

List of Figures

- 1.1 A circuit diagram for quantum phase estimation using three ancillary qubits. The circuit block labelled with QFT^{-1} executes the inverse quantum Fourier transformation. When the ancillary qubits are in state $|x\rangle$, a controlled rotation $e^{-2\pi i H x}$ is applied to the main register $|\Psi\rangle$. The ancillary qubits output an eigenvalue of H in binary when being measured in the computational basis; meanwhile the main register $|\Psi\rangle$ collapses to the corresponding eigenstate. The circuit diagram is adapted with permission from *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 92(1):015003, 2020, DOI:10.1103/revmodphys.92.015003. Copyright 2020 American Physical Society. 18
- 1.2 Scheme of the variational quantum eigensolver (VQE) using three qubits. The scheme is reprinted with permission from *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 92(1):015003, March 2020, DOI:10.1103/revmodphys.92.015003. Copyright 2020 American Physical Society. 19
- 1.3 Schematic of the quantum imaginary time evolution (QITE) algorithm 20

2.1	Schematic illustration of the multireference selected quantum Krylov (MRSQK) algorithm. (A) An approximate real-time dynamics using a single Slater determinant reference (Φ_0) is used to generate a trial state ($\tilde{\Psi}$). . (B) Measurements of the determinants that comprise the trial state are used to determine the probability of hopping (P_μ) to other determinants. This information is employed to build two new reference states, Φ_1 and Φ_2 . (C) Finally, three real-time evolutions starting from the references (Φ_0, Φ_1, Φ_2) generate a set of 12 Krylov states ψ_α , which are used to diagonalize the Hamiltonian and obtain the energy of the state Ψ	29
2.2	Hadamard test circuit to estimate expectation values of unitary operators with a general form of $\langle \bar{0} \hat{U}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{U}_\chi \bar{0} \rangle$. Measuring the X, Y operators on the ancillary qubit $ 0\rangle$, one can obtain the mean value of $X + iY = 2 0\rangle\langle 1 $, which equals to $\langle X + iY \rangle = \langle \bar{0} \hat{U}_\gamma^\dagger \hat{U}_\chi \bar{0} \rangle$	35
2.3	Circuit for applying one controlled $-e^{-it_l \otimes_k \sigma_k}$ operator.	36
2.4	The circuit to compute $\langle \Phi_1 e^{-i\Delta t \hat{H}_q} \Phi_1 \rangle$ (top) and $\langle \Phi_0 e^{i\Delta t \hat{H}_q} \Phi_1 \rangle$ (bottom) implemented using Qiskit[167]. q[0] , q[1] encode basis states for the Hamiltonian, while q[2] is the ancillary qubit, with c3 the classical bit to store the output from measuring q[2] . $U2(\pi/2, -\pi/2)$ gate before the measurement is the H_k gate rotating the state of ancillary qubit into the σ^Y basis. . .	37

2.5	Potential energy curve (top) and error (bottom) for symmetric dissociation of linear H_6 in a STO-6G basis. MRSQK computations use $\Delta t = 0.5$ a.u., three time steps ($s = 3$), and five references ($d = 5$) corresponding to 20 Krylov basis states. The number of Trotter steps (m) is indicated in parentheses, while those from exact time evolution are labeled ($m = \infty$).	45
2.6	Potential energy curve (top) and error (bottom) for symmetric dissociation of linear BeH_2 in a STO-6G basis. MRSQK computations use $\Delta t = 2$ a.u., four time steps ($s = 4$), and six references ($d = 6$) corresponding to 30 Krylov basis states. The number of Trotter steps (m) is indicated in parentheses, while those from exact time evolution are labeled ($m = \infty$).	47
2.7	Energy error ΔE (in E_h) as a function of the number of Krylov basis states N of linear H_6 at a bond distance of 1.5 Å.	53
2.8	Potential energy curve (top) and energy error (bottom) for symmetric dissociation of linear H_6 in STO-6G basis. References in MRSQK were selected using initial QK calculations with $s_0 = 4$ evolutions of the Hartree–Fock determinant and a time step of $\Delta t_0 = 0.25$ a.u. Then the following MRSQK calculations use $\Delta t = 0.5$ a.u., three time steps ($s = 3$), and five references ($d = 5$) corresponding to 20 Krylov basis states. Two orderings of Pauli terms are employed for comparison, with notations consistent with that in Table 2.4. The number of Trotter steps (m) is indicated in parentheses, while those from exact time evolution are labeled ($m = \infty$).	54

2.9	Potential energy curve (top) and energy error (bottom) for symmetric dissociation of linear BeH₂ in STO-6G basis. References in MRSQK were selected using initial QK calculations with $s_0 = 3$ evolutions of the Hartree–Fock determinant and a time step of $\Delta t_0 = 0.25$ a.u. Then the following MRSQK calculations use $\Delta t = 2.0$ a.u., three time steps ($s = 4$), and five references ($d = 6$) corresponding to 30 Krylov basis states. Two orderings of Pauli terms are employed for comparison, with notations consistent with that in Table 2.4. The number of Trotter steps (m) is indicated in parentheses.	55
2.10	Potential energy curves (in E_h) of the ground state (1^1A_g) and the first singlet excited state (2^1A_g) for the symmetric dissociation of H ₆ computed with the MRSQK method using two reference spaces. All calculations use a STO-6G basis. MRSQK computations use one Trotter step ($m = 1$), $\Delta t = 0.5$ a.u., three time steps ($s = 3$), and five references ($d = 4$) corresponding to 16 Krylov basis states.	61
2.11	Deviations of vertical excitation energies (in eV) from FCI results of the first singlet excited state (2^1A_g) along the symmetric dissociation of H ₆ computed with the MRSQK method using two reference spaces. All calculations use a STO-6G basis. MRSQK computations use one Trotter step ($m = 1$), $\Delta t = 0.5$ a.u., three time steps ($s = 3$), and five references ($d = 4$) corresponding to 16 Krylov basis states.	62

3.1	The QDSRG scheme for performing hybrid classical-quantum computations on strongly correlated molecules. The computation begins with a classical or hybrid orbital optimization (1), followed by the preparation of a correlated reference state defined in the subset of active molecular orbitals (2). This step yields the reduced density cumulants ($\tilde{\lambda}_k$), which are passed to a classical DSRG algorithm (3) to produce the effective Hamiltonian ($\bar{H}_{1,2}$) and its expectation value with respect to the approximate cumulants ($\langle \bar{H}_{1,2} \rangle_{\tilde{\lambda}_k}$). (4) In the last step, an eigenvalue of the DSRG effective Hamiltonian is found via a quantum algorithm.	73
3.2	The energy error for the H_2 molecule (in mE_h) computed with the QLDSRG(2) with various amounts of stochastic noise (σ) added to the RDMs. For a given value of σ , the semiopaque circles aligned vertically show the distribution of errors from 50 computations, while the horizontal bars represent the average error. All computations use a cc-pVTZ basis [273] and the flow parameter value $s = 0.5 E_h^{-2}$. The energy errors are computed with respect to noiseless values. The data are obtained imposing $\gamma_3 = 0$ in the reconstruction of the approximate three-body cumulant.	84

3.3	The dissociation curve for the ground-state N_2 computed with the LDSRG(2) and QLDSRG(2). (a) The total energy and (b) the energy error with respect to FCI in units of E_h . Nonparallelism errors (in mE_h) for each method are reported in square brackets. All computations use an active space containing six $2p$ N atomic orbitals, a cc-pVDZ basis [273], and the flow parameter value $s = 0.5 E_h^{-2}$. The 1-QLDSRG(2)-CCSD data employ natural orbitals and γ_1 from CCSD as the input to the QDSRG computation. All other results employ CASSCF(6,6) orbitals.	87
3.4	The dissociation curve (a) and the energy error (b) for the H_2 molecule computed with the 2-QLDSRG(2) using one qubit on the <code>ibm_lagos</code> device. The energy errors are with respect to FCI energies. For each geometry, the semiopaque blue circles aligned vertically show the distribution of energies (energy errors) from 9 experiments, with each experiment consisting of 32000 measurements, while the horizontal bars in blue denote average energies or average energy errors. All computations use the cc-pV5Z basis [273] (110 basis functions), CASSCF(2,2) orbitals, and the flow parameter value $s = 0.5 E_h^{-2}$. The gray-shaded area indicates unsigned energy errors below $1 mE_h$. The unsigned energy errors and the standard deviations are reported in Table 3.4.	96

3.5	Conrotatory and disrotatory pathways describing the isomerization of bicyclo[1.1.0]butane (bicyclobutane) to <i>trans</i> -buta-1,3-diene (<i>trans</i> -butadiene). The enthalpies in kcal mol ⁻¹ are relative to the reactant for relevant stationary points computed with the 2-QLDSRG(2) method using one qubit on the device <code>ibmq_manila</code> . The black horizontal bars represent the 2-QLDSRG(2) results obtained with noiseless simulations. The device results are shown in parentheses. The semiopaque blue circles aligned vertically show the distribution of the relative enthalpies from eight experiments (20000 measurements per experiment), while the yellow horizontal bars denote the average relative enthalpies. We use a cc-pVTZ basis [273] (204 basis functions) and CASSCF(2,2) natural orbitals, and the flow parameter value $s = 1.0 E_h^{-2}$ for all six stationary points.	97
4.1	Definition of geometrical coordinates, torsion angle τ and pyramidalization angle β utilized in this study. The figure is adapted with permission from <i>J. Chem. Phys.</i> , 121(23):11614–11624, 2004, DOI:10.1063/1.1807378. Copyright 2023 American Institute of Physics.	113
4.2	Two π -like molecular orbitals in the active space for the twisted-pyramid conical intersection geometry. The orbitals are optimized at the CASSCF(2,2)/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory.	116
4.3	Circuit for singlet-restricted ansatz for a CAS(2,2) system	116

4.4 Potential energy curves of the three lowest singlet states along the pyramidalization motion of one CH₂ group computed with (a) SA-DSRG-PT2 [solid lines] and 2-SA-QDSRG-PT2 [asterisks], (b) SA-LDSRG(2) [solid lines], 2-SA-QLDSRG(2) [asterisks], (c) diagonalizing the bare Hamiltonian. Energies are shifted with respect to the ground-state energy of planar geometry calculated using the same method. The vertical dash lines and angle values denote the twisted-pyramid conical intersection geometry at the corresponding computational level.

..... 121

List of Tables

2.1	Resource estimates for MRSQK calculations with $m = 1$ Trotter step approximation on several hydrogen systems in STO-6G basis at the bond length $R=1.5 \text{ \AA}$. Jordan-Wigner transformation is used to encode the Hamiltonian for all cases in the table. . . .	38
2.2	Ground-state energies (in E_h) of H_6 and H_8 at a site-site distance of 1.5 \AA using exact time-evolution. Energy and overlap condition number $k(S)$ results are given for a single determinant (QK) using N Krylov basis states and $\Delta t = 0.5$. MRSQK results are given for $N = d(s + 1)$ Krylov basis states using three steps ($s = 3$) and $\Delta t = 0.5 \text{ a.u.}$ With N greater than 12 states, the condition number for QK does not grow larger than 10^{18} . This is likely a result of limitations of double precision arithmetic.	41

2.3	The energy error (in mE_h) of the ground-state (in E_h) of H_6 at a bond distance of 1.5 Å. MRSQK results are given for $N = d(s + 1)$ Krylov basis states using three steps ($s = 3$) and $\Delta t = 0.5$ a.u. The quantity m indicates the Trotter number. For each value of N , selected configuration interaction (sCI) results were obtained using N determinants with the largest absolute coefficient in the FCI wave function. ADAPT-VQE results show the energy with N cluster amplitudes selected from the pool of spin-adapted generalized singles/doubles. All energy errors are computed with respect to the FCI energy ($-3.020198 E_h$).	44
2.4	Ground-state energies (in E_h) of H_6 at a bond distance of 1.5 Å computed with MRSQK using different term orderings. MRSQK calculations use $N = d(s + 1)$ Krylov basis states with three steps ($s = 3$) and $\Delta t = 0.5$ a.u. m indicates the Trotter number. Subscripts denote different ordering type of Pauli terms in the qubit Hamiltonian after the Trotterization. OF : OpenFermion's default QubitOperator ordering; JW : terms are sorted in the descending order based on the magnitude of coefficients after <i>Jordan-Wigner</i> transform (Pauli term with largest magnitude goes first); sq : terms are sorted in the descending order based on the magnitudes of amplitudes of fermion operators in the <i>second quantized</i> form of the Hamiltonian (the group of terms from the fermion operator with the largest amplitude go first). rand : Pauli terms are shuffled <i>randomly</i> after Jordan-Wigner transform.	51

2.5 Two reference spaces used in MRSQK method for computing the first singlet excited state (2^1A_g) of linear H_6 . The orbitals are ordered by the irreducible representation of the D_{2h} point group as $1a_g, 2a_g, 3a_g, 1b_{1u}, 2b_{1u}, 3b_{1u}$. The ordering of orbital energies (in ascending order) from the restricted Hartree–Fock calculation is given by $1a_g < 1b_{1u} < 2a_g < 2b_{1u} < 3a_g < 3b_{1u}$, so the Hartree–Fock determinant is $|220200\rangle$ 59

2.6 Vertical excitation energies ΔE (in eV) for the first singlet excited state (2^1A_g) of linear H_6 at two bond distance 1.5 Å and 0.9 Å computed with MRSQK method in a STO-6G basis. MRSQK calculations use $N = d(s + 1)$ Krylov basis states using $\Delta t = 0.5$ a.u. and three steps ($s = 3$). The vertical excitation energy is calculated with respect to the corresponding ground-state energy obtained using the same values for N and m (as reported in Table 2.3). Note that we take the Trotter approximation with $m = 100$ as a good approximation to the infinite m limit. The data in parentheses show deviations from the FCI result ($\epsilon = \Delta E_{\text{MRSQK}} - \Delta E_{\text{FCI}}$, unit in eV). The best estimate of each reference space is indicated in bold type. 60

2.7	Statistical evaluation for deviations of vertical excitation energies (in eV) along the dissociation of H_6 as shown in Figure 2.11. The mean absolute error (MAE, in eV) is estimated as an arithmetic average of the absolute errors over 28 geometries. The nonparallelism error (NPE, in eV) is defined as the difference between the largest and smallest excitation energy deviation from the FCI vertical excitation energy along the symmetric dissociation curve.	61
3.1	The energy error for the H_2 molecule (in mE_h) computed with the LDSRG(2) and QLDSRG(2) methods. All computations use a cc-pVTZ basis [273] and the flow parameter value $s = 0.5 E_h^{-2}$. The energy errors are computed with respect to full configuration interaction (FCI) energies (in E_h) reported in the last row of the table. For the LDSRG(2), the expectation value of the energy is designated with “ $\langle \bar{H}_{1,2} \rangle$,” while the lowest eigenvalue is indicated with “eig. $\bar{H}_{1,2}$.” For the QDSRG methods, we report two sets of data. Those labeled “ $\gamma_3 = 0$ ” use an approximate three-body cumulant reconstructed from $\tilde{\lambda}_1$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_2$. The results for line M employ CCSD natural orbitals and the one-body relaxed CCSD reduced density matrix as input to the QDSRG procedure.	79

3.2 Spectroscopic constants for the ground state ($X^1\Sigma_g^+$) of N_2 from the dissociation curves in Figure 3.3. The data in parentheses show deviations from FCI results. All LDSRG(2) and QLD-SRG(2) computations as well as literature results listed here use the cc-pVDZ basis.	88
3.3 Adiabatic singlet-triplet splittings ($\Delta E_{ST} = E_T - E_S$) in kcal mol ⁻¹ of <i>para</i> -benzyne computed with the LDSRG(2) and QLD-SRG(2) in the cc-pVTZ basis [273] with the flow parameter value $s = 1.0 E_h^{-2}$. The data in parentheses show the difference with respect to the experimental value of ΔE_{ST} (in kcal mol ⁻¹). All LDSRG(2) and QLD-SRG(2) results include a zero-point vibrational energy (ZPVE) correction equal to +0.30 kcal mol ⁻¹ (see Ref. [289]). The geometries are taken from Ref. [290]. We use CASSCF(2,2) optimized orbitals for all computations of the singlet state and ROHF orbitals for the triplet state. For the LDSRG(2), the expectation value of the energy is designated with “ $\langle \bar{H}_{1,2} \rangle$ ”, while the lowest eigenvalue is indicated with “eig. $\bar{H}_{1,2}$ ”. For the QDSRG methods, we report two sets of data. Those labeled “ $\gamma_3 = 0$ ” use an approximate three-body cumulant reconstructed from approximate $\tilde{\lambda}_1$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_2$. All literature results listed here for comparison use the same cc-pVTZ basis.	91

3.4	The errors of 2-QLDSRG(2) energies in mE_h (with respect to FCI energies) along the H_2 dissociation curve (Figure 3.4). For results from the <code>ibm_lagos</code> device, we show the unsigned average energy errors and standard deviations (in mE_h). Unsigned energy errors below $1 mE_h$ are highlighted in bold type.	95
3.5	LDSRG(2) and QLDSRG(2) (exact and device) computations of the relative enthalpies (in kcal mol^{-1}) with respect to the bicyclobutane reactant of the conrotatory transition state and the disrotatory transition state, the <i>gauche</i> -butadiene intermediate, the transition state connecting <i>gauche</i> -butadiene and <i>trans</i> -butadiene, and the <i>trans</i> -butadiene product. We use the cc-pVTZ basis [273] (204 basis functions) and CASSCF(2,2) natural orbitals, and the flow parameter value $s = 1.0 E_h^{-2}$. All results include zero-point vibrational energy (ZPVE) corrections taken from Ref. [303]. The OMR3-DMC and CC(t;3) results also use the cc-pVTZ basis. The differences of values (in kcal mol^{-1}) with respect to the experimental data for the conrotatory transition state and <i>trans</i> -butadiene are shown in parentheses; values within 1 kcal mol^{-1} deviation are indicated in bold type.	98
3.6	Summary of the number of qubits required for the full-space and QDSRG computations that use large basis sets in this study.	104

- 3.7 The relations between the elements of the fermionic 1-RDM ($\gamma_q^p = \langle a_p^\dagger a_q \rangle$) and 2-RDM ($\gamma_{rs}^{pq} = \langle a_p^\dagger a_q^\dagger a_s a_r \rangle$) and the measured quantities for the one-qubit ansatz. Only nonzero elements are shown; others are zero due to symmetries. $|C_1|^2 = \langle \Psi|0 \rangle \langle 0|\Psi \rangle$ and $|C_2|^2 = \langle \Psi|1 \rangle \langle 1|\Psi \rangle$ are obtained from projective measurements [50, 320] of the optimized state in the computational basis; $\langle X \rangle$ is the expectation value of the Pauli X operator. . . 107
- 3.8 A comparison of the energy errors (in mE_h) of the QDSRG and the DUCC for the H_2 molecule at four bond lengths in the cc-pVTZ basis using DSRG flow parameter $s = 0.5 E_h^{-2}$. The size of the active space is denoted in parentheses. The energy errors are with respect to the full-space (30-orbital) FCI computations [absolute energies (in E_h) are shown in the first row]. The DUCC data are taken from Ref. [237]. 108
- 3.9 A comparison of the energy errors (in mE_h) of the QDSRG and the DUCC for the Be atom with different basis sets and active spaces. The DSRG flow parameter $s = 2.0 E_h^{-2}$. All computations use RHF orbitals. The energy errors are with respect to the full-space FCI computations [absolute energies (in E_h) are shown in the last column], which use 14, 30 and 55 orbitals for the cc-pVDZ, cc-pVTZ, and cc-pVQZ basis sets, respectively. The DUCC data are taken from Ref. [237]. 109

4.1	Excitation energies (in eV) of the three lowest singlet states of the twisted-pyramid conical intersection geometry, The excitation energies are computed with respect to the ground-state energy of planar geometry (Franck-Condon point) calculated at the corresponding computational level.	119
-----	---	-----