

# Morpheus Update -L2TokenReceiverV2 Audit Report Audit Report

Version 2.0

Audited by:

**HollaDieWaldfee** 

alexxander

April 22, 2024

# **Contents**

1	Introduction		
	1.1	About Renascence	2
	1.2	Disclaimer	2
	1.3	Risk Classification	2
2	Exe	cutive Summary	3
	2.1	About Morpheus Update - L2TokenReceiverV2 Audit Report	3
	2.2	Overview	3
	2.3	Issues Found	3
3	Find	dings Summary	4
4	Find	dings	5
5	Cen	tralization Risks	7
	5.1	The owner has to be fully trusted	7

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 About Renascence

Renascence Labs was established by a team of experts including HollaDieWaldfee, MiloTruck, alexxander and bytes032.

Our founders have a distinguished history of achieving top honors in competitive audit contests, enhancing the security of leading protocols such as Reserve Protocol, Arbitrum, MaiaDAO, Chainlink, Dodo, Lens Protocol, Wenwin, PartyDAO, Lukso, Perennial Finance, Mute and Taurus.

We strive to deliver tailored solutions by thoroughly understanding each client's unique challenges and requirements. Our approach goes beyond addressing immediate security concerns; we are dedicated to fostering the enduring success and growth of our partners.

More of our work can be found here.

### 1.2 Disclaimer

This report reflects an analysis conducted within a defined scope and time frame, based on provided materials and documentation. It does not encompass all possible vulnerabilities and should not be considered exhaustive.

The review and accompanying report are presented on an 'as-is' and 'as-available' basis, without any express or implied warranties.

Furthermore, this report neither endorses any specific project or team nor assures the complete security of the project.

### 1.3 Risk Classification

	Impact: High	Impact: Medium	Impact: Low
Likelihood: High	High	High	Medium
Likelihood: Medium	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood: Low	Medium	Low	Low

### 1.3.1 Impact

- High Funds are directly at risk, or a severe disruption of the protocol's core functionality
- Medium Funds are indirectly at risk, or some disruption of the protocol's functionality
- · Low Funds are **not** at risk

#### 1.3.2 Likelihood

- · High almost certain to happen, easy to perform, or not easy but highly incentivized
- · Medium only conditionally possible or incentivized, but still relatively likely
- Low requires stars to align, or little-to-no incentive

# 2 Executive Summary

## 2.1 About Morpheus Update - L2TokenReceiverV2 Audit Report

Morpheus introduces a new L2TokenReceiverV2 contract that now supports 2 sets of swapping parameters compared to the original L2TokenReceiver.

## 2.2 Overview

Project	Morpheus Update - L2TokenReceiverV2 Audit Report
Repository	SmartContracts
Commit Hash	753accd340ee
Date	9 April 2024 - 10 April 2024

## 2.3 Issues Found

Severity	Count
High Risk	0
Medium Risk	0
Low Risk	1
Informational	0
Total Issues	1

# 3 Findings Summary

ID	Description	Status
L-1	Function increaseLiquidityCurrentRange() does not support the new swap params.	Open

## 4 Findings

#### **Low Risk**

[L-1] Function increaseLiquidityCurrentRange() does not support the new swap params.

#### Context:

#### L2TokenReceiverV2

**Description:** In L2TokenReceiverV2.increaseLiquidityCurrentRange() the tokenO of the position is compared against secondSwapParams.tokenIn. This can be problematic in a scenario where the owner would want to add liquidity to a position that is different from the initial wstETH / MOR:

Assume the initial position {tokenId:123, token0: wstETH, token1: MOR}, where the wstETH / MOR pair is stored in secondSwapParams as part of L2TokenReceiver\_\_init() and assume a position {tokenId:456, token0: someToken, token1: MOR}, where this would be a new pair, possibly stored in firstSwapParams.

Now if the owner calls increaseLiquidityCurrentRange(tokenId:456), we will have someToken != wstETH and therefore execute the else branch, which will assign to amountAdd0 the amount reward-TokenAmountAdd\_ where it should be the amount depositTokenAmountAdd. Similarly, amountAdd1, amountMin0, and amountMin1 will have wrong values.

https://github.com/MorpheusAIs/SmartContracts/blob/e4b5687dcd8d5ee323e5e97b7e420ceedbcc9c07/contracts/L2TokenReceiverV2.sol#L117-L122

Similar issue occurs when the secondSwapParams are: {tokenIn: MOR, tokenOut: WETH}.

The V3 pair would be {token0: MOR, token1: WETH}. If we call increaseLiquidityCurrentRange() for the V3 pair, we will have MOR == MOR (true) and amountAdd0\_ (the amount of MOR to be added) will be assigned to the value of depositTokenAmountAdd\_ not the value of rewardTokenAmountAdd\_ (where we assume that rewardTokenAmountAdd\_ refers to the desired amount of MOR, which is the reward token in the Morpheus smart contracts).

More generally, the depositToken / rewardToken abstraction is correct in the case when the secondSwapParams are {tokenIn: depositToken, tokenOut: MOR } (in this exact order) and then the

V3 position supplied is made of the same {depositToken / MOR} (in any order). Other configurations can break the abstraction; examples are: having MOR as tokenIn or a V3 position that has a different depositToken than the one stored in the secondSwapParams.

**Recommendation:** Supply token / token 1 amounts directly to increase Liquidity Current Range () without the abstraction of reward and deposit tokens.

## 5 Centralization Risks

## 5.1 The owner has to be fully trusted

The L2TokenReceiverV2 contract receives the bridged yield that was generated by LPs depositing in the Distribution contract. The LPs have to fully trust the owner that the yield will be utilized appropriately. At any time, the owner can withdraw all of the bridged yield and all of the UniswapV3 fees generated by the yield.

The L2TokenReceiverV2 contract is upgradeable, and the owner can upgrade it to an arbitrary business logic. The owner has to be trusted to upgrade the contract in a non-malicious manner.