

CS5321 Numerical Optimization Homework 3

Due 1/6/2023

1. (20%) In the trust region method (unit 3), we need to solve the model problem m_k

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\vec{p}} m_k(\vec{p}) &= f_k + \vec{g}_k^T \vec{p} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}^T B_k \vec{p}. \\ \text{s.t. } \|\vec{p}\| &\leq \Delta \end{aligned}$$

Show that \vec{p}^* is the optimal solution if and only if it satisfies

$$(B_k + \lambda I) \vec{p}^* = -\vec{g}$$

$$\lambda(\Delta - \|\vec{p}^*\|) = 0$$

where $B_k + \lambda I$ is positive definite. (Hint: using KKT conditions.)

Answer:

The Lagrangian function for the trust region method is:

$$\mathcal{L} = f_k + \vec{g}_k^T \vec{p} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}^T B_k \vec{p} - \mu(\Delta - \|\vec{p}\|)$$

The KKT condition shows that

$$\nabla_p \mathcal{L} = \vec{g}_k + B_k \vec{p} + 2\mu \vec{p} = 0$$

Let $\lambda = 2\mu$

$$(B_k + \lambda I) \vec{p}^* = -\vec{g}_k$$

The complementarity condition shows that

$$\lambda(\Delta - \|\vec{p}^*\|) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \Delta = \|\vec{p}^*\|$$

- (a) If $\lambda = 0$, the constrain is inactive, $\|\vec{p}^*\| < \Delta$. It is an unconstrained optimization problem. So by the second order condition of an unconstrained optimization problem, $B + \lambda I = B$ is positive semi-definite.

- (b) If $\lambda > 0$, by the complementarity condition, $\|\vec{p}^*\| = \Delta$. Thus, we only need to consider the position \vec{p}^* such that $\|\vec{p}^*\| = \Delta$

Since \vec{p}^* is the minimizer, $m(\vec{p}^*) \leq m(\vec{p})$, which implies that

$$f_k + \vec{g}_k^T \vec{p}^* + \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}^{*T} B_k \vec{p}^* \leq f_k + \vec{g}_k^T \vec{p} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}^T B_k \vec{p} \quad (1)$$

使用 $\vec{g}_k = -(B_k + \lambda I)\vec{p}^*$ ，代入公式(1)，得到

$$f_k - (\vec{p}^{*T})(B_k + \lambda I)\vec{p}^* + \frac{1}{2}\vec{p}^{*T} B_k \vec{p}^* \leq f_k - (\vec{p}^T)(B_k + \lambda I)\vec{p} + \frac{1}{2}\vec{p}^T B_k \vec{p}$$

兩邊都加 $\frac{1}{2}\lambda\Delta^2$ ，並且 $\|\vec{p}\|^2 = \Delta^2$ ，因此

$$\begin{aligned} f_k - (\vec{p}^{*T})(B_k + \lambda I)\vec{p}^* + \frac{1}{2}\vec{p}^{*T} B_k \vec{p}^* &\leq f_k - (\vec{p}^T)(B_k + \lambda I)\vec{p} + \frac{1}{2}\vec{p}^T (B_k + \lambda I)\vec{p} \\ \Rightarrow 0 &\leq (\vec{p}^* - \vec{p})^T (B_k + \lambda I)(\vec{p}^* - \vec{p}) \end{aligned}$$

Since the only constraint of \vec{p} is $\|\vec{p}\| = \Delta$ ， $(\vec{p}^* - \vec{p})$ may be any vector
 $\Rightarrow (B_k + \lambda I)$ is positive semi-definite

2. (15%) Prove that for the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} G & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ，if A has full row-rank and the reduced Hessian $Z^T G Z$ is positive definite, where $\text{span}\{Z\}$ is the null space of $\text{span}\{A^T\}$ then the matrix is nonsingular. (You may reference Lemma 16.1 in the textbook.)

Answer:

Because A has full row-rank and the reduced Hessian $Z^T G Z$ is positive definite, the KKT matrix is nonsingular, so there is a vector (x^*, λ^*) satisfying.

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} G & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

假設 (p, q) 為向量

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} G & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

因為 $Ap = 0$ ，所以

$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} G & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix} = p^T G p$$

因為 p lies in the null space of A ，因此

$$p = Zu, u \in R^{n-m}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = p^T G p = u^T Z^T G Z u$$

由於 $Z^T G Z$ 是正定義，所以推出 $u = 0, p = 0$ 。

因為 $A^T q = 0$ ，Full row rank A implies that $q = 0$

因此當 $(p, q) = (0, 0)$ 時

$$\begin{bmatrix} G & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad \text{is satisfied}$$

所以矩陣是 nonsingular matrix。

3. (30%) Consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x_1, x_2} \quad & (x_1 - 3)^2 + 10x_2^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1 \leq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

- (a) Write down the KKT conditions for (2).
- (b) Solve the KKT conditions and find the optimal solutions, including the Lagrangian parameters.
- (c) Compute the reduced Hessian and check the second order conditions for the solution.

Answer:

(a)

$$\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}, \vec{\lambda}) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + 10x_2^2 - \lambda(-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + 1)$$

KKT condition:

$$\vec{x}^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*) \quad \vec{\lambda}^* = \lambda^*$$

$$\Rightarrow 1. \nabla \mathcal{L}(\vec{x}^*, \vec{\lambda}^*) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \times (x_1^* - 3) + 2 \times \lambda^* x_1^* \\ 20x_2^* + \lambda^* x_2^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2. x_1^{*2} - x_2^{*2} + 1 \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 3. \lambda^*(-x_1^{*2} - x_2^{*2} + 1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 4. \lambda^* \geq 0$$

(b)

$$\text{從(a)的第一行condition } \nabla \mathcal{L}(\vec{x}^*, \vec{\lambda}^*) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

解出

$$\vec{x}^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*) = (1, 0)$$

$$\lambda^* = 2$$

(c)

$$\text{The critical cone } \mathcal{C}(\vec{x}^*, \vec{\lambda}^*) = \{\vec{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} \mid \forall w_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

The Hessian of $\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}^*, \vec{\lambda}^*)$ is

$$\Rightarrow \nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(\vec{x}^*, \vec{\lambda}^*) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 + 2\lambda^* & 0 \\ 0 & 20 + \lambda^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 22 \end{bmatrix}$$

The reduced Hessian is

$$\Rightarrow \vec{w}^T \nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(\vec{x}^*, \vec{\lambda}^*) \vec{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & w_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 22 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} = 22w_2^2 \geq 0$$

$\vec{w}^T \nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(\vec{x}^*, \vec{\lambda}^*) \vec{w}$ is positive definite

\Rightarrow Therefore the second order conditions for the solution of this problem hold.

4. (20%) Consider the following constrained optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x_1, x_2} \quad & x_1^3 + 2x_2^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- (a) What is the optimal solution and the optimal Lagrangian multiplier?
- (b) Formulate this problem to the equation of augmented Lagrangian method, and derive the gradient of Lagrangian.
- (c) Let $\rho_0 = -1, \mu_0 = 1$. What is x_1 if it is solved by the augmented Lagrangian problem.
- (d) To make the solution of augmented Lagrangian method exact, what is the minimum ρ should be?

Answer:

(a)

The optimal solution is $x^* = (-1, 0)^T$
the optimal Lagrangian multiplier $\lambda^* = -1.5$

(b)

By the formula of augmented Lagrangian penalty function \mathcal{L} in slides:

$$\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}, \rho, \mu) = x_1^3 + 2x_2^2 - \rho(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1) + \frac{\mu}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1)^2$$

derive the gradient of Lagrangian:

Hessian of $\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}, \rho, \mu)$:

$$\nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(\vec{x}, \rho, \mu) = \begin{bmatrix} 6x_1 - 2\rho + 2\mu(3x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1) & 4\mu x_1 x_2 \\ 4\mu x_1 x_2 & 4 - 2\rho + 2\mu(x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 - 1) \end{bmatrix}$$

(c)

Let $\rho_0 = -1, \mu_0 = 1$,

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{L}(\vec{x}, \rho, \mu) = \begin{bmatrix} 3x_1^2 - 2\rho x_1 + 2\mu(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1)x_1 \\ 4x_2 - 2\rho x_2 + 2\mu(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1)x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The solution $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1.5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

(d)

$\rho_1 = \rho_0 - \mu_0 c(\vec{x}_1)$, which is closer to λ^*

$$\rho_1 = -1 - 1 * [(-1.5)^2 + (0)^2 - 1] = -2.25$$

repeat the procedure until convergence.

5. (15%) Consider the following constrained optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x_1, x_2} \quad & -3x_1 + x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 20 \\ & x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 16 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Formulate this problem to the equation of the interior point method, and derive the gradient and Jacobian.

Answer:

The primal problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x_1, x_2} \quad & -3x_1 + x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 20 \\ & x_1 + 2x_2 + x_4 = 16 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The dual problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{y_1, y_2} \quad & 20y_1 + 16y_2 \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & 2y_1 + y_2 + s_1 = -3 \\
& y_1 + 2y_2 + s_2 = 1 \\
& y_1 + s_3 = 0 \\
& y_2 + s_4 = 0 \\
& s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

令

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{s} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ s_3 \\ s_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

令

$$\vec{c} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 16 \end{bmatrix}$$

derive the gradient of the Lagrangian :

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} r_c \\ r_b \\ r_s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^T y + s - c \\ Ax - b \\ Xs \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2y_1 + y_2 + s_1 + 3 \\ y_1 + 2y_2 + s_2 - 1 \\ y_1 + s_3 \\ y_2 + s_4 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 20 \\ x_1 + 2x_2 + x_4 - 16 \\ x_1 s_1 \\ x_2 s_2 \\ x_3 s_3 \\ x_4 s_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

而the Jacobian:

$$J = \nabla F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A^T & I \\ A & 0 & 0 \\ S & 0 & X \end{bmatrix} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cc|cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline s_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_4 \end{array} \right]$$