-linear-time-complexity/>)

## 2. Big- $\Omega$ :

The function  $f(n) = 2n^3 + 100$  is defined by  $\Omega(n^3)$  because there exists a constant  $c = 1$ ,  $n_0 = 1$  such that for all  $n > 1$ ,  $f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)$ , where  $g(n) = n^3$ . This means that the function  $f(n)$  has a growth rate no better than a cubic function ( $n^3$ ).

The function  $f(n) = 2n^2 + 4n + 2$  is defined by  $\Omega(n)$  because there exists a constant  $c = 1$ ,  $n_0 = 1$  such that for all  $n > 1$ ,  $f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)$ , where  $g(n) = n$ . This means that the function  $f(n)$  has a growth rate no better than a linear function ( $n$ ).

([https://course.ccs.neu.edu/cs5002f18-seattle/lects/cs5002\\_lect9\\_fall18\\_notes.pdf](https://course.ccs.neu.edu/cs5002f18-seattle/lects/cs5002_lect9_fall18_notes.pdf))

## 3. Big- $\Theta$ :

The function  $f(n) = 2n + 100$  is defined by  $\Theta(n)$  because there exists constants  $c_1 = 1$ ,  $c_2 = 1$ , and  $n_0 = 1$  such that for all  $n > 1$ ,  $c_1 \cdot g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 \cdot g(n)$  where  $g(n) = n$ . This means that the function  $f(n)$  has both an upper and lower bound defined by  $n$ .

The function  $f(n) = n^2 + 3n + 2$  is defined by  $\Theta(n^2)$  because there exists constants  $c_1 = 1$ ,  $c_2 = 1$ , and  $n_0 = 1$  such that for all  $n > 1$ ,  $c_1 \cdot g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 \cdot g(n)$  where  $g(n) = n^2$ . This means that the function  $f(n)$  has both an upper and lower bound defined by  $n^2$ .

(<https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/analysis-of-algorithms-big-CE%BB8-big-theta-notation/>)

Explain how these relations describe bounds on running time (or other resources) expended when an algorithm is executed on input of size  $n$ :

The relationship between these asymptotic upper bounds is not an asymptotically tight bound, meaning that it can be (imprecisely) stated that the running time for a binary search is  $O(n)$ . This is because  $O(\log n)$  will always be less than  $O(n)$ ,  $O(n!)$ ,  $O(2^n)$ , etc.

Because Big- $\Theta$  defines both the upper and lower bounds for running time, it can be stated that in an algorithm that has a running time of  $\Theta(f(n))$ , then it must also be  $O(f(n))$ .

However, the opposite is not always true. It is important to note that the relationships between each asymptotic notation are not conversely proportional. Simply because a bound imposed onto Big- $\Theta$  results in the bounds of Big- $O$  changing does not mean that a bound imposed onto Big- $O$  will result in the bounds of Big- $\Theta$  changing.

Use binary search as an example. The worst-case time complexity of a binary search is  $\Theta(\log^2 n)$ , the  $\mathbf{O}(\log^2 n)$ . But simply because binary search runs in  $\mathbf{O}(\log^2 n)$ , it does not mean that it will run in  $\mathbf{O}(\log^2 n)$ .

(<https://www.khanacademy.org/computing/computer-science/algorithms/asymptotic-notation/a/big-o-notation>)

<b>Name</b>	<b>Section</b>
Remington Greko	Examples of functions
Tyler Gutowski	Relationship of bounds on running time
Spencer Hirsch	Mathematical definitions and explanations