Integer Programming

Renda Nyamande 30 August 2022

Abstract
We shall conquer at all costs!

What is it?

Def LP problems with at least one variable that has to be an integer.

Types

- Pure All decision vars have to be integers. $X \in int$
- Mixed Some ints and some not (Even int + binary).
- Binary Decision vars can only take on the values 0 or 1. $X \in \{0, 1\}$

Characteristics

• The change from a normal LP to an integer one changes the problem drastically; Making it more complex (Might not even have a solution).

Example 1




Figure 1: Example 1

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Max } X_1 + 3X_2 \\ & S.t: \\ & 2X_1 + 8X_2 \leq 9 \\ & 4X_1 + X_2 \leq 15.5 \\ & 8X_1 - 4X_2 \geq -5 \\ & X_1, X_2 \geq 0 \text{ and Integer} \end{aligned}$$

- Point A would be the optimal solution point if this was a normal LP problem.
- Trying to use the same point rounded up would result in one using a point that's actually not in the feasible region.
- If you round down, the solution would be feasible but not optimal.
- Adding an int constraint can only restrict the solution.
- Therefore A is the best solution we could ever hope to achieve having restricted things.
- So shifting the isocost line down from A (Restricting solution), the first int point it touches will be the optimal point.
- Theres an algorithm we'll use to find these solutions.

Example 2 - Project selection problem

Suppose we have identified four investment opportunities and we have R14000 to invest. Project 1 requires an investment of R5,000 and has a present value (a time-discounted value) of R8,000; Project 2 requires R7,000 and has a value of R11,000; Project 3 requires R4,000 and has a value of R6,000; and Project 4 requires R3,000 and has a value of R4,000. The question is: into which projects should we place our money in order to maximize our total present value?

Figure 2: Project selection problem

$$Let X_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if project } j \text{ is selected; } j = 1,2,3,4\\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

 $\begin{aligned} &\text{Max } 8000X_1+11000X_2+6000X_3+4000X4\\ &\text{St:}\\ &5000X_1+7000X_2+4000X_3+3000X_4\leq 14000\\ &X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4\in\{0,1\} \end{aligned}$

- Using simplex, $Z = R22000 \ (X_1 = X_2 = 1, X_3 = 0.5, X_4 = 0)$
- This obviously doesn't satisfy the last constraint.
- If you round down, Z = R19000
- Better solution $X_1 = 0, X_2 = X_3 = X_4 = 1$
- This is found using the algorithm we'll learn later.
- We could have added constraints:
 - Only 2 projects can be selected $(X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 = 2)$.
 - If project 1 is selected, then project 3 must also be selected $(X_1 \leq X_3)$
 - If project 2 is selected, then project 4 can't be selected $(X_2 + X_4 \le 1)$ He acknowledged the fact that this condition goes the other way around as well.

Example 3 - Set covering problem

Decide the number of hospitals to build in order to meet the requirement of the city




Figure 3: Set covering problem

Find min number of hospitals that can be built. Trying to give each city access to a hospital (They have to be adjacent to simulate access).

$$Let X_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if hospital built in } j; j = 1, 2, 3..., 11\\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (2)

Min
$$X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_{11}$$

St:
 $X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 \ge 1(X1)$
 $X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_5 \ge 1(X2)$
 $X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_5 + X_6 \ge 1(X3)$
 $X_1 + X_3 + X_6 + X_7 \ge 1(X4)$
 $X_2 + X_3 + X_6 + X_8 + X_9 \ge 1(X5)$
 $X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_7 + X_8 + X_9 \ge 1(X6)$
 $X_4 + X_6 + X_8 \ge 1(X7)$
 $X_5 + X_6 + X_7 + X_9 + X_{10} \ge 1(X8)$
 $X_5 + X_6 + X_8 + X_{10} + X_{11} \ge 1(X9)$
 $X_8 + X_9 + X_{11} \ge 1(X10)$
 $X_9 + X_{10} \ge 1(X11)$
 $X_1, X_2, X_3, ... X_{11} \in \{0, 1\}$

- To get the constraints, we go from X_1toX_{11} For each X, the sum of it and all adjacent (touching) X's must at least 1.
- This means we build at least one hospital to accommodate that group of cities. This will eventually cover all combinations of X's thus we'll be sure we ran through every possible hospital location (aka covered all cities).
- Optimal solution $X_3 = X_8 = X_9 = 1$

Example 4 - Fixed charge problem

Operation	Prod 1	Prod 2	Prod 3	Hours available
Machining	2	3	6	600
Griding	6	3	4	300
Assembly	5	6	2	400
Unit profit	R48	R55	R50	
Setup cost	1000	800	900	

Figure 4: Fixed charge problem

Let X_i be the number of product j produced.

$$Let Y_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if product is produced; } j = 1,2,3\\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (3)

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Max } 48X_1+55X_2+50X_3-1000Y_1-800Y_2-900Y_3\\ \text{St:}\\ 2X_1+3X_2+6X_3\leq 600\\ 6X_1+3X_2+4X_3\leq 300\\ 5X_1+6X_2+2X_3\leq 400\\ X_1\leq M_1Y_1\\ X_2\leq M_2Y_2\\ X_3\leq M_3Y_3\\ Y_1,Y_2,Y_3\in \{0;1\}\\ X_1,X_2,X_3\geq 0 \text{ and Integer} \end{array}$

- Manufacturing where you're making paint
- Setup cost (fixed charge) Cost of cleaning machine after making red paint tp prepare to make yellow for example.
- Variable cost e.g Hiring trucks will cost a certain, fixed, amount (fixed cost) and the cost of using them will vary (hence variable cost).
- The 4th to 6th constraints are added to make sure that each cost Y_j is only applied if X_j is actually produced.
 - M is a big number greater than 0.
 - if X is 0, Y can be 0 or 1.
 - BUT if X is 1, Y **HAS** to be 1 as well (Since MY has to be at least equal to X).
 - This is to say, surely if we produce at least one (X), there has to be some cost involved (Y).
 - In fact, the moment X is slightly above 0, Y is 1.
 - M is acting as an upper bound for X.
 - In this case, We can find a reasonable value for M1:
 - * Method For each X, in the first 3 constraints, if the other X values are 0, M will be the max value X can take:
 - · For X1,
 - · For constraint 1: X1 = 300

- · For constraint 2: X1 = 50
- · For constraint 3: X1 = 80
- · Therefore, the max it can be will be the min of the 3 values (We do this so that all constraints are satisfied; Therefore, M1=50).