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Role of sorting

Sorting algorithms play crucial role in data analysis. Their main goal is to arrange a collection of data in a specific order, to make it easier to analyze and search.

1. **Presentation of data**

Sorting usually makes presentation of data easy to understand. It allow you to exclude extra data and sort remaining data in comfortable order.

1. **Management of big amount of data**

Manual working with a lot of data is hard and can cause unlikable errors. That is why this task is better to be done by computer. Sorting is a tool, that allows computer working with these data.

1. **Data analysis**

Analyzing data is faster and easier when data are ordered and similar. Sorting’s role is to make data be prepared for analysis.

Description of sorting algorithms

1. **Bubble sort**

We start with an unsorted array ar [ ar0, ar1, … , arn - 1 ] with size n and start comparing all the neighboring elements of the array. If ari > ari+1 we swap them. We start again when all elements were compared. Doing an iteration, we place the greatest element on it’s place. That’s why there is no need to compare all elements always. We compare only unsorted elements. For each new iteration from i = 0 to n – 2 we do from j = 0 to n – i – 1 iterations of comparing.

Complexity of this sort is O(n2), because number of comparisons and swaps is proportional to n2.

1. **Selection sort**

We start with an unsorted array ar { ar0, ar1, … , arn - 1 } with size n and consistently choose one of the elements. For each chosen element we start finding out where is that element’s place. If chosen element ari > ari+1 we swap them and continue comparing ari with others. We repeat this steps while j = n – 1 > 0.

Complexity of this sort is O(n2) in all the cases.

1. **Insertion sort**

We start with an unsorted array ar { ar0, ar1, … , arn - 1 } with size n. We separate this array on two sides. Sorted (Left) and unsorted (Right). We start consistently choose each element (Except first) and comparing it to all previous elements. If ari > ari+1 we swap them.

Complexity of this sort is O(n2) in most of the cases. But in the best cases it is O(n).

1. **Insertion binary search sort**

We start with an unsorted array ar { ar0, ar1, … , arn - 1 } with size n. Using binary search, we reduce number of comparisons for finding position of element ari. When we found element’s position, we just shift some elements to make space for element ari. We perform this for n – 1 iterations.

Complexity of this sort is O(n2) in most of the cases. However it is really effective in work with large arrays.

Code

Bubble sort code

double BubbleSort(int\*\* ar, int size)

{

clock\_t c;

c = clock();

for (int i = 0; i < size - 2; i++)

{

for (int j = 0; j < size - i - 1; j++)

{

if (\*ar[j] > \*ar[j + 1])

{

Swap(ar[j], ar[j + 1]);

}

}

}

return (float)(clock() - c) / 1000;

}

Selection sort code

double SelectionSort(int\*\* ar, int size)

{

clock\_t c;

c = clock(); // Get time when algorithm starts

int\* min;

for (int j = 0; j < size; j++) // Sorting itself

{

min = ar[j];

int t;

for (int i = 0 + j; i < size; i++)

{

if (\*min > \*ar[i])

{

min = ar[i];

}

}

Swap(ar[j], min);

}

return (float)(clock() - c) / 1000; // Returning time of execution

}

Insertion sort code

double InsertionSort(int\*\* ar, int size)

{

clock\_t c;

c = clock(); // Get time when algorithm starts

int i, key, j;

for (i = 1; i < size; i++) {

key = \*ar[i];

j = i - 1;

while (j >= 0 && \*ar[j] > key) {

\*ar[j + 1] = \*ar[j];

j = j - 1;

}

\*ar[j + 1] = key;

}

return (float)(clock() - c) / 1000;

}

Insertion binary search sort code

int binarySearch(int\*\* ar, int item,

int low, int high)

{

if (high <= low)

return (item > \*ar[low]) ?

(low + 1) : low;

int mid = (low + high) / 2;

if (item == \*ar[mid])

return mid + 1;

if (item > \*ar[mid])

return binarySearch(ar, item,

mid + 1, high);

return binarySearch(ar, item, low,

mid - 1);

}

double InsertionBinarySort(int\*\* ar, int size)

{

clock\_t c;

c = clock();

int i, loc, j, k, selected;

for (i = 1; i < size; ++i)

{

j = i - 1;

selected = \*ar[i];

loc = binarySearch(ar, selected, 0, j);

while (j >= loc)

{

\*ar[j + 1] = \*ar[j];

j--;

}

\*ar[j + 1] = selected;

}

return (float)(clock() - c) / 1000;

}

Analysis

1. **Bubble sort**

Results:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Size of array | Time 1 | Time 2 | Time 3 | Average time |
| 100 | 0 sec | 0 sec | 0 sec | 0 sec |
| 1000 | 0.002 sec | 0.003 sec | 0.002 sec | 0.002333 sec |
| 10000 | 0.201 sec | 0.202 sec | 0.202 sec | 0.201667 sec |
| 25000 | 1.486 sec | 1.512 sec | 1.488 sec | 1.495333 sec |
| 50000 | 7.248 sec | 7.208 sec | 7.364 sec | 7.2766667 sec |
| 75000 | 17.557 sec | 17.232 sec | 17.392 sec | 17.39367 sec |
| 100000 | 32.204 sec | 32.24 sec | 32.085 sec | 32.17633 sec |

1. **Selection sort**

Results:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Size of array | Time 1 | Time 2 | Time 3 | Average time |
| 100 | 0 sec | 0 sec | 0 sec | 0 sec |
| 1000 | 0.001 sec | 0.001 sec | 0.000 sec | 0.000667 sec |
| 10000 | 0.077 sec | 0.075 sec | 0.076 sec | 0.076 sec |
| 25000 | 0.548 sec | 0.541 sec | 0.541 sec | 0.543333 sec |
| 50000 | 2.199 sec | 2.219 sec | 2.246 sec | 2.221333 sec |
| 75000 | 4.932 sec | 4.927 sec | 5.006 sec | 4.955 sec |
| 100000 | 9.116 sec | 8.958 sec | 8.929 sec | 9.001 sec |

1. **Insertion sort**

Results:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Size of array | Time 1 | Time 2 | Time 3 | Average time |
| 100 | 0 sec | 0 sec | 0 sec | 0 sec |
| 1000 | 0.001 sec | 0.001 sec | 0.001 sec | 0.001 sec |
| 10000 | 0.054 sec | 0.056 sec | 0.055 sec | 0.055 sec |
| 25000 | 0.386 sec | 0.384 sec | 0.384 sec | 0.384667 sec |
| 50000 | 1.605 sec | 1.592 sec | 1.6 sec | 1.599 sec |
| 75000 | 3.605 sec | 3.708 sec | 3.676 sec | 3.663 sec |
| 100000 | 6.622 sec | 6.695 sec | 6.626 sec | 6.647667 sec |

1. **Insertion binary search sort**

Results:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Size of array | Time 1 | Time 2 | Time 3 | Average time |
| 100 | 0 sec | 0 sec | 0 sec | 0 sec |
| 1000 | 0 sec | 0 sec | 0 sec | 0 sec |
| 10000 | 0.046 sec | 0.045 sec | 0.045 sec | 0.045333 sec |
| 25000 | 0.297 sec | 0.307 sec | 0.309 sec | 0.304333 sec |
| 50000 | 1.248 sec | 1.282 sec | 1.273 sec | 1.279667 sec |
| 75000 | 2.844 sec | 2.835 sec | 2.873 sec | 2.850667 sec |
| 100000 | 5.085 sec | 5.147 sec | 5.075 sec | 5.102333 sec |

1. **Comparison of average values**

Results:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Size of array | Bubble sort | Selection sort | Insertion sort | Insertion binary sort |
| 100 | 0 sec | 0 sec | 0 sec | 0 sec |
| 1000 | 0.002333 sec | 0.000667 sec | 0.001 sec | 0 sec |
| 10000 | 0.201667 sec | 0.076 sec | 0.055 sec | 0.045333 sec |
| 25000 | 1.495333 sec | 0.543333 sec | 0.384667 sec | 0.304333 sec |
| 50000 | 7.2766667 sec | 2.221333 sec | 1.599 sec | 1.279667 sec |
| 75000 | 17.39367 sec | 4.955 sec | 3.663 sec | 2.850667 sec |
| 100000 | 32.17633 sec | 9.001 sec | 6.647667 sec | 5.102333 sec |

As we can see, bubble sort is the most uneffective, when classic and improved with binary search insertion searching algorithm are the most profitable.