

A predictive method for full-pose predictive distributed leader-follower formation control for non-holonomic robots

Titouan Renard, 2021

April 10, 2021

1 Problem Statement

We have a team of N differential-wheeled robots $\mathcal{R}_1, \dots, \mathcal{R}_n$ described by the kinematic equations (the robot's dynamics are neglected) :

$$\dot{f}(\vec{x}, \vec{u}) = \begin{cases} \dot{x}_i = u_i \cos \theta_i \\ \dot{y}_i = u_i \sin \theta_i \\ \dot{\theta}_i = \omega_i \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Where $\vec{u}_i = [u_i, \omega_i]^T$ is the control input vector of \mathcal{R}_i with u_i linear translational speed and ω_i rotational speed. And where $\vec{x}_i = [x_i, y_i, \theta_i]^T$ is the pose vector of \mathcal{R}_i . We denote the full pose and control input of the system as :

$$\vec{x} = [x_1, y_1, \theta_1, x_2, y_2, \theta_2, \dots, x_N, y_N, \theta_N]^T \quad (2)$$

$$\vec{u} = [u_1, \omega_1, u_2, \omega_2, \dots, u_N, \omega_N]^T \quad (3)$$

We denote $\mathbf{R} = \{\mathcal{R}_1, \dots, \mathcal{R}_n\}$ the set of all robots. Each robot \mathcal{R}_i has a set of *neighboring robots* $\mathcal{N}_i \subseteq \mathbf{R}$, which contains the set of robots for which \mathcal{R}_i can get a position estimation. The pose of \mathcal{R}_j estimated by \mathcal{R}_i is given by a range ρ_{ij} and a bearing α_{ij} . Each pose estimation is affected by noise which is denoted ϵ_z and is denoted by a vector :

$$z_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\rho}_{ij} \\ \tilde{\alpha}_{ij} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{ij} \\ \alpha_{ij} \end{bmatrix} + \epsilon_z. \quad (4)$$

At time t robot \mathcal{R}_i gathers an observation list :

$$\mathcal{Z}_i = \{z_{ij} \mid \mathcal{R}_j \in \mathcal{N}_i\}. \quad (5)$$

Our goal is to have robots $\mathcal{R}_2, \dots, \mathcal{R}_N$ (that we call *followers*) maintain formation with the robot \mathcal{R}_1 (which we call *leader*) while avoiding obstacles in their trajectories. We look for a control law that can be implemented in a distributed fashion for robots $\mathcal{R}_2, \dots, \mathcal{R}_N$, while the control law of \mathcal{R}_1 is defined arbitrarily.

The formation is defined by a set of *biases* $\vec{\beta}_i = [\delta_i^x, \delta_i^y, \delta_i^\theta]^T, \forall i = 2 \dots N$ which denotes the expected pose of \mathcal{R}_i relative to \mathcal{R}_1 within the formation. We can thus express the *pose error* \bar{x}_i for \mathcal{R}_i as :

$$\bar{x}_i = \vec{x}_i - \vec{\beta}_i = \begin{bmatrix} x_i - \delta_i^x \\ y_i - \delta_i^y \\ \theta_i - \delta_i^\theta \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

The problem of maintaining formation thus becomes the problem of reducing the *total pose error* $\mathcal{E} = \sum_{2 \dots N} \|\bar{e}_i\|$ in a distributed fashion.

2 Laplacian-based feedback for formation control

Let $G = (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{E})$ be an undirected graph constructed such that

-
1. it's vertex set $\mathbf{R} = \{\mathcal{R}_1, \dots, \mathcal{R}_n\}$ contains every single robot in the team
 2. it's edges set contains an arbitrarily oriented edge for each robot in line of sight of another

$$\mathbf{E} = \{(\mathcal{R}_i, \mathcal{R}_j) \mid \mathcal{R}_j \in \mathcal{N}_i\}.$$

Let \mathcal{I} denote the *incidence* matrix (with arbitrary orientations) of G and \mathcal{W} it's weight matrix. We compute the *weighted laplacian matrix* of G as follows :

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{I} \cdot \mathcal{W} \cdot \mathcal{I}^T$$

Note that the *weighted laplacian matrix* \mathcal{L} is constructed in such a way that :

$$\dot{\vec{x}} = -\mathcal{L}x(t) \tag{7}$$

$$\dot{x}_i = \sum_{\mathcal{R}_j \in \mathcal{N}_i} w_{ij}(x_j - x_i) \tag{8}$$

A standard approach to formation control is to implement a Laplacian based feedback equation (which can be tough of as a PI controller) such as:

$$\dot{x} = -\mathcal{L}\bar{x} + K_I \int_0^t \mathcal{L}(\tau)\bar{x}(\tau)d\tau \tag{9}$$

2.1 Predictive approach to the laplacian-based formation control problem

We propose to apply an optimization based predictive control law to our robots :

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{u}{\text{minimize}} \quad & J(\vec{u}) = \int_t^{t+\tau} L(\tau, \vec{x}, \vec{u}) + V(t+T, \vec{x}) \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \dot{f}(\vec{x}, \vec{u}) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$