PIRCHER, NICHOLS & MEEKS 1 JAMES L. GOLDMAN (State Bar Number 57127) 2 I. BRUCE SPEISER (State Bar Number 60041) 1925 Century Park East, Seventeenth Floor 3 Los Angeles, California 90067-6077 Telephone: (310) 201-8900 4 (310) 201-8922 Facsimile: 5 LAW OFFICES OF BRETT B. CURLEE BRETT B. CURLEE (State Bar Number 151058) 2040 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 400 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 203-3084 Facsimile: (310) 203-3071 8 LAW OFFICES OF JAY R. STEIN JAY R. STEIN (State Bar Number 58388) 2040 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 400 10 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-4428 11 Facsimile: (310) 556-2336 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff, ANTHONY V. FOX 13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 14 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - WEST DISTRICT - CULVER CITY COURT 15 16 ANTHONY V. FOX, an Individual, CASE NUMBER: SC-062 176 17 Plaintiff. [PROPOSED] AMENDMENT OF VS. COMPLAINT TO CONFORM TO PROOF 18 SAFE IN HEAVEN DEAD PRODUCTIONS, [Complaint Filed: 19 INC., a California corporation dba THE VIPER July 3, 20001 ROOM; TROUSER TRUMPET, INC., a JUDGE: 20 Honorable Allan J. Goodman California corporation; JOHN C. DEPP II, an DIVISION: Individual; OBERMAN, TIVOLI & MILLER, 21 LTD. and its successor-in-Interest KAUFMAN, BERNSTEIN, OBERMAN, TIVOLI & 22 MILLER, LLC; LICHTER & GROSSMAN, INC. and its Successor-in-Interest LICHTER 23 GROSSMAN & NICHOLS, INC. and its Successor-in-Interest LICHTER, GROSSMAN 24 NICHOLS & ADLER, INC.; CINDY SZERLIP, an Individual dba CS BUSINESS 25 MANAGEMENT; SALVATORE M. JENCO, an Individual; and DOES 1 through 25, 26 inclusive, 27 Defendants. 28

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER 463534\_1.DOC 11/27/2001

Plaintiff, Anthony V. Fox ("Fox"), amends the Complaint herein by adding the following to the Complaint:

### **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

### [For Rescission against Safe, Trumpet and Depp]

- 85. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 59, 61, 63, 65, 66, 70, 71, and 81 through 84, of the Complaint into this Ninth Cause of Action as set forth in full hereinbelow.
- 86. Plaintiff, on behalf of Safe, seeks rescission of the purported License Agreement on the grounds that:
  - (a) Safe was fraudulently induced to enter into the purported License Agreement based upon the false representation of Trumpet, contained in, *inter alia*, the recitals to the purported License Agreement, that Trumpet was the owner of the trademark "The Viper Room" and related trademarks and logos (collectively, the "Mark") at the time when the parties entered into the purported License Agreement; Trumpet's agent, Peter Nichols ("Nichols"), who also purported to make the Agreement for Safe, either knew or was reckless in disregarding the fact that Safe owned the Mark;
  - (b) Safe, in entering into the License Agreement, was operating under a mistake as to a material fact, i.e., that Trumpet owned the Mark; and Trumpet knew that Safe was operating under such mistake and/or caused the mistake; and
  - (c) The representative of Safe and Trumpet, Nichols, who purported to negotiate the License Agreement for both Safe and Trumpet was, in fact, authorized by neither entity to act on its behalf and, in any event, had a serious but undisclosed conflict of interest.
- 87. The purported License Agreement between Safe and Trumpet was supposedly entered into "as of" August 13, 1993. However, the agreement was made, if at all, no earlier than June 1994. Furthermore, no licensing fees or merchandising royalties were paid by Safe to Trumpet until sometime in the Spring of 1997. At no time prior to September 15, 1997, was

PRINTED ON ECYCLED PAPER 463534 1.DOC

11/27/2001

Fox, as Safe's only disinterested director and/or shareholder, given actual or constructive notice that licensing fees and said merchandising royalty fees had been charged by Trumpet.

- Agreement, Trumpet, through its agent, Nichols, represented, in the License Agreement, that Trumpet owned the Mark. This representation was knowingly or recklessly false. In addition, Nichols was not authorized to negotiate the purported License Agreement between Safe and Trumpet, although he purported to do so notwithstanding the lack of any such authority and the fact that as the attorney for Safe, Trumpet and Depp, he had a serious conflict of interest. The true facts were that, at all relevant times, Safe was the owner of the Mark, as Safe was the only entity that had commercially used it in commerce as of the date on which the parties entered into the purported License Agreement.
- 89. Neither Safe's Board of Directors nor its shareholders, including Fox, as Safe's only disinterested board member and shareholder, ever approved the purported License Agreement. In fact, the existence of the purported License Agreement was concealed from Fox, and was not disclosed to Fox until March 1999.
- 90. Pursuant to the terms of the purported License Agreement, Safe not only paid licensing fees and merchandising royalties in 1997 and thereafter, but also paid for the protection and exploitation of the Mark; these expenses were incurred for the benefit of the Defendants and to direct financial detriment to Safe commencing in 1994.
- 91. Depp, Jenco and Trumpet have received substantial monetary and other benefits derived from the purported License Agreement; have refused to return those benefits to Safe; and therefore, have ratified the fraudulent conduct of Nichols, as alleged above.
- 92. Safe is also entitled to rescind the purported License Agreement on the basis of a material mistake of fact which induced it to enter into the License Agreement. Safe believed that Trumpet owned the Mark and Trumpet, although its agent, Nichols, knew that Safe was operating, and had himself caused Safe to operate, under this erroneous belief. In fact, Safe owned the Mark because it was the first commercial user, having adopted and used the mark in commerce commencing in not later than June 1993. Trumpet was not yet in existence at that

16

18

20

22

24

25

26 27

28

CYCLED PAPER 63534 1.DOC

time and, in fact, did no business whatsoever until June 1994, if not later. Even if Depp was the "creator" of the Mark, he neither had nor acquired any rights as such. Moreover, having given Safe the unconditional right to use the Mark, any rights which Depp may have had were thereby lost by him and acquired by Safe. Furthermore, Depp never assigned any such rights to Trumpet.

- In addition, the parties were operating under the erroneous belief that Nichols was 93. authorized to act on behalf of both Safe and Trumpet in connection with the purported License Agreement and, in particular, that Nichols was not required to obtain the authorization or ratification of Fox, as the only disinterested director and shareholder of Safe.
- 94. Based on the above allegations, Fox seeks rescission of the purported License Agreement and the imposition of a constructive trust as to all intellectual property rights concerning "The Viper Room", including any and all related trade dress, trademarks or logos. In addition, Plaintiff seeks an order requiring Depp, Trumpet and Jenco to account for and restore to Safe all benefits received by them pursuant to the purported License Agreement, including all license fees, merchandise royalty fees, merchandise, revenues from the sale of merchandise, and costs and expenses paid by Safe for the benefit of or to Trumpet.

## TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## [For Declaratory Relief against Safe, Trumpet and Depp]

- 95. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 59, 61, 63, 65, 66, 70, 71, and 81 through 83, of the Complaint into this Tenth Cause of Action as set forth in full hereinbelow
- 96. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between Fox, on behalf of Safe, and Depp and Trumpet, concerning the ownership rights and duties relating to the work and other related trademarks, trade dress and logos, Fox contends:
  - Safe, and neither Depp nor Trumpet, owns the Mark and all (a) trademarks, trade dress and logos related thereto;
  - The purported License Agreement is voidable and subject to (b) rescission for the reasons set forth in the Ninth Cause of Action;

- 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25
- Nichols was not authorized to enter into (c) any agreement acknowledging that Safe's property, including the Mark, was owned by Trumpet or binding Safe as a licensee of Trumpet; and
- The purported License Agreement is unenforceable because it was (d) never approved by a disinterested director or shareholder and was not in the interest of Safe, as required by Corporations Code 310 and the bylaws of Safe.
- Fox is informed and believes and thus alleges that Trumpet and Depp dispute 97. Fox's contentions.
- 98. Fox desires and, on behalf of Safe hereby requests, a judicial determination of the respective rights of Safe, on the one hand, and Depp and Trumpet, on the other hand, concerning the Mark and all related trademarks, trade dress and logos, and concerning the purported License Agreement. In particular, Fox desires and hereby requests a judicial determination that:
  - The purported License Agreement is voidable and unenforceable; (a)
  - Safe is the owner of the Mark and all other intellectual property (b) rights used by or in, or concerning, "The Viper Room";
  - The trademark "The Viper Room" was not owned by Depp merely (c) because he "created" it or for any other reason nor was it, nor is it, owned by Trumpet;
  - (d) Nichols had no authority into the purported License Agreement on behalf of Safe; and
  - (e) The purported License Agreement is unenforceable because it was never approved by a disinterested director or shareholder and was not in the interest of Safe, as required by Corporations Code 310 and the bylaws of Safe.
- 99. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time under the circumstances in order that Plaintiff may ascertain the respective rights and obligations of Safe, and Depp and Trumpet.

27

28

8

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

## AMENDED PRAYER FOR THE NINTH AND TENTH CAUSES OF ACTION

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Fox requests that Judgment be entered against Defendants as follows:

# AS TO THE NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR RESCISSION AGAINST SAFE,

- For an order of the court granting rescission of the purported License Agreement; 26.
- For the imposition of a constructive trust upon all intellectual property concerning 27. "The Viper Room" and any related logos, trade dress and trademarks which are purportedly held by or through Trumpet;
- For an award of restitution requiring the accounting for and repayment of all 28. license fees, merchandise royalty fees, merchandise, merchandising revenues received by Defendants, and requiring the repayment of all costs and expenses paid by Safe for the benefit of Defendants; and
  - For costs of suit, including attorneys' fees; 29.

## AS TO THE TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AGAINST SAFE, TRUMPET AND DEPP:

- 30. For an judicial declaration of the rights of Safe and, Trumpet and Depp, as follows:
  - That the purported License Agreement is voidable and otherwise (a) unenforceable;
  - (b) That Safe is the owner of all intellectual property rights used in or at, or concerning, "The Viper Room";
  - (c) That the trademark and tradename "The Viper Room" was never owned by Depp or Trumpet;
  - That the logos and other trademarks utilized at or in "The Viper (d) Room" are not included within the terms and conditions of the purported License Agreement and are assets of Safe;

PRINTED ON ECYCLED PAPER 463534\_1.DOC 11/27/2001

### **VERIFICATION**

I, ANTHONY V. FOX, am the Plaintiff in this proceeding. I have read the foregoing [Proposed] Amendment of Complaint to Conform to Proof and know its contents. The facts stated therein are true and are within my personal knowledge.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November \_\_\_, 2001 at Culver City, California.

Anthony V. Fox

PRINTED ON ECYCLED PAPER 463534\_1.DOC 11/27/2001 R.

Paper 449399 6.do

### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

SS.

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is **PIRCHER**, **NICHOLS & MEEKS** - 1925 Century Park East, Seventeenth Floor - Los Angeles, California 90067.

On November 27, 2001, I served as described below the document described as

# MOTION FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING AN AMENDMENT TO THE COMPLAINT TO CONFORM TO PROOF AT TRIAL

On the interested parties as follows:

### BY MAIL

I caused a sealed envelope containing a copy of such document and addressed to each such person to be deposited in the mail at Los Angeles, California. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.

I am "readily familiar" with the practice of Pircher, Nichols & Meeks with respect to the collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Under that practice, items are deposited in a sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service in the ordinary course of business on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid. Pursuant to such practice, I caused a sealed envelope containing a copy of such document and addressed to each such person to be placed for collection and processing with the United States Postal Service.

### BY FACSIMILE

By facsimile transmission from facsimile machine telephone number (310) 201-8922 on November 27, 2001, by transmitting a copy of such document to each such person at the facsimile machine telephone number(s) listed below their address(es).

The document was transmitted by facsimile transmission and such transmission was reported as complete and without error. A copy of the transmission report with respect to each such transmission, properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine, is attached hereto.

## **OVERNIGHT DELIVERY SERVICE**

A sealed envelope or package designated by the carrier with delivery fees prepaid, containing a copy of such document and addressed to such person, was deposited at a station at PIRCHER, NICHOLS & MEEKS so that it will be picked up by one of the carrier's couriers or drivers. A true copy of the carrier's delivery form evidencing the transmittal of each overnight delivery service addressee is attached hereto.

#### **IN INC.** MESSENGER DELIVERY SERVICE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

A sealed envelope containing a copy of such document and addressed to such person, was delivered to **Worldwide Attorney Service**, **Inc.** whose address is 201 South Figueroa Street, Suite 285 – Los Angeles, California 90012, so that it will be picked up by one of the carrier's couriers or drivers. A true copy of the carrier's delivery form evidencing the transmittal of each delivery service addressee is attached hereto.

for Defendants Safe in Heaven Dead Productions, Inc.; Cindy Szerlip dba CS Business
Management; and Salvatore M. Jenco:

Eric S. Oto, Esq. **LAW OFFICES OF ERIC S. OTO** 

801 South Flower Street, Fifth Floor Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 623-8891 Facsimile: (213) 622-7881

#### **廖 PERSONAL SERVICE**

I delivered an envelope containing a copy of such document by hand to the following addressee(s).

## for Defendant John C. Depp II and Trouser Trumpet, Inc.:

Trumpet, Inc.:

Michael L. Eidel, Esq.

Jeffrey L. Goss, Esq.
CROSBY HEAFEY ROACH & MAY

1901 Avenue of the Stars, Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 734-5200 Facsimile: (310) 734-5299 for Defendants Oberman, Tivoli & Miller, Ltd., and its successor-in-interest Kaufman, Bernstein, Oberman, Tivoli & Miller, LLC:

> Martin D. Singer, Esq. Allison S. Hart, Esq. LAVELY & SINGER

2049 Century Park East, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, California 90067-2906 Telephone: (310) 556-3501 Facsimile: (310) 556-3615

#### for Plaintiff Anthony V. Fox:

Brett B. Curlee, Esq. **LAW OFFICES OF BRETT B. CURLEE** 

2040 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 400 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 203-3084 Facsimile: (310) 203-3071

### for Plaintiff Anthony V. Fox:

Jay R. Stein, Esq. **LAW OFFICES OF JAY R. STEIN**2040 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 400

Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-4428 Facsimile: (310) 552-1336

### **S** STATE

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this Proof of Service was executed on November 27, 2001 at Los Angeles, California.

CASANDRA J. BROOME

Printed on Recycled Paper 449399\_6.doc

WORL \*\*WIDE ATTORNEY SERVIC\*\*: S, INC.

201 S. FIGUEROA S. STE. 285 • LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 • (213) 625-0203 • FAX: (213) 625-0202
611 W. CIVIC CENTER DR., STE. 301 • SANTA ANA, CA 92701 • (714) 245-1455 FAX: (714) 245-1450
Website: WWW.WorldWideattysvcs.Com • E-mail: HotRush@WorldWideattysvcs.Com

Firm Name		Attornay Name	vvoridvvideattysvcs.Com		
PIRCHER, NICHOLS & M	EEKS	Audiney Nam	e: James L. Goldman	CONTROL NO.	
1925 Century Park East		Secv /Paraleg	al Nama: Casada I I		
Seventeenth Floor		eooy,,, alaleg	Secy./Paralegal Name: Casandra J. Broome		
Los Angeles, California	90067				
Phone #: (310) 201-8981	1.00m a.s.	Ref/Billing #:	4348.2		
DATE: November 27, 2001					
11010.1100, 27, 2001	[ ] Hot Rush [ ] Rush	Court:	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	SUPERIOR COURT	
[ ] Special	[ ] Standard	WEST DISTRICT	ſ		
	E j winderd	Case Name:	Fox, Anthony V. v. Sar	fe In Heaven Dead	
		<u>Productions, In</u>	<u>ic., etc. et al.</u>		
		Case #:			
	COURT SERVI	CE INSTRUCTION	ONS		
[] Filing [] Ph	otocopy/Research Request	[] Other			
[ ] Fees Attached	Hea	Hearing Date			
Decuments T. D. Tu					
Documents To Be Filed/Copied	j.				
Instructions:	ER AUTHORIZING AN AMENDME	NT TO THE COMPLAIN	NT TO CONFORM TO PROO	F AT TRIAL	
Messenger Report:					
	CEDVIAE A				
[XX] Personal Service	SERVICE (	OF PROCESS			
[ ] Substituted Service	Witness Fees [ ] Attached \$		Service Deadline:		
[ ] Office Service	[ ] Please Advance \$				
Documents To Be Served:		Instructions:			
MOTION FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZ COMPLAINT TO CONFORM TO PRO-	ING AN AMENDMENT TO THE	menuchons:			
Person To Be Served:	OF AT TRIAL				
Eric S. Oto, Esq.		Home Address	):		
Description:					
		Business Address:			
			LAW OFFICES OF ERIC S. OTO		
			801 South Flower Street, Fifth Floor		
		Los Angeles, C	Los Angeles, California 90071		
		Telephone: (21	13) 623-8891		
	DELIVERY IN	STRUCTIONS			
Pickup From:	Deliver To:				
	2011401 70.	5	Special Instructions:		
DECENTED DV					
RECEIVED BY:		MSGR(1)	MSGR(2)		
	PLEASE DO NOT		W/		
DELIVERY :	WAITING TIME	:	FEES ADVANCED	_	
COURT :	RESEARCH TIME		CHECK FEE	*	
PROCESS :	MAIL/FED EX/UPS	*	COURT RUN	*	
PHOTOCOPY :	RUSH/HOT RUSH		SASE/COPY	4	
RETURN :	PERSONAL SERVICE	4	<del></del>		
ALIUKN :	P.O.S./DECLARATION	•	TOTAL		