

List of topics in this lecture

- Properties of Wiener process, $dW = O(\sqrt{dt})$
 - Discrete version of $W(t)$; arc length of $W(t)$ over finite time is infinity!
 - Ito's lemma; $(dW)^2$ can be replaced by dt .
 - The problem of gambler's ruin, survival probability vs time
-

Recap

Stochastic differential equation

$$dX = b(X, t)dt + \sqrt{a(X, t)}dW$$

The Wiener process, denoted by $W(t)$ satisfies

- 1) $W(0) = 0$
- 2) For $t_2 \geq t_1 \geq 0$, $W(t_2) - W(t_1) \sim N(0, t_2 - t_1)$
- 3) For $t_4 \geq t_3 \geq t_2 \geq t_1 \geq 0$,
increments $W(t_2) - W(t_1)$ and $W(t_4) - W(t_3)$ are independent.

Note:

$W(t)$ is a random process (a function with randomness).

The full notation is $W(t, \omega)$ where ω is the (random) outcome of an experiment.

Notation:

$$dW = dW(t) \equiv W(t + dt) - W(t)$$

$$dX = dX(t) \equiv X(t + dt) - X(t)$$

Shifting and scaling of a normal RV

- If $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then $(X - \mu) \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.
- If $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, then $X/\sigma \sim N(0, 1)$.
- If $X \sim N(0, 1)$, then X is called a standard normal RV.

General approach:

In discussion of stochastic differential equations, we work with finite value of time increment dt . Then at the end, we take the limit as $dt \rightarrow 0$.

The correct notation for finite time increment should be Δt . But most of the time, for convenience, we just use notation dt both before taking the limit and after.

Properties of Wiener process:

- 1) $dW \sim N(0, dt) \implies dW = \sqrt{dt} X$ where $X \sim N(0, 1)$
- 2) $E(dW) = 0$
- 3) $E((dW)^2) = dt$
- 4) $dW(t_1)$ and $dW(t_2)$ are independent if the time increments are disjoint.
- 5) $dW = O(\sqrt{dt})$

Reasoning:

Recall the 95%, 99% and 99.9% probability intervals of a normal RV

$$\begin{cases} \Pr(|X - \mu| \leq 1.96\sigma) = 0.95, \\ \Pr(|X - \mu| \leq 2.58\sigma) = 0.99 \\ \Pr(|X - \mu| \leq 3.29\sigma) = 0.999 \end{cases}$$

$$\implies \begin{cases} |dW| \leq 1.96\sqrt{dt} \text{ with } \Pr = 0.95 \\ |dW| \leq 2.58\sqrt{dt} \text{ with } \Pr = 0.99 \\ |dW| \leq 3.29\sqrt{dt} \text{ with } \Pr = 0.999 \end{cases}$$

$$\implies \text{Approximately we have } dW = O(\sqrt{dt})$$

A discrete version of $W(t)$

The discrete version is easy to understand conceptually, and is practical to work with computationally in simulations.

We consider $W(t)$ at a set of discrete times.

$$\{W_j = W(j\Delta t), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n\}, \quad \Delta t = \frac{t_f}{n}$$

Question: How to generate a sample path of $\{W_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$?

By definition, we have

$$(W_j - W_{j-1}) \sim N(0, \Delta t)$$

We express it in terms of a standard normal RV

$$(W_j - W_{j-1}) = \sqrt{\Delta t} X_j, \quad X_j \sim N(0, 1)$$

In Matlab, “randn” generates independent samples of $N(0, 1)$.

With $W_0 = 0$, we write W_j as

$$W_j = \sqrt{\Delta t} \sum_{k=1}^j X_k, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Method: Generate n independent samples of $X \sim N(0, 1)$

$$\{X_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \sim \text{i.i.d. } N(0, 1)$$

$$W_0 = 0, \quad W_j = \sqrt{\Delta t} \sum_{k=1}^j X_k, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Remarks:

This method completely specifies the random experiment for generating a sample path of $\{W_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, the discrete version of $W(t)$. The experiment can be repeated a large number of times. All probabilities associated with $\{W_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ can be interpreted in the framework of repeated experiments.

It is difficult to write out a concrete experiment for generating a continuous sample path of $W(t)$. Any algorithm that can be implemented in a computer must be discrete, and as a result, cannot generate a full path of $W(t)$.

Mathematically, we can view $W(t)$ as the limit of $\{W_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$.

If you think $W(t)$ is somewhat unusual and different from the functions we are familiar with, it indeed is. Below we illustrate one aspect of $W(t)$.

A peculiar feature of $W(t)$

The arc length of $W(t)$ over the time interval $t \in [0, t_f]$ is infinity.

Derivation:

We start with the arc length of $\{W_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

$$\text{Discrete arc length} = \sum_{j=1}^n |(t_j, W_j) - (t_{j-1}, W_{j-1})|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n |(\Delta t, \sqrt{\Delta t} X_j)| = \sum_{j=1}^n \sqrt{(\Delta t)^2 + (\sqrt{\Delta t} X_j)^2} \\
 &> \sum_{j=1}^n \sqrt{\Delta t} |X_j| = n\sqrt{\Delta t} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n |X_j| \right) \\
 &\quad \text{Use } \Delta t = \frac{t_f}{n}, \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n |X_j| \approx E(|X|) \\
 &\approx n\sqrt{\frac{t_f}{n}} E(|X|), \quad X \sim N(0, 1) \\
 &= \sqrt{nt_f} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \longrightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the result:

$$\text{If } X \sim N(0, 1), \quad \text{then } E(|X|) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$$

(Homework problem)

Therefore, we conclude that the arc length of the continuous $W(t)$ over a finite time interval $t \in [0, t_f]$ is infinity!

Ito's lemma:

Suppose $f(t, w)$ is a smooth function of variables t and w .

Replacing w by $W(t)$ gives us $f(t, W(t))$, a non-smooth random function of t . The randomness comes from the Wiener process $W(t, \omega)$.

We examine the increment of $f(t, W(t))$ using the expansion of $f(t, w)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(t+dt, w+dw) &= f(t, w) + f_t dt + f_w dw \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[f_{tt} (dt)^2 + 2f_{tw} (dt)(dw) + f_{ww} (dw)^2 \right] \\
 &\quad + O\left((dt)^3 + (dt)^2(dw) + (dt)(dw)^2 + (dw)^3\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Here dt and dw are small but finite. Now we apply the expansion to $f(t, W(t))$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(t+dt, W+dW) &= f(t, W) + f_t dt + f_w dW \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[f_{tt} (dt)^2 + 2f_{tw} (dt)(dW) + f_{ww} (dW)^2 \right] \\
 &\quad + O\left((dt)^3 + (dt)^2(dW) + (dt)(dW)^2 + (dW)^3\right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{E01}$$

Using $dW = O(\sqrt{dt})$, we write $df(t, W(t)) \equiv f(t+dt, W+dW) - f(t, W)$ as

$$df(t, W(t)) = f_t dt + f_w dW + \frac{1}{2} f_{ww} (dW)^2 + o(dt)$$

Claim: we can approximately replace $(dW)^2$ by dt and write df as

$$\begin{aligned} df(t, W(t)) &= f_t dt + f_w dW + \frac{1}{2} f_{ww} dt + o(dt) \\ &= \left(f_t + \frac{1}{2} f_{ww} \right) dt + f_w dW + o(dt) \end{aligned}$$

For any finite t_f , it takes t_f/dt steps to reach t_f . Over time interval $[0, t_f]$, the cumulative error converges to zero as $dt \rightarrow 0$.

This is called Ito's lemma.

We will look at the justification in subsequent lectures/assignments.

The averaged version:

The average of $df(t, W(t))$ can be calculated rigorously without any complication.

Averaging (E01) with respect to dW , and using $E(dW)=0$ and $E((dW)^2)=dt$, we have

$$E_{dW} \left(f(t+dt, W+dW) \right) = f(t, W) + f_t dt + \frac{1}{2} f_{tt} (dt)^2 + \frac{1}{2} f_{ww} dt + o(dt)$$

Below we will use this averaged version of Ito's lemma to study the problem of Gambler's ruin.

Gambler's ruin (applications of Ito's lemma)

Notation and modeling approach:

C: total cash = the sum of your cash and casino's cash
(assuming you are the only one playing with the casino).

$X(t)$: your cash at time t .

In practice, $C \gg X(0)$.

"Breaking the bank" means " $X(t)$ hits C before hitting 0".

Case 1: we first consider a fair game

$$dX = dW$$

which means $X(t+dt) = X(t) + dW$

It is a fair game because

$$E_{dW}(dX) = E(dW) = 0$$

We study the two questions below.

Question #1: How long can you play?

Question #2: What is the chance that you break the bank?

Note that for stochastic differential equations, it is not enough just to calculate $X(t)$ at a given time t_j . We also want to know quantities that are not readily determined from

$\{X(t_j), j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, such as answers to questions above.

Answer to Question #2 (we address Question #1 after this)

Let

$$u(x) = \Pr(X(t) \text{ hits } C \text{ before } 0 \mid X(0) = x)$$

Strategy:

Find a boundary value problem (BVP) governing $u(x)$.

Boundary condition:

$$u(C) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad u(0) = 0.$$

Differential equation:

Start with $X(0) = x$. After a short time dt , we have

$$X(dt) = x + dW$$

Recall that $dW = O(\sqrt{dt})$. For a fixed x in $(0, C)$, when dt is small enough, the probability of $X(t)$ hitting 0 or C in time interval $[0, dt]$ is exponentially small. Here the magnitude of dt depends on x . If x is very close to one of the boundaries, dt has to be extremely small to make the probability of hitting a boundary in time interval $[0, dt]$ negligible.

For a fixed x in $(0, C)$, when dt is small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= E_{dW}(u(x + dW)) + o(dt) \\ &= E_{dW}\left(u(x) + u_x dW + \frac{1}{2}u_{xx}(dW)^2\right) + o(dt) \\ &= u(x) + \frac{1}{2}u_{xx}dt + o(dt) \end{aligned}$$

Divide by dt and then take the limit as $dt \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$u_{xx} = 0$$

This is the differential equation governing $u(x)$. Thus, function $u(x)$ satisfies the boundary value problem (BVP)

$$\begin{cases} u_{xx}(x) = 0 & \text{differential equation} \\ u(0) = 0, \quad u(C) = 1 & \text{boundary conditions} \end{cases}$$

Solving the BVP, we obtain

$$u(x) = \frac{x}{C}$$

The probability of breaking the bank is proportional to your initial cash and inversely proportional to the total cash.

Answer to Question #1

Let

$$T(x) = E\left(\text{time until } X(t) = C \text{ or } X(t) = 0 \mid X(0) = x\right)$$

Strategy:

Find a boundary value problem (BVP) governing $T(x)$.

Boundary condition:

$$T(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T(C) = 0.$$

Differential equation:

Start with $X(0) = x$. After a short time dt , we have

$$X(dt) = x + dW$$

For a fixed x in $(0, C)$, when dt is small enough (depending on x), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(x) &= dt + E_{dW}\left(T(x + dW)\right) + o(dt) \\ &= dt + E_{dW}\left(T(x) + T_x dW + \frac{1}{2}T_{xx}(dW)^2\right) + o(dt) \\ &= dt + T(x) + \frac{1}{2}T_{xx}dt + o(dt) \end{aligned}$$

Divide by dt and then take the limit as $dt \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$T_{xx} = -2$$

This is the differential equation governing $T(x)$. Thus, function $T(x)$ satisfies the boundary value problem (BVP)

$$\begin{cases} T_{xx}(x) = -2 & \text{differential equation} \\ T(0) = 0, \quad T(C) = 0 & \text{boundary conditions} \end{cases}$$

Solving the BVP, we obtain

$$T(x) = x(C - x)$$

A more detailed answer to Question #1:

The average time does not give us the full picture!

$T(x)$ is the average time until going bankrupt or breaking the bank. However, this average does not give us the full picture of how long we can play with initial cash x .

In particular, when $C = \infty$ (when the casino has infinite amount of cash), we have

$$T(x) = x(C - x) = \infty.$$

This certainly does not mean we can play forever with initial cash x .

To have a more detailed picture of how long we can play when $C = \infty$, we look at the probability that we can play beyond a certain time.

Assume $C = \infty$. Consider

$$P(x, t) = \Pr(X(\tau) > 0 \text{ for } \tau \in [0, t] \mid X(0) = x)$$

$P(x, t)$ is the conditional probability of surviving (at least) to time t given $X(0) = x$.

Strategy:

Find an initial boundary value problem (IBVP) governing $P(x, t)$.

Initial and boundary conditions:

$$P(x, 0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad P(0, t) = 0$$

Differential equation:

Start with $X(0) = x$. After a short time dt , we have

$$X(dt) = x + dW$$

For a fixed x in $(0, C)$, when dt is small enough (depending on x), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, t) &= E_{dW} \left(P(x + dW, t - dt) \right) + o(dt) \\ &= E_{dW} \left(P(x, t) + P_t(-dt) + P_x dW + \frac{1}{2} P_{xx} (dW)^2 \right) + o(dt) \\ &= P(x, t) + P_t(-dt) + \frac{1}{2} P_{xx} dt + o(dt) \end{aligned}$$

Divide by dt and then take the limit as $dt \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$P_t = \frac{1}{2} P_{xx}$$

This is the PDE governing $P(x, t)$. Thus, function $P(x, t)$ satisfies the initial boundary value problem (IBVP)

$$\begin{cases} P_t = \frac{1}{2} P_{xx} & \text{partial differential equation} \\ P(0, t) = 0 & \text{boundary condition} \\ P(x, 0) = 1 & \text{initial condition} \end{cases}$$

To solve this IBVP, we use an odd extension to convert it to an IVP.

Odd extension:

$$P(-x, t) = -P(x, t)$$

The extended function $P(x, t)$ satisfies the IVP

$$\begin{cases} P_t = \frac{1}{2} P_{xx} \\ P(x, 0) = \begin{cases} 1, & x > 0 \\ -1, & x < 0 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Solution of a general IVP of the heat equation:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = au_{xx} \\ u(x, 0) = f(x) \end{cases} \quad (\text{E02})$$

The solution of (E02) has the expression:

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi at}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left(\frac{-\xi^2}{4at}\right) f(x - \xi) d\xi$$

Using this general formula to solve for $P(x, t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(x - \xi) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \xi < x \\ -1, & \xi > x \end{cases} \\ P(x, t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{-\xi^2}{2t}\right) f(x - \xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^x \exp\left(\frac{-\xi^2}{2t}\right) d\xi - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_x^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{-\xi^2}{2t}\right) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^x \exp\left(\frac{-\xi^2}{2t}\right) d\xi - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{-x} \exp\left(\frac{-\xi^2}{2t}\right) d\xi \\
 &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_0^x \exp\left(\frac{-\xi^2}{2t}\right) d\xi
 \end{aligned}$$

Change of variables: $d\xi = \sqrt{2t} ds$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\frac{x}{\sqrt{2t}}} \exp(-s^2) ds = \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2t}}\right)$$

Thus, probability $P(x, t)$ has the expression

$$P(x, t) = \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2t}}\right)$$

Scaling property of $P(x, t)$:

Start with initial cash x . The survival probability p and the time t are related by

$$p = \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2t}}\right)$$

$$\implies \frac{x}{\sqrt{2t}} = \operatorname{erf}^{-1}(p)$$

$$\implies t = \frac{x^2}{2 \operatorname{erf}^{-1}(p)^2}$$

For a prescribed probability p , the time t is proportional to x^2 .

A few example values:

$$p = 0.1 \quad \iff \quad t = 63.33 x^2$$

$$p = 0.3 \quad \iff \quad t = 6.735 x^2$$

$$p = 0.5 \quad \iff \quad t = 2.198 x^2$$

$$p = 0.7 \quad \iff \quad t = 0.931 x^2$$

$$p = 0.9 \quad \iff \quad t = 0.370 x^2$$