

Alexa Socialbot

Carter Brown¹ Abhimanyu Gupta¹ Sumner Hearth¹ Charles Howland¹
Ishaan Jhaveri¹ George Li¹ Julian Moraes¹

¹Cornell University
October 28, 2016

1 Overview

The overall design of the conversational module is to break different aspects of the conversational requirements into one of two sections, CC (chit-chat) or DD (data-driven). These reflect our view of the two principal concepts of a conversation: phrasing responses and incorporating relevant data. The CC module is responsible for creating cohesive sentences in response to the user. The DD section is responsible for finding relevant data and facts as required for formulating a response.




Figure 1: CC architecture

2 CC Overview

This module (called “Chit Chat”, or CC) of the conversational model uses classical conversation response generating models, context and topic tracking, and personalized DMNs [5, 8] to track information supplied by the user in the conversation. The CC module will also be responsible for merging data from the DD module into a reasonable response format.

3 CC Architecture

Text is first run through a classifier to determine whether a sentence requires additional information, this can be accomplished through a mix of classical NLP classifiers plus a search through the user DMN for relevant information. If more information is required the request is forwarded to the DD section, in both cases the text is also passed along to the remaining CC stages.

While the DD section evaluates the phrase for relevant information, the CC DMN references past dialogue to merge with the results obtained from the DD section.

4 DD Overview

This module (called “Data Driven”, or DD) of the conversational model incorporates outside data and information to be injected into the conversation. The module assumes that the user’s query has been classified in the previous module to require additional information that the standard conversational net cannot provide. DD makes use of recent advances in Neural Network memory systems and topic classification.

Generally speaking, a bank of Dynamic Memory Networks—first presented in [5] and updated in [8]—serve to store information on various topics that the user can query. Topic classification of text has a rich history from SVM’s [3, 7], LDA [1, 12], and even CNN’s [4]. The Topic Classifier can implement many of the various classification algorithms once we acquire data on which provides the simplest model with the best results given the input to the DD module. Recent research has even included utilising Convolutional Nets for this process [4]. It is necessary to bear in mind that the architecture is very “black-boxed” and details can arise only once we acquire data on which specific implementations work best in concert.

5 DD Architecture

The architecture of the Data Driven (DD) section of the conversational system is given by figure 5.1. The topic nodes represent Dynamic Memory Net-

works (DMNs) [5, 8], and the TC node is a topic classifier to dispatch commands to one of the provided topics.

After the preprocessing of the previous module, English text from the user’s query is passed into the Topic Classifier (TC). The TC determines the topic, i.e. some class, of the user’s query. The classes are predefined from training and this section is black-boxed for the sake of this general architecture. However, it seems that [12] provides an interesting and potentially fruitful framework for classification on short text segments. Furthermore, for each of these classes from training is a DMN+ [8] containing relevant information that we also obtained from training. This training can again be black-boxed for the sake of this design, but different avenues we will investigate include querying Google, Wikipedia, or something else we come up with during training as we see which websites and precreated databases contain the best information.

Furthermore, there are DMN+’s on current event topics, such as pop culture, politics, etc. The DMN+’s are continuously updated with information. This captures the idea that important information persists through the DMN+ from day-to-day. Since there has been no research on how large the DMN+’s can effectively be, we will have to determine this once we get to actually training and implementing. To accommodate this issue, we propose making our topic classifier more fine-grained if possible. If this is not reasonable, then augmenting DMN+’s with more traditional, “non-neural” QA architectures, such as those found in [2, 9, 10]. However, all of these would require a slight implementation change on a technical scale since these architectures require Freebase API, which we had downloaded before Google removed access to it, and has a different underlying representation of the data.

Some work such as [11] has brought together a knowledge base with a neural net to produce QA responses before. However, our approach differs in encapsulating the attention mechanism within the DMN+ bank. Furthermore, while [11] proposes a method to solve the Out of Vocabulary (OOV) problem, it does not appear to accommodate this problem on queries from the user. To remedy this, we propose overlaying the DMN+ [8] with a Pointer Sentinel Mixture Model [6]. Since the Pointer Sentinel Mixture Model (PSMM) can augment any architecture with a softmax layer, this with the answer module of our softmax, the output of which feeds back into a GRU. Since the PSMM has been merged only with LSTM’s before, this new architecture feature should make our DMN+ information retrieval bank more robust.




Figure 2: DD architecture

5.1 Topic and DMN Distribution

The Topic DMN+’s can be separated into two groups: Global modules and User modules. In figure 5.1, the left side and right side are two different levels of distribution—local, and global, respectively. Global modules are populated with information deemed relevant to all users’ interests, such as sports, politics, and culture. These modules can be queried by any DD module for any user and the same responses should be expected (i.e. “Who won the game on Saturday” is not user-dependent). As such these modules can be shared among all instances of the DD module.

User modules are tailored to a specific user’s interests, stored locally for the user. The TC module can double as a simple unsupervised learning system as well as a labeled topic classifier in order to determine what topics a specific user may find interesting. Hence, these classifications evolve with the user as they interact more with Alexa. These topics can then be researched and added to the list of available topic DMNs.

6 Information Gathering

Populating the DMNs is a constant process. The general implementation will be to discover facts on a topic via predefined news sources (i.e. Google News) or databases (i.e. Wikipedia) and to convert any fact tables into simple sentences. This means that information such as the tuple (*Country: United States, Capital: Washington D.C.*) should be entered into the DMN as “*The capital of the United States is Washington D.C.*”. Global topics should be constantly querying for the most relevant information, weighted by importance and age. More important topics should remain in the DMN longer, and more recent topics should be included. Should a query not find results in the

topic’s DMN then more classical Information Retrieval algorithms can be employed to compute the result and populate a user DMN for later reference. User DMNs will have a twofold responsibility. They will cover topics not relevant to the general population or which are more specific than generally necessary. These user topics can be populated during a conversation, but also when the user is not active. Using the preexisting TC module we can query incoming news or database entries for information deemed relevant and important and populate the appropriate DMN for later conversations.

References

- [1] David M. Blei, Andrew Y. Ng, and Michael I. Jordan. Latent Dirichlet Allocation. *Proceedings of the 14th Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 601–608, 2001.
- [2] Mohit Iyyer, Jordan Boyd-Graber, Leonardo Claudino, Richard Socher, Hal Daumé III, Hal Daum, and HD III. A Neural Network for Factoid Question Answering over Paragraphs. *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 633–644, 2014.
- [3] Thorsten Joachims. Text Categorization with Support Vector Machines: Learning with Many Relevant Features. *Machine Learning*, 1398(LS-8 Report 23):137–142, 1998.
- [4] Yoon Kim. Convolutional Neural Networks for Sentence Classification. *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP 2014)*, pages 1746–1751, 2014.
- [5] Ankit Kumar, Ozan Irsoy, Peter Ondruska, Mohit Iyyer, James Bradbury, Ishaan Gulrajani, Victor Zhong, Romain Paulus, and Richard Socher. Ask Me Anything: Dynamic Memory Networks for Natural Language Processing. *Nips*, 2015.
- [6] Stephen Merity, Caiming Xiong, James Bradbury, and Richard Socher. Pointer Sentinel Mixture Models. 2016.
- [7] István Pilászy. Text categorization and support vector machines. *The Proceedings of the 6th International Symposium of Hungarian Researchers on Computational Intelligence*, 1, 2005.
- [8] Caiming Xiong, Stephen Merity, and Richard Socher. Dynamic Memory Networks for Visual and Textual Question Answering. *arXiv*, 2016.
- [9] Xuchen Yao, Jonathan Berant, and Benjamin Van Durme. Freebase QA: Information Extraction or Semantic Parsing? *Proceedings of the ACL 2014 Workshop on Semantic Parsing*, pages 82–86, 2014a.
- [10] Xuchen Yao and Benjamin Van Durme. Information Extraction over Structured Data: Question Answering with Freebase. *Proceedings of the 52nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 956–966, 2014b.
- [11] Yuanzhe Zhang, Kang Liu, Shizhu He, Guoliang Ji, Zhanyi Liu, Hua Wu, and Jun Zhao. Question Answering over Knowledge Base with Neural Attention Combining Global Knowledge Information. *ArXiv*, 2016.
- [12] Wayne Xin Zhao, Jing Jiang, Jianshu Weng, Jing He, Ee-peng Lim, Hongfei Yan, and Xiaoming Li. Comparing Twitter and Traditional Media using Topic Models. *Proceedings of the 33rd European conference on Advances in information retrieval (ECIR’11)*, pages 338–349, 2011.