

Undergraduate Seminar Presentation

Number of Primes

Karen Arzumanyan

November 22, 2021

The number of primes until x , the function $\pi(x)$

Definition

The function $\pi(x)$ takes an integer, and returns the number of prime numbers that are less or equal to that integer.

The number of primes until x , the function $\pi(x)$

Definition

The function $\pi(x)$ takes an integer, and returns the number of prime numbers that are less or equal to that integer.

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} 1 = \#\{p \leq x \mid p \text{ is prime.}\}$$

Behavior of $\pi(x)$

The function $\pi(x)$ behaves roughly like $\frac{x}{\log x}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$

Behavior of $\pi(x)$

The function $\pi(x)$ behaves roughly like $\frac{x}{\log x}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \pi(x) \frac{\log x}{x} = 1$$

Behavior of $\pi(x)$

The function $\pi(x)$ behaves roughly like $\frac{x}{\log x}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \pi(x) \frac{\log x}{x} = 1$$

This was proven by *J. Hadamard* and *C. de la Vallé Poussin* in 1896.

Behavior of $\pi(x)$

The function $\pi(x)$ behaves roughly like $\frac{x}{\log x}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \pi(x) \frac{\log x}{x} = 1$$

This was proven by *J. Hadamard* and *C. de la Vallé Poussin* in 1896.

The proof is too complicated and is beyond the scope of this presentation.

Chebyshev's Theorem

Theorem (Chebyshev)

There exist constants $0 < c_1 < 1 < c_2$ such that for all $x \geq 1$ we have that

$$c_1 \frac{x}{\log x} \leq \pi(x) \leq c_2 \frac{x}{\log x}$$

Chebyshev's Theorem

Theorem (Chebyshev)

There exist constants $0 < c_1 < 1 < c_2$ such that for all $x \geq 1$ we have that

$$c_1 \frac{x}{\log x} \leq \pi(x) \leq c_2 \frac{x}{\log x}$$

Pavnutii Lvovich Chebyshev proved this theorem for $c_1 = 0.92$ and $c_2 = 1.11$.

Chebyshev's Theorem

Theorem (Chebyshev)

There exist constants $0 < c_1 < 1 < c_2$ such that for all $x \geq 1$ we have that

$$c_1 \frac{x}{\log x} \leq \pi(x) \leq c_2 \frac{x}{\log x}$$

Pavnutii Lvovich Chebyshev proved this theorem for $c_1 = 0.92$ and $c_2 = 1.11$.

We will prove the theorem for weaker bounds.

Sum of logs

Sum of Logs

We define a function

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p$$

and specify that $\theta(1) = 0$.

Sum of logs

Sum of Logs

We define a function

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p$$

and specify that $\theta(1) = 0$.

If we compare $\theta(x)$ and $\pi(x)$ we can see that since $\log p \leq \log x$

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \leq \sum_{p \leq x} \log x = \pi(x) \log x$$

Sum of logs

Sum of Logs

We define a function

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p$$

and specify that $\theta(1) = 0$.

If we compare $\theta(x)$ and $\pi(x)$ we can see that since $\log p \leq \log x$

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \leq \sum_{p \leq x} \log x = \pi(x) \log x$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\theta(x)}{x} \leq \frac{\pi(x)}{\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)}$$

Suppose we have $0 < \epsilon < 1$, then

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p = \sum_{p \leq x^{1-\epsilon}} \log p + \sum_{x^{1-\epsilon} < p \leq x} \log p$$

Suppose we have $0 < \epsilon < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(x) &= \sum_{p \leq x} \log p = \sum_{p \leq x^{1-\epsilon}} \log p + \sum_{x^{1-\epsilon} < p \leq x} \log p \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon) \left(\pi(x) - \pi(x^{1-\epsilon}) \right) \log x\end{aligned}$$

Suppose we have $0 < \epsilon < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(x) &= \sum_{p \leq x} \log p = \sum_{p \leq x^{1-\epsilon}} \log p + \sum_{x^{1-\epsilon} < p \leq x} \log p \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon) \left(\pi(x) - \pi(x^{1-\epsilon}) \right) \log x \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon) \pi(x) \log x - \pi(x^{1-\epsilon}) \log x\end{aligned}$$

Suppose we have $0 < \epsilon < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(x) &= \sum_{p \leq x} \log p = \sum_{p \leq x^{1-\epsilon}} \log p + \sum_{x^{1-\epsilon} < p \leq x} \log p \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon) \left(\pi(x) - \pi(x^{1-\epsilon}) \right) \log x \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon) \pi(x) \log x - \pi(x^{1-\epsilon}) \log x \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon) \pi(x) \log x - x^{1-\epsilon} \log x\end{aligned}$$

Now we have that

$$\theta(x) \geq (1 - \epsilon)\pi(x) \log x - x^{1-\epsilon} \log x$$

Small ϵ

Now we have that

$$\theta(x) \geq (1 - \epsilon)\pi(x) \log x - x^{1-\epsilon} \log x$$

If we divide both sides by x , we will get

$$\frac{\theta(x)}{x} \geq (1 - \epsilon) \frac{\pi(x)}{\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)} - \frac{\log x}{x^\epsilon}$$

Now we have that

$$\theta(x) \geq (1 - \epsilon)\pi(x) \log x - x^{1-\epsilon} \log x$$

If we divide both sides by x , we will get

$$\frac{\theta(x)}{x} \geq (1 - \epsilon) \frac{\pi(x)}{\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)} - \frac{\log x}{x^\epsilon}$$

Remark: Recall that we also had

$$\frac{\theta(x)}{x} \leq \frac{\pi(x)}{\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)}$$

Since the term $\frac{\log x}{x^\epsilon}$ vanishes as $x \rightarrow \infty$, we can go back and forth between asymptotic formulas of $\pi(x)$ and $\theta(x)$.

Lemma for $\theta(x)$

Lemma

For all $x \geq 1$ we have,

$$\theta(x) < (4 \log 2)x$$

Lemma for $\theta(x)$

Proof.

Let's consider the binomial coefficient

$$\binom{2n}{n} = \frac{(2n)(2n-1)\dots(n+1)}{n!} < 2^{2n}$$

Lemma for $\theta(x)$

Proof.

Let's consider the binomial coefficient

$$\binom{2n}{n} = \frac{(2n)(2n-1)\dots(n+1)}{n!} < 2^{2n}$$

where the inequality follows from

$$2^{2n} = (1+1)^{2n} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{k} 1^{2n-k} 1^k > \binom{2n}{n}$$

Lemma for $\theta(x)$

Proof.

Let's consider the binomial coefficient

$$\binom{2n}{n} = \frac{(2n)(2n-1)\dots(n+1)}{n!} < 2^{2n}$$

where the inequality follows from

$$2^{2n} = (1+1)^{2n} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{k} 1^{2n-k} 1^k > \binom{2n}{n}$$

We know that every prime $p \in (n, 2n]$ will appear in the numerator, but not in the denominator.

Lemma for $\theta(x)$

Proof.

Let's consider the binomial coefficient

$$\binom{2n}{n} = \frac{(2n)(2n-1)\dots(n+1)}{n!} < 2^{2n}$$

where the inequality follows from

$$2^{2n} = (1+1)^{2n} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{k} 1^{2n-k} 1^k > \binom{2n}{n}$$

We know that every prime $p \in (n, 2n]$ will appear in the numerator, but not in the denominator.

Therefore,

$$\prod_{n < p \leq 2n} p \leq \binom{2n}{n} < 2^{2n}$$

Proof for Lemma for $\theta(x)$

Proof.

Hence, taking the log of both sides

$$\sum_{n < p \leq 2n} \log p < \log 2^{2n}$$

Proof for Lemma for $\theta(x)$

Proof.

Hence, taking the log of both sides

$$\sum_{n < p \leq 2n} \log p < \log 2^{2n}$$

That is,

$$\theta(2n) - \theta(n) < (2 \log 2)n$$

Proof for Lemma for $\theta(x)$

Proof.

Hence, taking the log of both sides

$$\sum_{n < p \leq 2n} \log p < \log 2^{2n}$$

That is,

$$\theta(2n) - \theta(n) < (2 \log 2)n$$

In particular, taking $n = 2^{k-1}$, we get that

$$\theta(2^k) - \theta(2^{k-1}) < (2 \log 2)2^{k-1}$$

Proof for Lemma for $\theta(x)$

Proof.

Hence, taking the log of both sides

$$\sum_{n < p \leq 2n} \log p < \log 2^{2n}$$

That is,

$$\theta(2n) - \theta(n) < (2 \log 2)n$$

In particular, taking $n = 2^{k-1}$, we get that

$$\theta(2^k) - \theta(2^{k-1}) < (2 \log 2)2^{k-1}$$

Lemma for $\theta(x)$

Proof.

If we apply a telescopic sum,

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \theta(2^k) - \theta(2^{k-1})$$

Lemma for $\theta(x)$

Proof.

If we apply a telescopic sum,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^m \theta(2^k) - \theta(2^{k-1}) \\ &= \left(\theta(2) - \theta(1) \right) + \left(\theta(4) - \theta(2) \right) + \dots + \left(\theta(2^m) - \theta(2^{m-1}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma for $\theta(x)$

Proof.

If we apply a telescopic sum,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^m \theta(2^k) - \theta(2^{k-1}) \\ &= (\theta(2) - \theta(1)) + (\theta(4) - \theta(2)) + \dots + (\theta(2^m) - \theta(2^{m-1})) \\ &= \theta(2^m) - \theta(1) = \theta(2^m) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma for $\theta(x)$

Proof.

If we apply a telescopic sum,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^m \theta(2^k) - \theta(2^{k-1}) \\ &= (\theta(2) - \theta(1)) + (\theta(4) - \theta(2)) + \dots + (\theta(2^m) - \theta(2^{m-1})) \\ &= \theta(2^m) - \theta(1) = \theta(2^m) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, together with the inequality from before

$$\theta(2^m) \leq (2 \log 2) \sum_{k=1}^m 2^{k-1} < (2 \log 2) 2^m$$

Lemma for $\theta(x)$

Proof.

For an arbitrary $x \geq 1$, choose an integer m such that $2^{m-1} \leq x < 2^m$.

Lemma for $\theta(x)$

Proof.

For an arbitrary $x \geq 1$, choose an integer m such that $2^{m-1} \leq x < 2^m$. Then,

$$\theta(x) \leq \theta(2^m) < (2 \log 2)2^m \leq (4 \log 2)x$$

Lemma for $\theta(x)$

Proof.

For an arbitrary $x \geq 1$, choose an integer m such that $2^{m-1} \leq x < 2^m$. Then,

$$\theta(x) \leq \theta(2^m) < (2 \log 2)2^m \leq (4 \log 2)x$$

that is

$$\theta(x) \leq (4 \log 2)x$$

Upper bound of Chebyshev

Proof.

We already know that,

$$(4 \log 2)x \geq \theta(x) \geq \sum_{\sqrt{x} < p \leq x} \log p$$

Upper bound of Chebyshev

Proof.

We already know that,

$$\begin{aligned}(4 \log 2)x \geq \theta(x) &\geq \sum_{\sqrt{x} < p \leq x} \log p \\ &\geq \log(\sqrt{x})(\pi(x) - \pi(\sqrt{x})) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log(x)(\pi(x) - \pi(\sqrt{x}))\end{aligned}$$

Upper bound of Chebyshev

Proof.

We already know that,

$$\begin{aligned}(4 \log 2)x &\geq \theta(x) \geq \sum_{\sqrt{x} < p \leq x} \log p \\ &\geq \log(\sqrt{x})(\pi(x) - \pi(\sqrt{x})) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log(x)(\pi(x) - \pi(\sqrt{x})) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \log(x)\pi(x) - \frac{1}{2} \log(x)\sqrt{x}\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from $\pi(\sqrt{x}) \leq \sqrt{x}$.

Equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{2} \log(x)\pi(x) \leq (4 \log 2)x + \frac{1}{2} \log(x)\sqrt{x}$$

Upper bound of Chebyshev

Proof.

Dividing both sides of the last inequality by $\frac{1}{2} \log(x)$, we get

$$\pi(x) \leq (8 \log 2) \frac{x}{\log x} + \sqrt{x} \leq (8 \log 2 + 2) \frac{x}{\log x}$$

Upper bound of Chebyshev

Proof.

Dividing both sides of the last inequality by $\frac{1}{2} \log(x)$, we get

$$\pi(x) \leq (8 \log 2) \frac{x}{\log x} + \sqrt{x} \leq (8 \log 2 + 2) \frac{x}{\log x}$$

where we used the simple inequality $\sqrt{x} < \frac{2x}{\log x}$ for all $x \geq 2$.

Upper bound of Chebyshev

Proof.

Dividing both sides of the last inequality by $\frac{1}{2} \log(x)$, we get

$$\pi(x) \leq (8 \log 2) \frac{x}{\log x} + \sqrt{x} \leq (8 \log 2 + 2) \frac{x}{\log x}$$

where we used the simple inequality $\sqrt{x} < \frac{2x}{\log x}$ for all $x \geq 2$.

Upper bound of Chebyshev

Proof.

Dividing both sides of the last inequality by $\frac{1}{2} \log(x)$, we get

$$\pi(x) \leq (8 \log 2) \frac{x}{\log x} + \sqrt{x} \leq (8 \log 2 + 2) \frac{x}{\log x}$$

where we used the simple inequality $\sqrt{x} < \frac{2x}{\log x}$ for all $x \geq 2$.
Therefore

$$c_2 = 8 \log 2 + 2 \approx 4.41$$

Lower bound of Chebyshev

Proof of the Lower Bound

For the lower bound, let us consider the following binomial coefficient inequality

$$\binom{2n}{n} = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{k+n}{k} \geq 2^n$$

Lower bound of Chebyshev

Proof of the Lower Bound

For the lower bound, let us consider the following binomial coefficient inequality

$$\binom{2n}{n} = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{k+n}{k} \geq 2^n$$

We now write

$$\binom{2n}{n} = \prod_{p < 2n} p^{\alpha_p} \geq 2^n$$

for $\alpha_p > 0$

Lower bound of Chebyshev

Lemma

The largest power of p dividing $n!$ is given by

$$\nu_p(n!) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^k} \right\rfloor$$

Lower bound of Chebyshev

Proof.

As $\binom{2n}{n} = \frac{2n!}{(n!)^2}$, then the power of p in the decomposition of $\binom{2n}{n}$ can be calculated by subtracting twice the power of p in the decomposition of $n!$ from the power of p in the decomposition of $(2n)!$. Applying the previous lemma,

$$\alpha_p = \sum_{j=1}^{t_p} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{2n}{p^j} \right\rfloor - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^j} \right\rfloor \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{t_p} \left\lfloor \frac{2n}{p^j} \right\rfloor - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{t_p} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^j} \right\rfloor$$

where t_p is the largest integer such that $p^{t_p} \leq 2n$.

Lower bound of Chebyshev

Proof.

Taking the log of both sides gives $t_p = \left\lfloor \frac{\log 2n}{\log p} \right\rfloor$, implying

$$n \log 2 \leq \sum_{p < 2n} t_p \log p = \sum_{p < 2n} \left\lfloor \frac{\log 2n}{\log p} \right\rfloor \log p$$

Lower bound of Chebyshev

Proof.

Taking the log of both sides gives $t_p = \left\lfloor \frac{\log 2n}{\log p} \right\rfloor$, implying

$$n \log 2 \leq \sum_{p < 2n} t_p \log p = \sum_{p < 2n} \left\lfloor \frac{\log 2n}{\log p} \right\rfloor \log p$$

Now, we need to separate the right hand side (RHS) into two separate sums and deal with them individually.

Lower bound of Chebyshev

Proof.

We have,

$$RHS = \sum_{p < \sqrt{2n}} \left\lfloor \frac{\log 2n}{\log p} \right\rfloor \log p + \sum_{\sqrt{2n} \leq p \leq 2n} \log p \leq \sqrt{2n} \log 2n + \theta(2n)$$

Lower bound of Chebyshev

Proof.

We have,

$$RHS = \sum_{p < \sqrt{2n}} \left\lfloor \frac{\log 2n}{\log p} \right\rfloor \log p + \sum_{\sqrt{2n} \leq p \leq 2n} \log p \leq \sqrt{2n} \log 2n + \theta(2n)$$

We have proven that for sufficiently large $n \geq 1$,

$$\theta(2n) \geq n \log 2 - \sqrt{2n} \log 2n \geq Cn$$

Lower bound of Chebyshev

Proof.

For $x = 2n + 1$, we also have that

$$\theta(x) \geq \theta(2n) \geq Cn \geq \frac{C}{4}x$$

Lower bound of Chebyshev

Proof.

For $x = 2n + 1$, we also have that

$$\theta(x) \geq \theta(2n) \geq Cn \geq \frac{C}{4}x$$

It is now clear that

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \leq \pi(x) \log x$$

Lower bound of Chebyshev

Proof.

For $x = 2n + 1$, we also have that

$$\theta(x) \geq \theta(2n) \geq Cn \geq \frac{C}{4}x$$

It is now clear that

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \leq \pi(x) \log x$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{C}{4} \frac{x}{\log x} \leq \frac{\theta(x)}{\log x} \leq \pi(x)$$



Mallahi-Karai, K., Number Theory Complete Lecture Notes.