

**MATH 137 Fall 2020: Practice Assignment 2****Q01.** Use the formal definition of limits to prove each statement below:

(a)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2n}{n+1} = 2$

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . We have to find  $N$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies  $\frac{2n}{n+1} \in (2 - \epsilon, 2 + \epsilon)$ , or  $\left| \frac{2n}{n+1} - 2 \right| < \epsilon$ . Simplifying:

$$\left| \frac{2n}{n+1} - 2 \right| = \left| \frac{2n - 2(n+1)}{n+1} \right| = \left| \frac{-2}{n+1} \right| = \frac{2}{n+1} < \epsilon$$

Now, take  $N = \frac{2}{\epsilon}$ . Then,  $n \geq N \implies n \geq \frac{2}{\epsilon} \implies n+1 > \frac{2}{\epsilon} \implies \frac{2}{n+1} < \epsilon$   $\square$

(b)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{6n - 3n^2 - 2}{(n-1)^2} = -3$

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . We must find  $N$  such that  $n \geq N \implies \left| \frac{6n - 3n^2 - 2}{(n-1)^2} - (-3) \right| < \epsilon$ . Again, simplifying:

$$\left| \frac{-3n^2 + 6n - 2}{(n-1)^2} + 3 \right| = \left| \frac{3n^2 - 6n + 2}{(n-1)^2} - 3 \right| = \left| \frac{-1}{(n-1)^2} \right| = \frac{1}{(n-1)^2} < \epsilon$$

Let  $N = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} + 2$ . Then,  $n \geq N$  implies that  $n \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} + 2 \implies (n-1)^2 > \frac{1}{\epsilon} \implies \frac{1}{(n-1)^2} < \epsilon$   $\square$

(c)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - 2^n = -\infty$

*Proof.* Let  $M < 0$ . We have to find  $N$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies  $1 - 2^n < M$ . Notice that since  $2^n > 0$  for all  $n$ , this can be rewritten as  $2^n > 1 - M$ . Let  $N = \log_2(1 - M) + 1$ . This is valid since  $M$  is defined to be negative, so  $1 - M$  is always positive. Now,  $n \geq N \implies n > \log_2(1 - M) \implies 2^n > 1 - M \implies 1 - 2^n < M$   $\square$

**Q02.** Determine if the following statements are true or false. If true, argue your case mathematically, if false, provide a counterexample.

(a) If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n + b_n) = \infty$

*Proof.* Let  $M > 0$ . If  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ , then there exists an  $N_1$  such that  $n \geq N_1$  implies  $a_n > \frac{M}{2}$ . Likewise, if  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ , then there exists an  $N_2$  such that  $n \geq N_2$  implies  $b_n > \frac{M}{2}$ .

Let  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ . If  $n \geq N$ , then  $a_n + b_n > \frac{M}{2} + \frac{M}{2} = M$ . Therefore,  $a_n + b_n$  diverges to infinity.  $\square$

(b) If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n - b_n) = 0$

*Proof.* Let  $a_n = n$  and  $b_n = 2n$ . Both diverge to positive infinity. However,  $a_n - b_n = -n$ , which diverges to negative infinity. Therefore, by counterexample, the statement is false.  $\square$

- (c) If  $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$  for all  $n$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = M$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = K$  with  $L \leq K \leq M$

*Proof.* Consider the similar proof presented below as Q05. Since  $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n \equiv a_n \leq b_n \wedge b_n \leq c_n$ , we can apply that proof twice to show that  $L \leq K$  and  $K \leq M$ , which is just  $L \leq K \leq M$ .  $\square$

**Q03.** Consider the sequence

$$a_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } n \text{ is a perfect square} \\ \frac{1}{n} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Use the definition of convergence to show this sequence does not have a limit of 0. Hint: consider building a contradiction.

*Proof.* Note that  $a_n > 0$  for all  $n$ . If the statement is false and  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ , then, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find a  $N > 0$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies  $a_n < \epsilon$ . Alternatively stated, there is a tail of  $\{a_n\}$  consisting only of numbers less than  $\epsilon$ . Select  $\epsilon < 1$ . Since  $a_n = 1$  if  $\sqrt{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ , the corresponding tail given by  $N$  must contain no square numbers. However,  $N^2 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $N^2 > N$ . Therefore, no such  $N$  can exist, and the limit of  $a_n$  cannot be zero.  $\square$

**Q04.** Show that if a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  converges to  $L$  then there are infinitely many terms of the sequence that can be made arbitrarily close to one another. Specifically, show that eventually  $|a_n - a_m|$  can be made arbitrarily small (i.e. for  $n, m$  past a certain point) Note that  $m$  and  $n$  are not necessarily consecutive integers. Such sequences are called *Cauchy Sequences*.

*Proof.* For any  $a_i$ , by the definition of the limit, we can write it as  $L + \epsilon_i$  for some  $|\epsilon_i| > 0$ . Now, rewrite  $|a_n - a_m|$  as  $|L + \epsilon_n - L - \epsilon_m| = |\epsilon_n - \epsilon_m|$ . Since it is guaranteed by the definition of the limit that  $\epsilon$  can be made arbitrarily small, the quantity  $|\epsilon_n - \epsilon_m|$  can also be made arbitrarily small.  $\square$

**Q05.** In this question we will prove the following:

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = M$  and  $a_n \leq b_n$  for all  $n$ , then it must be the case that  $L \leq M$

- (a) Use the definition of limits to show that for all  $\epsilon$ , eventually

$$L - \epsilon < a_n \leq b_n < M + \epsilon$$

(the word “eventually” is meant to take the place of the statement “for all  $n$  greater than some  $N$ ”)

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, by the definition of the limit, there is an  $N_1$  such that for all  $n \geq N_1$ ,  $|a_n - L| < \epsilon \implies a_n > L - \epsilon$ . Likewise, there is an  $N_2$  such that for all  $n \geq N_2$ ,  $|b_n - M| < \epsilon \implies b_n < M + \epsilon$ . Given that  $a_n \leq b_n$  for all  $n$ , we can combine these inequalities by taking  $n \geq \max\{N_1, N_2\} \implies L - \epsilon < a_n \leq b_n < M + \epsilon$   $\square$

- (b) Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, the inequality above might help you “feel” that  $L \leq M$ . That is, we can make  $\epsilon$  so small that “basically  $L \leq M$ ”. One way of showing this mathematically is to assume that  $L > M$  and come up with a contradiction. That is, let  $L = M + d$  for some positive number  $d$ . Use this to arrive at a contradiction and thus deduce  $L \leq M$ .

*Proof.* If  $L > M$ , we can let  $L = M + d$  for some  $d > 0$ . Repeat the conclusion from part (a),  $M + d - \epsilon < M + \epsilon$ , and add  $\epsilon$  to both sides:  $M + d < M + 2\epsilon$ . Since  $d$  is defined, we can let  $\epsilon < \frac{d}{2}$ . Because  $\frac{d}{2} > 0$ , this is a valid choice of  $\epsilon$ . However, the inequality now reads  $M + d < M + d$ , which is clearly false. We can conclude that the inequality is false, so its negation  $L \leq M$ , is true.  $\square$

**Q06.** Prove (using the definition) that if  $a_n > 0$  for all  $n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{a_n} = \sqrt{L}$ . [Hint: Consider the cases  $L = 0$  and  $L \neq 0$  separately.]

*Proof.* Consider the case where  $L = 0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , which implies  $\epsilon^2 > 0$ . Given the known limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ , we can find an  $N$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies  $|a_n - 0| = a_n < \epsilon^2$ . Taking the square roots of both sides,  $\sqrt{a_n} < \epsilon$  as required.

Consider when  $L \neq 0$ . Because  $\sqrt{L}$  exists,  $L > 0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Given the known limit, we can find an  $N$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies  $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ . Notice that we can use  $a_n - L$  to create an expression for  $\sqrt{a_n} - \sqrt{L}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n - L| &= |(\sqrt{a_n} - \sqrt{L})(\sqrt{a_n} + \sqrt{L})| \\ &= |\sqrt{a_n} - \sqrt{L}| |\sqrt{a_n} + \sqrt{L}| \\ |\sqrt{a_n} - \sqrt{L}| &= \frac{|a_n - L|}{\sqrt{a_n} + \sqrt{L}} < \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{a_n} + \sqrt{L}} < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

(since the denominator is a sum of two positive numbers) as required.  $\square$