Survey on Multi-language Practices

Survey on Multi-language Practices

Thank you for agreeing to participate, it will only take 15 minutes to complete.

Study Policy:

- Participation in this study is completely voluntary. If you decide not to participate there will not be any negative consequences. If you decide to participate, you may stop participating at any time and withdraw entirely your participation or you may decide not to answer any specific question.
- Your identity and the data collected thanks your participation will remain anonymous and will never be released to the public. Only anonymous data
 (aggregated or not) will be published in scientific articles, ensuring that the data cannot be linked back to a particular participant. The data will be kept by the
 principal investigator for five years before being destroyed.
- By submitting this survey, you are indicating that you have read the description of the study, are over the age of 18, and that you agree to the terms as
 described.

If you have any questions or would like a copy of this consent letter, please contact us at mouna.abidi@polymtl.ca

Study Design:

This survey is conducted by the Ptidej research team from Polytechnique Montréal and Concordia University in Canada.

The purpose of this study is to investigate the practices used when dealing with multi-language systems. Systems developed using more than one programming language. We aim to investigate what are the challenges and how do developers deal with such systems. Our main goal is to improve the quality of those systems.

Through this survey we aim to answer the following research questions:

- · What benefits do developers perceive with multi-language programming?
- What challenges do developers face in multi-language systems?
- What practices do developers use in multi-language systems to overcome these challenges?
- · How are those practices perceived by developers?

Definition of terminologies:

(Khomh, F., & Gueheneuce, Y. G. (2008, April). Do design patterns impact software quality positively?. In Software Maintenance and Reengineering, 2008. CSMR 2008. 12th European Conference on (pp. 274-278). IEEE.)

- Expandability: The degree to which the design of a system can be extended.
- Simplicity: The degree to which the design of a system can be understood easily.
- Reusability: The degree to which a piece of design can be reused in another design.
- <u>Learnability:</u> The degree to which the code source of a system is easy to learn.
- <u>Understandability:</u> The degree to which the code source can be understood easily.
- Modularity: The degree to which the implementation of the functions of a system is independent of one another.

Please feel free to share this survey with your contacts who have experience with multi-language development.

Thank you,

Best regards,

Mouna Abidi

Page 1 - Section I: Background

Section I: Background

* 1.	What	is	vour	role	within	your	organization

(Yamashita, A	., & Moonen, L.	(2013,	October).	Do developers	care about co	de smells?	an exploratory	survey. In	Reverse E	ingineering (WCRE),	2013 20th	Working C	onference	on (pp. 2	42-251).
IEEE.)																

Software Engineer	Developer
Team Lead	Tester
Architect	QA Manager
Project Manager	Self-employed
Other, please specify	

* 2	How many years of experience do you have in softwa	are engineering?					
	Less than 1 year	1 year - 5 Years					
	○ 5-10 Years	More than 10 years					
* 3	What is the domain of activity of your organization? (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Outline_of_software_engineering)						
	Research and development	Networks					
	Healthcare	Analytics (Business,IT services, BigData))				
	Banking and insurance	Robotics and Embeeded systems					
	Games	Other, please specify					
* 4	What is your level of skill in the following languages? (https://spectrum.ieee.org/at-work/innovation/the-2018-top-programming-languages)		relevant	t:			
			1 Novice	2 Little Knowledge	3 Practical	4 Comfortable	5 Expert
	Python		0	0	0		0
	C++						
	Java						
	С						
	C#						
	Php						
	R						
	JavaScript						
	Other, please specify						
5.	Rank the following programming paradigms according						
	(https://www.cs.bham.ac.uk/research/projects/poplog/paradigms_lectures/le	cture1.html)	1	2	3	4	5
			Novice	Little Knowledge		Comfortable	Expert
	Imperative						
	Functional						
	Logic						
	Object Oriented						
Pa	ge 2 - Section II: Multi-language						
	tion II: Multi-language						
* 6	. Have you heard/used multi-language programming (p	rograms developped using more than one programming lan	guage) ?				
	○ Yes	○ No					
* 7	. To which domain your last multi-language project be	longs to?					
	Client/Server Application	 Desktop Application 					
	Mobile Application	Embedded Systems/Operatin	g System	ıs			
	Other, please specify	,	- · ·				

Increased over time Remained the same	orogramming increased or decreased over time? Decreased over time Impossible to know				
Increased over time Remained the same	Decreased over time				
Increased over time Remained the same	Decreased over time				
Remained the same					
From your previous answer, why did the use of					
	multi-language increase or decrease over time?				
From your experience, how do you evaluate the aspects of software development?	impact of using more than one programming lan	guage in a pro	ject, to t	he followii	ng
Mayer, P., Kirsch, M., & Le, M. A. (2017). On multi-language software	e development, cross-language links and accompanying tools: A surve	y of professional sof	tware develo	pers. Journal	of Soft
Engineering Research and Development, 5(1), 1.					
		Negative impact	Neutral	Positive impact	N/
System Performance		ППРАСТ	0	Impact	IN/
System architecture creation					
Implementation of initial code					
Memory usage					
Understandability of the system					
Translation of requirements to code					
Motivation of developers					
Mind and the control of the control					
Nhat are the main issues you have encountered					
Security	Performance				
Correctness	Maintenance				
Robustness	Other, please specify				
None of the above					
None of the above					
None of the above How did you solve those issues?					
How did you solve those issues?					
	elopping multi-language systems?				

^{* 15}Do you have any experience or heard about JNI (Java/C(++)) Development?

	Very Often	Often	Rarely	
Check Exceptions				
Check multi-language return values				
Take care of and release the strings				
Avoid asynchronous communication between the languages			0	
Minimize the number of threads that need to touch or be touched by the languages				
Safe load of the library (example: AccessController)			0	
Other, please specify			0	

* 23 How do you	avaluate the	impact of the	following practices	on those quali	tv attributas?
Z3.HOW GO VOU	evaluate the	IIIIDaci oi ille	TOHOWING DIACTICES	on mose quan	iv alliibules :

Select where you believe there is a positive impact

(https://www.ibm.com/developerworks/library/j-jni/index.html), (https://developer.android.com/training/articles/perf-jni)

(Khomh, F., & Gueheneuce, Y. G. (2008, April). Do design patterns impact software quality positively?. In Software Maintenance and Reengineering, 2008. CSMR 2008. 12th European Conference on (pp. 274-278). IEEE.)

	1 Expandability	2 / Simplicity	3 Reusability	4 Learnability U	6 Inderstandabilit	7 y Modularity	N/A
Check Exceptions							
Check multi-language return values							
Take care of and release the strings							
Avoid asynchronous communication between the languages							
Minimize the number of threads that need to touch or be touched by the languages							
Safe load of the library (example: AccessController)							
Other, please specify							

24. If you answer N/A in the previous question, please provide an explanation

Lack of knowledge about patterns and practices	Lack of time
Lack of interest on patterns and practices	Other, please specify

* 25How often do you encounter the following pitfalls in your project(s)? (https://www.ibm.com/developerworks/library/j-jni/index.html),

(Tan, G., & Croft, J. (2008, July). An Empirical Security Study of the Native Code in the JDK. In Usenix Security Symposium (pp. 365-378))

	1 Very Often	2 Often	3 Rarely	N/A
Not caching method IDs, field IDs, and classes				0
Using many local references without informing the JVM				0
Not checking for exceptions				0
Not checking return values				0
Using global references incorrectly				0
Buffer overflows				0
Memory Management flaws				0
Other, please specify				

* 26How do you evaluate the impact of the following pitfalls on those quality attributes?

_			,						
	Salact	whor	a vou	haliava	thora	ic a	negative	imna	ct

Not caching method IDs, field IDs, and classes Using many local references without informing the JVM Not checking for exceptions						
Not checking for exceptions						
140t offcoking for exceptions						
Not checking return values						
Using global references incorrectly						
Buffer overflows						
Memory Management flaws						
Other, please specify						
values) Analyzing the method trace	Performing of Generating d Other, please	ode review				
9How much do you refactor to improve your multi-language code and	remove bad p	ractices	•			
Very Often		Ofter				
		Ofter Neve				
Very Often		O Neve	er	age systems 1 Low effort	s in those pha 2 Medium effort	ses? 3 High eff
Very Often Rarely DFrom your experience, how do you evaluate the effort needed to rem (https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/what-software-development-life-cycle-sdlc-phases-private-limited/		O Neve	er	1 Low effort	2 Medium effort	3 High eff
Very Often Rarely DFrom your experience, how do you evaluate the effort needed to rem (https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/what-software-development-life-cycle-sdlc-phases-private-limited/ Requirement gathering and analysis Design Implementation or coding		O Neve	er	1 Low effort	2 Medium effort	3 High eff
Very Often Rarely OFrom your experience, how do you evaluate the effort needed to rem (https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/what-software-development-life-cycle-sdlc-phases-private-limited/ Requirement gathering and analysis Design Implementation or coding Testing		O Neve	er	1 Low effort	2 Medium effort	3 High eff
Very Often Rarely OFrom your experience, how do you evaluate the effort needed to rem (https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/what-software-development-life-cycle-sdlc-phases-private-limited/ Requirement gathering and analysis Design Implementation or coding Testing Deployment		O Neve	er	1 Low effort	2 Medium effort	3 High eff
Very Often Rarely OFrom your experience, how do you evaluate the effort needed to rem (https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/what-software-development-life-cycle-sdic-phases-private-limited/ Requirement gathering and analysis Design Implementation or coding Testing		O Neve	er	1 Low effort	2 Medium effort	3 High eff

Expandability Simplicity Reusability Learnability Understandability Modularity

Your responses have been registered!