MANCHESTER 1824

COMP27112

Computer Graphics and Image Processing

5: Viewing 1: The camera



Toby.Howard@manchester.ac.uk

MANCHESTER 1824

Introduction

- In part 1 of our study of Viewing, we'll look at
  - Viewing in 2D
  - Clipping
  - Viewing in 3D
  - Viewing in OpenGL



2

MANCHESTER 1824

Viewing in 2D

- We'll start with looking at viewing in 2D



- We need to specify **what** we want to see and **where** we want to see it

3

MANCHESTER 1824

Viewing in 2D

- How do we specify the mapping from our scene to the display screen?



- We use the **analogy** of photographing the scene with a camera
- In this case the camera is confined to the 2D plane

4

MANCHESTER 1824

Viewing in 2D

- We specify a "window" in world coordinates, and a "viewport" in screen coordinates



- We find the matrix M_{view} which transforms the window to the viewport.
- M_{view} is called the **viewing transformation**

5

MANCHESTER 1824

Window to viewport mapping

- We can find M_{view} easily, in 3 steps
  - **M1** : Translate by (-x0, -y0) to place the window at the origin
  - **M2** : Scale the window to be the same shape as the viewport
  - **M3** : Shift to the viewport position



6

MANCHESTER 1824

Window to viewport mapping

- **M1** : Translate (-x0, -y0)
- **M2** : Scale (u1-u0/x1-x0, v1-v0/y1-y0)
- **M3** : Translate (u0, v0)
- $\mathbf{M_{view}}$ = **M3** * **M2** * **M1**
- $\mathbf{P_{screen}}$ = $\mathbf{M_{view}}$ * $\mathbf{P_{world}}$



7

MANCHESTER 1824

Window to viewport mapping

- $\mathbf{M_{view}}$ = **M3** * **M2** * **M1**

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & u_0 \\ 0 & 1 & v_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{M_3} * \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{u_1-u_0}{x_1-x_0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{v_1-v_0}{y_1-y_0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{M_2} * \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -x_0 \\ 0 & 1 & -y_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{M_1}$$

- So we can now map a world space point $\mathbf{P_{world}}$ into screen coordinates $\mathbf{P_{screen}}$ as follows. This is our "view".

$$\mathbf{P_{screen}} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{u_1-u_0}{x_1-x_0} & 0 & -x_0 * \frac{u_1-u_0}{x_1-x_0} + u_0 \\ 0 & \frac{v_1-v_0}{y_1-y_0} & -y_0 * \frac{v_1-v_0}{y_1-y_0} + v_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{M_{view}} * \mathbf{P_{world}}$$

Note: We rarely multiply matrices by hand like this. The graphics system will do it for us. So no need for you to remember this complicated matrix.

8

MANCHESTER 1824

Clipping

- Normally we will want to **CLIP** against the viewport
  - …to remove those parts of primitives whose coordinates are outside the window
  - There are standard algorithms for clipping lines and polygons



9

MANCHESTER 1824

Multiple windows and viewports

- Sometimes it's useful to use multiple windows and viewports, to help arrange items on the screen



- Real example: the 4 different views in AC3D

10



MANCHESTER 1824

Viewing in 2D: summary

- We use the analogy of photographing our scene with a 2D "camera" which can slide in the XY plane
- We compute a **viewing transformation** M_{view}
- $P_{screen} = M_{view} * P_{world}$



12

MANCHESTER 1824

There is no camera

- Notice what we have done
- We have imagined we have a camera...
- ...but we don't really have one
- So we have simulated a camera by instead **transforming the model**



13

MANCHESTER 1824

Viewing in 3D

- In 2D graphics, we view our world by mapping from 2D world coordinates to 2D screen coordinates: easy and obvious
- In 3D graphics, in order to view our 3D world, we have to somehow **reduce** our 3D information to 2D information, so that it can be displayed on the 2D display: **not** so easy and obvious

- Here we see a 2D view of an object defined in 3D. It's been projected from 3D to 2D.
- To specify how this view is created, we again use the analogy of "taking a picture using a camera", but this time our "camera" is like a real-world camera: It has a position and orientation in 3D space, and a particular type of lens.



14

MANCHESTER 1824

The camera analogy

- The process of transforming a synthetic 3D model into a 2D view is analogous to using a camera in the real world to take 2D pictures of a 3D scene

15

MANCHESTER 1824

The camera analogy

Step 1: Arrange the scene into the desired composition

16

MANCHESTER 1824

The camera analogy

Step 2: Position and point the camera at the scene

17

MANCHESTER 1824

The camera analogy

Step 3: Choose a camera lens (wide-angle? zoom?)

18

MANCHESTER 1824

The camera analogy

Step 4: Decide the size of the final photograph

19

MANCHESTER 1824

3D Viewing: the camera analogy

	Real World	Computer Graphics
1	Arrange the scene into the desired composition	Set Modelling Transformation
2	Point the camera at the scene	Set Viewing Transformation
3	Choose the camera lens, or adjust the zoom	Set Projection Transformation
4	Determine the size and shape of the final photograph	Set Viewport Transformation

20

MANCHESTER 1824

The 3D Viewing Pipeline

3D vertex

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

1 Modelling transformation **M** → 2 Viewing transformation **V** → 3 Projection transformation **P**

Note: In OpenGL, **M** and **V** are combined into a single "modelview" matrix

4 Clip to view volume → 5 Perspective division → 6 Viewport transformation → 2D pixel px,py

In this lecture we'll cover steps 1 and 2, and look at steps 3 to 6 in the next lecture.

21

MANCHESTER 1824

The duality of Modelling and Viewing

- Question: to obtain these two images of the model, was the camera moved **or** was the model moved?
- Answer: it is impossible to tell, just by looking at the images. It could have been either method.
- Example: moving the model by (x,y,z) is equivalent to moving the camera by (-x,-y,-z). This also applies to rotations.

22

MANCHESTER 1824

The duality of Modelling and Viewing

- Here, in 2D, we have an object **O** and a camera **C**, both sitting on the X axis
- If we keep the object fixed and move the camera by **+2,** **O** and **C** are now **4** units apart.
- If we keep the camera fixed and move the object by **-2,** **O** and **C** are now **4** units apart.



- Whether we move **O** or **C**, their **relative positions** will be the same, so the view from the camera will be the same

23

MANCHESTER 1824

The duality of Modelling and Viewing

- Now for the **KEY IDEA**, which we'll present in 2D
- Imagine we have an object **O** sitting at the origin
- We want to view **O** with a camera **C** located at position **X=3**
- But we don't actually have a camera!
- But we can **SIMULATE** the effect, by instead moving the object by **-3 in X**



24

MANCHESTER 1824

Achieving viewing by modelling

- We've just seen that we can create the same view from a camera at a certain location and orientation, by **instead** transforming the object
- This is exactly what we do in computer graphics
- However, the idea of having a camera is very natural to us, so we **pretend** we really have a camera…
- …and we express the view we want in terms of "camera location and orientation", but to implement this we actually compute a suitable viewing transformation which we **apply to the object**

25

MANCHESTER 1824

Specifying the "camera"

- We specify where the "camera" is located in 3D space. This is the **eye point, E**
- We specify a **centre of interest C**, a 3D point at which the "camera" is looking
- We specify the **up** direction of the "camera", using a "view up vector" **V**
- We can then use **E**,**C**, and **V** to derive a transformation which, when applied to the **model**, would give the same view as if we really had this "camera".



MANCHESTER 1824

Defining the camera coordinate system

- We use **E**, **C** and **V** to derive a coordinate system for the "camera"
- We'll call the axes of the camera coordinate system $\hat{S}\ \hat{U}\ \hat{F}$





MANCHESTER 1824

Defining the camera coordinate system

- Let's start with $\hat{F}$. This is straightforward to define, in two steps:
- Step 1. $F = C - E$
- Step 2. The length of F could be anything, depending on C and E, and since we are using F to specify a direction only, we normalize it be of length 1, and then call it $\hat{F}$



MANCHESTER 1824

Defining the camera coordinate system

- Now we consider the "up" direction of the camera. The user must define this (just like they must define C and E) using a vector **V**, called the "view-up" vector.
- Here are some possible values of **V**:

V = (0,1,0)

V = (-1,1,0)



V = (-1,0,0)

MANCHESTER 1824

Defining the camera coordinate system

- Let's continue defining the $\hat{S}\,\hat{U}\,\hat{F}$ coordinate system of the camera. So far we have one axis, $\hat{F}$, so let's try and define another axis.
- If **V** is the 'up' direction of the camera, you would think that V would be orthogonal to F
- OK, let's assume it is, then let's normalise it, to give $\hat{V}$, and we now have defined 2 of the three axes of the camera.
- We will come back to the red box above, shortly...



30

MANCHESTER 1824

Defining the camera coordinate system

- Now all that remains is to define the 3rd axis, which needs to orthogonal to both $\hat{V}$ and $\hat{F}$
- This is straightforward, we just take the cross product of $\hat{V}$ and $\hat{F}$. Let's call this $\hat{Q}$
- $\hat{Q}$ = normalise($\hat{V}$ x $\hat{F}$)



- So now we have established the camera's coordinate system.
- Yes, but there's a potential problem...

31

MANCHESTER 1824

Defining the camera coordinate system

- This system is based on the assumption we stated:

> If **V** is the 'up' direction of the camera, you would think that V would be orthogonal to F

- In other words, we are **assuming** that the user has made sure that **V** is actually orthogonal to **F**. To do this, they would first have to work out **F**, and then define **V** accordingly.
- Can we rely on all users to always do that? No!
- But if they don't, and instead specify a **V** that is not orthogonal to **F**, then the whole derivation of the camera coordinate system will not work, and the axes will point in all kinds of strange directions.
- So what's the solution?

32

MANCHESTER 1824

Defining the camera coordinate system

- The solution is to decouple $\hat{V}$ and $\hat{F}$, by **not making any assumptions** about their relationship
- We first derive $\hat{F}$ as before: $\hat{F}$ = normalize(C - E)
- Then we use $\hat{F}$ and $\hat{V}$ to create $\hat{S}$ orthogonal to them both: $\hat{S}$ = normalise($\hat{F}$ x $\hat{V}$)



- Then we use $\hat{S}$ and $\hat{F}$ to create $\hat{U}$: $\hat{U}$=normalise($\hat{S}$ x $\hat{F}$) and we **forget all about** $\hat{V}$



- We've constructed a camera coordinate system $\hat{S}\,\hat{U}\,\hat{F}$ that has involved **making no assumptions**, and is guaranteed to be a correct orthogonal coordinate system.

MANCHESTER 1824

The duality principle applied

- Imagine we have a real camera **C** in the world, at location **E** in the world and rotated by **R**. Call the composite transformation needed to move the camera, from the origin, to where it is, $\mathbf{T_C}$
- Using this camera we photograph an object **O** in the world, and get a view



- We can achieve the **same view** if we compute the inverse transformation of T_C (i.e., $\mathbf{T_C^{-1}}$) ...
- ... and apply this to **O**



34

MANCHESTER 1824

The viewing transformation

- **The viewing transformation T_C^{-1}** moves & rotates the $\hat{S}\,\hat{U}\hat{F}$ camera system to align with the origin of the world coordinates system:



- **KEY IDEA:** If we apply T_C^{-1} to **objects**, we will get the **same view** as if we had a real camera at **E**

35

MANCHESTER 1824

The viewing transformation

- We derive a transformation T_C^{-1} that moves the camera coordinate system to the world origin, in two steps:
  1. We translate the origin of the camera system to the origin of the world system
  2. We rotate the camera axes to be coincident with the world axes, with $\hat{F}$ aligned with **–Z** (we omit the derivation)

$$T_C^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{S}_x & \hat{S}_y & \hat{S}_z & 0 \\ \hat{U}_x & \hat{U}_y & \hat{U}_z & 0 \\ -\hat{F}_x & -\hat{F}_y & -\hat{F}_z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -E_x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -E_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -E_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

rotations translation



- T_C^{-1} is the **viewing transformation**

MANCHESTER 1824

The viewing transformation in OpenGL

- This OpenGL function takes (**E**,**C**,**V**) and computes the viewing transformation we have just seen

OpenGL

```
void gluLookAt (GLdouble eyex,
                GLdouble eyey,
                GLdouble eyez,
                GLdouble centrex,
                GLdouble centrey,
                GLdouble centrez,
                GLdouble upx,
                Gldouble upy,
                Gldouble upz);
```

- As we have seen with other OpenGL transformation functions, `gluLookAt()` creates a temporary matrix **T**, and then multiplies the modelview matrix by **T**: $\mathbf{M}_{modelview} = \mathbf{M}_{modelview} * \mathbf{T}$

37

MANCHESTER 1824

The viewing transformation in OpenGL

- The viewing transformation specifies the location and orientation of the "camera" (by in fact transforming the model)
- We incorporate this transformation into the modelview matrix as follows:

```
glMatrixMode(GL_MODELVIEW);
glLoadIdentity(); // M= identity matrix (I)
gluLookAt(…stuff…) // M is now I * VIEW
```

- Because we want the viewing transformation to take place **AFTER** any true modelling transformations, we need to "pre-load" the modelview matrix with the viewing transformation…
- …And then all subsequent modelling transformations will get multiplied into the modelview matrix

38

MANCHESTER 1824

Modelling and viewing together

```
// First set the viewing transformation
glMatrixMode(GL_MODELVIEW);
glLoadIdentity(); // M= identity matrix (I)
gluLookAt(…stuff…) // M is now I * VIEW

// Now draw a transformed teapot
glTranslatef(tx, ty, tz);
// OpenGL computes temp translation matrix T,
// then sets M= M x T, so now M is (VIEW x T)
glRotatef(theta, 0.0, 1.0, 0.0);
// OpenGL computes temp rotation matrix R,
// then sets M= M x R, so M is now (VIEW x T x R)
glutWireTeapot(1.0);
```

- So all points P will be transformed first by **R**, then **T**, then **VIEW**

39

MANCHESTER 1824

Example: Setting a view in OpenGL

- Here's a real fragment showing the use of a view transformation and a modelling transformation together
- Note that we also need to set the **projection**, but we'll cover that in the next lecture, so ignore it for now.

```
glMatrixMode(GL_MODELVIEW); // select modelview matrix

glLoadIdentity(); // initialise it

// set the projection (see next lecture)
gluPerspective(…stuff…);
// set the view transformation
gluLookAt(10,10,10, 0,0,0, 0,1,0);

// move/rotate the model however we want
glTranslatef(0.0, 0.0, 0.2);
glRotatef(20.0, 0.0, 1.0, 0.0);

glutWireTeapot(3.0); // draw it
```

See the next slide for a visualisation of this.

40

MANCHESTER 1824



41

MANCHESTER 1824

Demonstrations

- Nate Robbins' demonstrations will help you to visualise viewing.
- /opt/info/courses/OpenGL/tutor (Linux)



42