Solution to Dining Philosophers

```
monitor DP
  {
     enum { THINKING; HUNGRY, EATING) state [5];
     condition self [5];
     void pickup (int i) {
          state[i] = HUNGRY;
          test(i);
          if (state[i] != EATING) self [i].wait();
     }
     void putdown (int i) {
          state[i] = THINKING;
             // test left and right neighbors
          test((i + 4) \% 5);
          test((i + 1) \% 5);
     }
```

Solution to Dining Philosophers (cont)

```
void test (int i) {
     if ( (state[(i + 4) % 5] != EATING) && (state[i] == HUNGRY) &&
         (state[(i + 1) % 5] != EATING) ) {
       state[i] = EATING;
        self[i].signal ();
}
initialization_code() {
    for (int i = 0; i < 5; i++)
       state[i] = THINKING;
```

Solution to Dining Philosophers (cont)

Each philosopher I invokes the operations in the following sequence:

Each philosopher invokes the operations

```
//Thinking
```

DP.pickup(i);

//Eating

DP.putdown(i);

Monitor Implementation Using Semaphores

Variables

```
semaphore mutex; // (initially = 1)
semaphore next; // (initially = 0)
int next_count = 0;
```

Each procedure F will be replaced by

```
P(mutex);
...
// body of F
...
if (next_count > 0)
  V(next)
else
  V(mutex);
```

Monitor Implementation Using Semaphores

For each condition variable **x**, we have:

```
semaphore x_sem; // (initially = 0) int x_count = 0;
```

The operation x.wait() can be implemented as:

```
x_count++;
if (next_count > 0)
    V(next);
else
    V(mutex);
P(x_sem);
x_count--;
```

Monitor Implementation Using Semaphores

The operation x.signal() can be implemented as:

```
if (x_count > 0) {
    next_count++;
    V(x_sem);
    P(next);
    next_count--;
}
```