

Cpt 4. Actions of f.d. Hopf algs. and Smash product.

0. abstract.

$$\textcircled{1}. \quad G \curvearrowright S \xrightarrow{\text{analogy}} H \curvearrowright A$$

\textcircled{2}. classical question: "Integrality of \$A\$ over \$A^H\$"

2. finite generation of \$A^H\$

3. \$A^H\$-module structure of \$A\$

Note: Cpt 8: when \$A^H \subseteq A\$ is a Galois extension.

$$\textcircled{3}. \text{ skew group ring } A * G \xrightarrow{\text{generalize}} A \# H$$

relationship between \$A^H\$ and \$A \# H\$

1. some background.

(1) Group ring

let \$R\$ be a (unital) ring and \$G\$ a group. Denote

$$RG = \{ f : G \rightarrow R \mid f \text{ is of finite support, i.e. } \#\{x : f(x) \neq 0\} < \infty \}$$

Then the group ring \$RG\$ is a free \$R\$-module and a ring via

(1) addition: \$(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)\$

(2) module: \$(\alpha \cdot f)(x) = \alpha \cdot (f(x))\$

(3) multiplication: \$f * g(x) = \sum_{u,v=x} f(u) \cdot g(v)\$,

Note: 1. \$f * g\$ is well-defined since \$f\$ is of finite support

2. \$\{x_a \mid a \in G, \forall b \in G, x_a(b) = \delta_{ab}\}\$ is a basis of \$RG\$, and

$$x_a * x_b = x_{ab} \text{ since } x_a * x_b(x) = \sum_{u,v=x} \delta_{au} \cdot \delta_{bv} = \delta_{ab}, x$$

3. when \$R\$ is commutative, \$RG\$ is a group algebra.

(2) Skew group ring. (analogous to semidirect product of groups).

Let \$R\$ be a ring and \$G\$ a finite group. Let \$\varphi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)\$ be a group

homomorphism, the skew group ring of \$G\$ over \$R\$ induced by \$\varphi\$ is the ring

of formal sums $R \otimes_R G = \{ \sum_{g \in G} a_g \cdot g : a_g \in R \}$ via

(1) addition: $\sum_{g \in G} a_g \cdot g + \sum_{g \in G} b_g \cdot g = \sum_{g \in G} (a_g + b_g) \cdot g$

(2) product: $a \cdot b = a \varphi(g)(b) \cdot g$

Notice: let $\varphi(G) = \mathbb{Z}_R$, then $R \otimes_R G \cong RG$ is a group ring.

③ free product

$$G * H = \{ g_1 h_1 \cdots g_r h_r \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}_+, g_i \in G, h_i \in H \}$$

④ integral

Let B be a commutative ring, and A a subring of B . An element $b \in B$ is integral over A if \exists monic polynomial $f \in A[X]$, s.t. $f(b) = 0$. If every element of B is integral over A , then we say that B is integral over A , or equivalently, B is an integral extension of A .

Cpt 4.1 Mod/comod algs. smash product.

1. definitions

① An algebra (A, m, u) is a left H -module algebra if

(1) A is a left H -module via $\gamma: H \otimes A \rightarrow A$, $h \otimes a \mapsto h \cdot a$

(2) m and u are H -module morphisms.

i.e. $h \cdot (a \cdot b) = (h_1 \cdot a)(h_2 \cdot b)$, $h \cdot 1_A = \epsilon(h) \cdot 1_A$, $\forall h \in H, a, b \in A$.

Diagram:

② Dually, an algebra (A, m, u) is a right H -comodule algebra if

(1) A is a right H -comodule via $\rho: A \rightarrow A \otimes H$

(2) m and u are H -comodule morphisms.

i.e. $(ab)_0 \otimes (ab)_1 = a_0 b_0 \otimes a_1 b_1$, $\rho(a) = 1 \otimes a$, $\forall a, b \in A$.

Diagram: $(A \otimes A) \otimes H \otimes H \xrightarrow{I_{A \otimes A} \otimes m_H} A \otimes A \otimes H \xrightarrow{m} A \otimes H \xrightarrow{\text{(end)}}$

$\uparrow I_{A \otimes A \otimes H}$ \uparrow $\uparrow \rho$
 $(A \otimes H) \otimes (A \otimes H) \xleftarrow{p \otimes p} A \otimes A \xrightarrow{m} A$
(start)



Remark: When H is f.d., A is a left H -module $\Leftrightarrow A$ is a right H^* -comodule.

Note: "M is a right C -comodule $\Rightarrow M$ is a left C^* -module via $m_i^*(a) \cdot m_0 = a \cdot m$

M is a left A -module $\Rightarrow M$ is a right A^* -comodule via $m_i^*(a) \cdot m_0 = a \cdot m$

2. $f: M \rightarrow M \otimes V \Rightarrow \tilde{f}: V^* \otimes M \rightarrow M$

$$v^* \otimes m \mapsto v^*(f(m)) \quad M \otimes V \mapsto V^*(v) \cdot m.$$

"partial dual" also retains the commutativity of diagrams.

③. Let A be a left H -module algebra. Then the smash product algebra

$A \# H$ is defined as follows, for all $a, b \in A$, $h, k \in H$:

(a) $A \# H \cong A \otimes H$ as \mathbb{K} -vector space.

(b) $(a \# h)(b \# k) = a(h_1 \cdot b) \# h_2 k$

Note: $A \cong A \# 1 \subseteq A \# H$ and $H \cong 1 \# H \subseteq A \# H$, since $a \# 1 \cdot b \# 1 = ab \# 1$, and

$$1 \# h \cdot 1 \# g = 1(h_1 \cdot 1) \# h_2 \cdot g = 1 \# (h_1) h_2 \cdot g = 1 \# hg. \text{ Thus } A \text{ and } H \text{ are subalgs of } A \# H.$$

2.

Since $a \# 1 \cdot 1 \# h = a \# h$, we abbreviate $a \# h$ by ah . In this notation, $ha = (h_1 \cdot a)h_2$

2. examples

①. Let A be a trivial H -module, then $A \# H = A \otimes H$ as algebras.

pf: $(ah)(bk) = a(h_1 \cdot b)h_2 k = ab \in (h_1)h_2 k = abhk$

②. Let $H = \mathbb{K}G$, and let A be an H -module algebra, then $g \cdot (ab) = (g \cdot a)(g \cdot b)$, $\forall g \in G$,

and thus g acts as an endomorphism of A . In fact, $\forall g \in G$, g acts

as an automorphism of A . Thus we have a group morphism $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}G}(A)$

Conversely, any such map make A into a $\mathbb{K}G$ -module algebra.

Note: $G \xrightarrow{\text{linearly}} A \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{K}G \xrightarrow{\text{mod alg}} A$.

In this case, $A \# \mathbb{K}G = A * G$, the skew group ring. $((ag)(bh)) = a(g \cdot b)gh$

Note: Hence $\#$ is a generalization of semi-product.

2.

Semi-product: $(x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 x_2^{y_1}, y_1 y_2)$

$$KG \otimes KL = K(G \times L)$$

$$KL \# KG = K(L \times G) ?$$

②. 1. let $H = KG$, but now let A be a KG -comodule algebra. Then $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} Ag$

is a G -graded vector space, where $Ag = \{a \in A : p(a) = a \otimes g\}$. Thus

$p(aghb_h) = (ag \otimes g)(b_h \otimes h) = agb_h \otimes gh$, i.e. $aghb_h \in Ag_h$. Hence A is a G -graded algebra.

Note: $H = KG \Rightarrow$ mod alg = skew group ring, comod alg. = graded alg.

2. When $|G| < \infty$. A is G -graded \Leftrightarrow (KG) -comod. alg \Leftrightarrow A is a $(KG)^*$ -mod alg.

More precisely, $g^* \cdot a_h = a_h \cdot g^* u_h = \delta_{gh} a_h$; i.e. $\{g^*\}$ act as projections.

In this case, the multiplication in $A \# (KG)^*$ is given by

$$(a \# g^*)(b \# h^*) = \sum_{u \in g} a(u^* \cdot b) \# v^* h^* = a b_{gh^{-1}} \# h^* \quad (v = h, u = gh^{-1})$$

where $b_{gh^{-1}}$ is the projection of b to $A_{gh^{-1}}$

④. 1. If H , consider $\pi \in P(H)$, the primitive elements, and let A be an H -module alg.

Since $\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$, $x \cdot (ab) = (x \cdot a)b + a(x \cdot b)$; i.e. π acts as a K -derivation of A and we have a lie morphism $P(H) \rightarrow \text{Der}_K(A)$.

Note: $G(L)$ is a set while $G(B)$ is a group. Similarly, $P(B)$ is a lie algebra.

Pf: $g, h \in G(B) \Rightarrow gh \in G(B)$

2.

$g, h \in P(B)$. let $[gh] = gh - hg$, then $\Delta([gh]) = [gh] \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes [gh]$, hence $[gh] \in P(B)$.

2.

More generally, $\pi \in P_{g,h}(H) \Rightarrow \pi \cdot (ab) = (x \cdot a)(g \cdot b) + (h \cdot a)(x \cdot b)$, where g, h

act as automorphism of A . We say that π acts as a g, h -derivation of A ;

it is also called a skew derivation.

Note: $\pi \in G(H) \Rightarrow \pi$ acts as automorphism of A (algebraic)

$\pi \in P(H) \Rightarrow \pi$ acts as derivation.

3.

When $H = U(g)$, the action of g determines $U(g)$. In this case $A \# H$ is

sometimes called the differential polynomial ring. In particular when $g = kx$,

π acts as derivation δ of A , then $A \# U(g) = A[x; \delta]$, the usual

Ore extension in which $\pi a = (x_1 \cdot a)x_2 = f(a) + ax$.

4.

A special case of this construction is the first Weyl algebra A_1 .

(let $A = k[y]$, and $f = \frac{d}{dy}$, then $A_1 = [k(y)[x; f]] = k\langle x, y : xy - yx = 1 \rangle$)

Note: 1. R is a ring (not necessarily commutative), $\delta: R \rightarrow R$ is a ring morphism,

and $\delta: R \rightarrow R$ is a δ -derivation of R , i.e. $\delta(r_1 r_2) = \delta(r_1)\delta(r_2) + \delta(r_1)r_2$.

Then the Ore extension $R[x; \delta, f]$, also called a skew polynomial ring

obtain by given $R[x]$ the multiplication: $xr = \delta(r)x + f(r)$.

2.

If $\delta = 0$, then the Ore extension is denoted $R[x; \delta]$. (G 5.6.17 A)

3.

If $\delta = I_R$, then the Ore extension is denoted $R[x; \delta]$ and is called a differential polynomial ring.

⑤. 1. H is itself an H -module algebra for both the left and right adjoint actions. ad_L and ad_R are examples of inner actions.

2.

For either adjoint action, $H \# H \cong H \otimes H$, though the action may be non-trivial (Cpt 2.3.3).

$$\text{pf: } h \rightarrow k = h \cdot k \text{Sh}_2 \Rightarrow h \rightarrow xy = h_1 xy \text{Sh}_2 = h_1 x \text{Sh}_2 h_2 y \text{Sh}_4 = (h_1 \rightarrow x) \cdot (h_2 \rightarrow y)$$

$$h \rightarrow 1 = h \cdot \text{Sh}_2 = e(h) \cdot 1$$

Note: $\text{ad}_L: G \rightarrow \text{Inn } G$. grp homomorphism. $\text{ad}_L: L \rightarrow \text{Der } L$ lie homomorphism.

2.

H is not an H -module algebra via left action.

3.

Similarly, H is an H -comodule algebra via coadjoint action.

⑥. H^* is a right H^* -comodule $\Rightarrow H^*$ is a left H -module. $\hookrightarrow H$ -mod alg.

$$\text{Cpt 9. } H \# H^* \cong \text{End}_k H, \quad H \# H^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} HM^*$$

$H \# H^*$ is called the Heisenberg double. $\mathcal{H}(H)$.

Note: A -bimodule $\Leftrightarrow A \otimes A^{\text{op}}$ left module.

⑦ $H = U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, $A = \mathbb{C}[x]$. H -mod alg in a unique way.

Cpt 4.2

Abstract: Generalize the well-known fact: $|G| < \infty$, A wcomm., $G \curvearrowright A \Rightarrow A^G$ ^{integral}

1. Integral over A^H

(1) Main thm:

Let H be f.d. wcomm. and let A be a comm. H -module algebra,

Then A is integral over A^H

(2). Let $M \in A\#H M$ s.t. $M \cong A^{(n)}$ as left A -modules, and let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be an $A\#H$ -module map. Then χ_f , the characteristic polynomial of f in $\text{End}_A(M)$, has coefficients in A^H

pf: ^{1.} We first consider the case when $n=1$. Thus we may write $M = Am_0, \exists m_0 \in M$.

Then $f(m_0) = am_0$, for some $a \in A$. $\forall m = bm_0 \in M, f(m) = f(bm_0) = bf(m_0)$
 $= b am_0 = abm_0 = am$, i.e. $f(m) = am, \forall m \in M$. Thus $f = a \cdot \text{Id}_M, a = \text{det}_A f$

^{2.} We claim that $a \in A^H$, i.e. $(h \cdot a) = \epsilon(h)a$

Now $\forall h \in H, m \in M, a(hm) = f(hm) = hm = \underbrace{(h_1 \cdot a)h_2 m}_{h = h_1 \cdot \epsilon(h_2)}$

But then $(h \cdot a)m = (h_1 \cdot a)\epsilon(h_2)m$

$$= (h_1 \cdot a)h_2 \cancel{s_{h_2} m} \xrightarrow{(h_1 \cdot a)h_2 m = ahm}$$

$$= a h_1 \cancel{s_{h_2} m}$$

$$= \epsilon(h) am$$

Using $m = m_0$, and the freeness of M , we see $h \cdot a = \epsilon(h)a$

for all $h \in H$. Thus $a \in A^H$, proving the case $n=1$.

? ⁽³⁾ Since M is a left $A\#H$ module and A is commutative,

$T^n M$ is also a left $A\#H$ module ($h \cdot m_0 \otimes \dots \otimes h_n n = h_1 m_0 \otimes h_2 n \otimes \dots \otimes h_n n$)

let I be the A -submodule of $T^n M$ generated by symmetric tensors $\{m_0 \otimes \dots \otimes m_0 | m \in M\}$. Since H is wcommutative, it stabilizes

I ; and thus I is an $A\#H$ module. Hence $N = T^n M / I$

is a quotient $A \# H$ -module of $T^m M$.

$f: M \rightarrow M$ induces the $A \# H$ -module map $\Lambda^n f: N \rightarrow N$, with the same determinant as f , and N is free of rank 1 as an A -mod.

We may thus apply the $n=1$ case to see that $\det \Lambda^n f = \det f \in A^H$.

4. Let t be an indeterminate. Then $A[t]$ and $M[t]$ become $A \# H$ -mods by letting H act trivially on t , and f extends to an $A \# H$ map $\tilde{f}: M[t] \rightarrow M[t]$. But now $t \cdot \text{id} - \tilde{f}$ is an $A \# H$ -map, and thus $\det_A(t \cdot \text{id} - \tilde{f}) = x_{\tilde{f}(t)} \in A^H[t] = A^H[t]$ by the above arguments.

Note 1: A is a left $A \# H$ -module via $(b \# h) \cdot (a \# 1) = b(h \cdot a) \# 1 \quad (\neq (b \# h)(a \# 1))$

2. H doesn't need to be f.d.

Note 2: Let M, N be left $A \# H$ -modules and A be commutative, then

$M \otimes_A N$ is an left $A \# H$ -module

2. $\det \Lambda^n f = \det f$

3. A -modules lifts to $A \# H$ -modules

Proof of the main thm:

Pf: let $M = A \# H$; M is a free left A -module of rank $n = \dim H$

let $f = r_a$, right multiplication by $a \in A$; f is a left $A \# H$ -map (since left and right action are commutative). Thus by 4.2.2.

$x_a \in A^H[t]$ and a is integral over A^H .

2. \mathbb{K} -affine.

① A \mathbb{K} -algebra A is called \mathbb{K} -affine if it's finitely generated as

\mathbb{K} -algebra. i.e. $\exists \{a_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq A$ s.t. $A = \{f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid f \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]\}$.

② Artin-Tate lemma.

Let $B \subseteq A$ be commutative \mathbb{K} -algebras. If A is \mathbb{K} -affine and integral

over B , then B is \mathbb{K} -affine.

⑤ Thm: let H be a fd. cocomm. hopf algebra, and A a comm. algebra

such that $H \xrightarrow{\text{mod}} A$ and A is \mathbb{K} -affine. Then A^H is \mathbb{K} -affine

pf: $A \xrightarrow{\text{integral}} A^H$, $\xrightarrow{\text{Artin lemma}}$ A^H is \mathbb{K} -affine.

Q: A wmm. H f.d. $\xrightarrow{\text{mod}} H$ $\Rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\text{?}} A^H$

Summary:

$$1. K \times H \Rightarrow k_1 h_1 \cdot k_2 h_2 = k_1 h_1 k_2^{-1} \cdot h_1 h_2 = k_1 k_2^{op} \cdot h_1 h_2, H \xrightarrow{\text{op. action}} K$$

$$A \# H \Rightarrow ahbg = a(h_1 \cdot b) \# h_2 g, H \xrightarrow{\text{mod}} A$$

i.e. $\#$ is a generalization of semi product.

$$2. A \# H \cong A^{(com H)} \text{ as free left } A \text{-mod.}$$

$$\cong H^{(com A)} \text{ as free right } H \text{-mod.}$$

Since $(a\#1)(1\#h)=ah$, write $ah=a\#h$ for short.

3. Main thm.

$$H \text{ f.d. cocomm. } A \text{ wmm.} \Rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\text{integral}} A^H$$

$$+ \\ A \text{ is } \mathbb{K}\text{-affine} \Rightarrow A^H \text{ is } \mathbb{K}\text{-affine}$$

Cpt 4.3. Trace functions and inv. (non-comm.)

D. Integrality of A over A^H .

① Schelter-integral.

$$②. \text{ Que: } H \xrightarrow{\text{mod}} A, H \text{ f.d. ss.} \Rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\text{schelter integral}} A^H$$

I. Main results (4.3.7)

let A be a left Noetherian which is an affine \mathbb{K} -algebra,

let H be f.d. and $H \xrightarrow{\text{mod}} A$ such that $\hat{f}: A \rightarrow A^H$ is surjective.

Then A^H is \mathbb{K} -affine (and Noetherian by 4.3.5)

2. Lemmas

① trace function.

$$\text{tr}: A \rightarrow A^H \Rightarrow \hat{f}: A \rightarrow A^H, t \in \int_H^t$$

$$a \mapsto \sum_{g \in H} g \cdot a$$

$$a \mapsto t \cdot a$$

\hat{f} is an A^H -bimodule map.

$$\text{Pf: } \forall b \in A^H, t \cdot ba = (t_1 \cdot b)(t_2 \cdot a) = \epsilon(t_1)b(t_2 \cdot a) = b(t \cdot a)$$

Hence \hat{f} is a left A^H module. The argument of the right side is the same.

② Lemma: Assume that H is f.d. $\overset{\sim}{\rightarrow} A$ and \hat{f} is surjective.

Then \exists a non-zero idempotent $e \in A \# H$ s.t. $e(A \# H)e = A^H e \cong A^H$ as algebras.

Note: ^{1.} \hat{f} is surjective $\Leftrightarrow \exists c \in A$ s.t. $\hat{f}(c) = 1$, i.e. $t \cdot c = 1$.

$$\text{hat} = (h \cdot a)t$$

$$\text{Pf: } (1 \# h)(a \# t) = (h_1 \cdot a) \# h_2 t = \epsilon(h_2) h_1 \cdot a \# t = (h \cdot a) \# t$$

Pf: Since \hat{f} is surjective, there exists $c \in A$ with $\hat{f}(c) = t \cdot c = 1$.

$$\text{Define } e = tc, \text{ then } e^2 = \underbrace{tc \cdot tc}_{\text{asso.}} = \overbrace{(t \cdot c)tc}^{\text{mod}} = tc = e.$$

For any $a \in A, h \in H$, we then have

$$e(a \# h)e = tc a \# tc = \epsilon(h) \underbrace{t \cdot ca}_{\text{mod}} = \epsilon(h) \cdot (t \cdot ca) + c \in A^H \cdot e$$

Conversely, if $a \in A^H$, then $t \cdot (ca) = (t \cdot c)a = a$, and thus

$$ae = atc = t \cdot (ca)tc = t \cdot ca \# tc. \text{ That is, } e(A \# H)e = A^H e$$

Finally, this is algebra-isomorphic to A^H , since

$$(ae)(be) = atc b t c = abe \text{ as above}$$

Note: \hat{f} is surjective $\Rightarrow e = tc$ is as required.

③ Corollary.

Assume that H f.d. $\overset{\sim}{\rightarrow} A$ and \hat{f} is surjective. If A is left or right Noetherian, then so is A^H .

Pf: (sketch).

1. If A is left Noetherian, then so is $A \# H$

2. right part: 4.4.3 / 7.2.11.

3. If S is Noetherian, then eSe is Noetherian

Blowing up a chain of ideals of A^H to A , applying \hat{f} to recover the original chain.

Remark: the corollary is false if \hat{f} is not surjective.

(*) Let S be a \mathbb{K} -algebra and e a non-zero idempotent in S . If S is \mathbb{K} -affine and left Noetherian, then eSe is \mathbb{K} -affine.

pf? (sketch).

1. Since S is left Noetherian, SeS is a f.g. left ideal of S .

2. We claim that eS is a f.g. left eSe -mod.

Let $SeS = \sum_{i=1}^n Sx_i$ where $x_i = \sum_j v_{ij} e w_{ij}$. For any $r \in S$, $er \in e(Se)$ and so $er = e(\sum_i s_i x_i) = \sum_i es_i v_{ij} e w_{ij}$. Thus the set $\{ew_{ij}\}$ generates eS as an eSe -module, proving the claim.

For simplicity, rewrite the generators as $\{ew_{ij}\}$.

3. pass.

(3) proof of the main thm.

A left Noetherian, \mathbb{K} -affine; H f.d. $\stackrel{\text{mod}}{\curvearrowright} A$, \hat{f} surjective $\Rightarrow A^H$ is \mathbb{K} -affine

pf: 4.3.4 and 4.3.6. using that $S = A \# H$ is left Noetherian.

Remark: 4.2.5: H f.d. wcomm. A wcomm. $\Rightarrow A^H$ \mathbb{K} -affine

4.3.7: H f.d. \hat{f} surjective. A left Noetherian.

4.3.7 fails if \hat{f} is not surjective or A is not left Noetherian.

2. H is s.s. $\Leftrightarrow \epsilon(\int_H^L) \neq 0$

\Rightarrow let $t \in \int_H^L$ s.t. $\epsilon(t) = 1$, then $\hat{f}(t) = t \cdot 1 = 1$

$\Rightarrow \hat{f}$ is surjective.

4. surjective trace

① total integral.

Let A be a right H -comod alg. Then a right total integral for A is a right H -comod map $\varphi: H \rightarrow A$. s.t. $\varphi(1) = 1$

② an observation of Radford.

$0 \neq t \in \int_H^L$, H^* is a right H^* -comod $\Rightarrow H^*$ is a left H -mod.

$\theta: H \rightarrow H^*$ is a left H -mod isomorphism.

$$h \mapsto (h \cdot t)$$

Setting $t = \theta^{-1}(\varepsilon)$, then $t \cdot t = \varepsilon$. Since $\theta^{-1}(t \cdot t) = t = \theta^{-1}(\varepsilon)$

We claim that $t \in \int_H^L$ since $ht = h\theta^{-1}(\varepsilon) = \theta^{-1}(h \cdot \varepsilon) = \theta^{-1}(\varepsilon(h)) \cdot \varepsilon = \varepsilon(h) \cdot t$

Note: $\langle h \cdot \varepsilon, g \rangle = \langle \varepsilon, gh \rangle = \varepsilon(g) \cdot \varepsilon(h) \Rightarrow h \cdot \varepsilon = \varepsilon(h) \cdot \varepsilon$.

③. H f.d $\xrightarrow{\text{mod}} A$, consider A as a right H^* -comodule algebra. Then
 \hat{f} is surjective $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ a total integral $\varphi: H^* \rightarrow A$.

Pf: \Rightarrow : \hat{f} is surjective $\Rightarrow \exists c \in A$ s.t. $t \cdot c = 1$

With θ as above, let $\varphi: H^* \rightarrow A$

$$f \mapsto \theta^*(f) \cdot c$$

φ is a left H -mod map since θ is, and thus φ is a right

H^* -comod map. Moreover, $\varphi(1_{H^*}) = \varphi(\varepsilon) = \theta^*(\varepsilon) \cdot c = t \cdot c = 1$

so φ is a total integral for A .

\Leftarrow :

Conversely, assume that $\varphi: H^* \rightarrow A$ is a total integral and

set $c = \varphi(t)$. Then $t \cdot c = t \cdot \varphi(t) = \varphi(t \cdot t) = \varphi(\varepsilon) = 1$.

④. dual notion of trace for right H -comod alg A

recall: A is an H -mod alg, $\hat{f}: A \rightarrow A^H$ an A^H -bimod. map

Now: A is an right H -comod alg. and \exists right comod map $\varphi: H \rightarrow A$.

Setting $\text{tr}(a) = a_0 \varphi(Sa_1)$, it's easy to check that $\text{tr}(a) \in A^{\text{co}H}$ and that $\text{tr}|_{A^{\text{co}H}} = I_{A^{\text{co}H}}$ if φ is a total integral.

Cor 4.4. Ideals in $A \# H$ and A as an A^H -module.

Abstract: How the structure of $A \# H$ influences the relationship between A and A^H .
 H.f.d., A is a f.g. A^H -module ($\hat{\epsilon}$ is surjective)

1. Lattice of modules

- ① Let $e = tc$ and fix a basis $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ of H . $\forall V \in M_A$, let
 $W = V \otimes_A (A \# H)$ be the induced $A \# H$ -module.

Define $\sigma: \mathcal{L}(V_A^H) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(W_{A \# H})$, $\mu: \mathcal{L}(W_{A \# H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(V_A^H)$

$$\checkmark \quad v \mapsto (v \otimes e)(A \# H) \quad \sum_i v_i \otimes h_i \mapsto \sum_i \epsilon(h_i) v_i$$

Lattice of A^H -submodules of V . \uparrow well-defined.

Note: $\forall w \in W$, $w = \sum_i v_i \otimes a_i \cdot h_i = \sum_i v_i \cdot a_i \otimes h_i = \sum_i v'_i \otimes h_i$

2. μ is a A^H -mod map. Thus if $x \in \mathcal{L}(W_{A \# H})$, $x^\mu \in \mathcal{L}(V_A^H)$

Pf: $a \in A^H \Rightarrow ha = (h_1 \cdot a)h_2 = a \epsilon(h_1)h_2 = ah$

$$\mu\left(\sum_i v_i \otimes h_i \cdot a\right) = \mu\left(\sum_i v_i \otimes a \cdot h_i\right) = \mu\left(\sum_i v_i a \otimes h_i\right) = \sum_i \epsilon(h_i) v_i \otimes a$$

3. both σ and μ preserve inclusion (hence preserve ascending and descending properties) $\xrightarrow{\text{Noetherian}}$

- ② $\mu \circ \sigma(v) = v$: hence σ is injective

Pf: $\stackrel{?}{=} \text{If } v \otimes e = 0, \text{ then } v \otimes tct = v \otimes tct = v \otimes t = 0, \text{ so } v = 0$

Hence $v_1 \otimes e = v_2 \otimes e$ implies $v_1 = v_2$.

$$\forall w = \sum_i v_i \otimes h_i \in W, we = \sum_i v_i \otimes h_i \cdot tc = \sum_i \epsilon(h_i) v_i \otimes tc = \mu(w) \otimes e.$$

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{L}(V_A^H), U^e = (U \otimes e)(A \# H)e = U \otimes A^H e = U \otimes e \text{ by 4.3.4.}$$

$$w \in U^e \text{ implies } \mu(w) \in U, \text{ hence } U^{\sigma \mu} \subseteq U.$$

This is true since $we = \mu(w) \otimes e \in U \otimes e$ implies $\mu(w) \in U$

2: $\forall u \in V, w = u \otimes e \in V^H$ and $u \otimes e = we = \mu(w) \otimes e$

It follows that $u = \mu(w)$ so $V = U^{\otimes n}$.

3. If f.d. $\rightarrow A$ s.t. f is surjective. If A is right Noetherian,
then A is a right Noetherian A^H -module.

pf: We apply the lemma with $V = A$. Then $W = A \oplus_A A \# H \cong A \# H$
 $\xrightarrow{(2.11)}$
 Since $A \# H \cong H \oplus A$ as right A -modules and A is right
Noetherian, W is a Noetherian $A \# H$ module.

Now $\underbrace{f(V_A^H)}_{\text{a few}} \hookrightarrow \underbrace{f(W_{A \# H})}_{\text{many}}$, thus A is a Noetherian A^H -mod.

* 2. Lemma: Let H f.d. $\rightarrow A$ and choose $0 \neq t \in \int_H^L$, then

$$1). ah = h_2(\bar{S}h_1 \cdot a) \quad \leftarrow t(a \leftarrow h) \quad \in \int_H^L$$

$$2) hat = (h \cdot a)t, tah = t(\bar{S}h^a \cdot a), t \cdot h = \alpha(h) \cdot t, h^\alpha = \alpha \rightharpoonup h$$

$$3) (t) = A + A \text{ is an ideal in } A \# H$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pf: } &^{(1)} h_2(\bar{S}h_1 \cdot a) = (1 \# h_2)(\bar{S}h_1 \cdot a) \# 1 \\ &= (h_2 \bar{S}h_1 \cdot a) \# h_3 \cdot 1 = t(h_1) \cdot a \# h_2 = ah \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &^{(2)} t ah = t h_2(\bar{S}h_1 \cdot a) \\ &= t \cdot \alpha(h_2)(\bar{S}h_1 \cdot a) \end{aligned}$$

$$= t \cdot \bar{S}(\alpha(h_2)h_1 \cdot a)$$

$$= t \cdot \bar{S}(\alpha \rightharpoonup h \cdot a) = t \cdot \bar{S}(h^\alpha \cdot a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &^{(3)} \forall a, b \in A, h \in H, hatb = (h \cdot a)tb \in A + A \\ &. atbh = at \bar{S}(h^\alpha \cdot b) \in A + A. \end{aligned}$$

Remark: $(t) \downarrow$ influence "A is f.g. A^H -mod?"

3. consider $(t) = A + A$ in $A \# H$ as above, then

u1. Fix any $a = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i + c_i \in (t) \cap A$, then $\forall d \in A$,

$$ad = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \bar{E}(c_i, d) \in \sum_{i=1}^n b_i A^H$$

That is $aA \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i A^H$. This says that $I = (t) \cap A$ is "Shirshov locally finite" over A^H .

(2). If $(t) = A \# H$, then A is a f.g. right A^H -module.

(3) If $I = (t) \cap A$ contains a regular element of A , then A is a right A^H -submodule of a finite free A^H -module.

pf. (1). $ad = \sum b_i t_i c_i d = \sum b_i t_i \cdot (c_i d) \# t_2$. Applying $id \otimes \epsilon$ on both sides, we get $ad = \sum b_i t_i \cdot c_i d$

(2). Using $a=1$ in (1), we get $A \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i A^H \subseteq A \# H$

(3). Let a be a regular element, i.e. $ad = 0$ implies $d=0$.

let $\{b_i\}, \{c_i\}$ be as before, and define

$\varphi: A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A^H$, if $\varphi(d) = 0$ then $\hat{t}(c_i d) = 0, \forall i$
 $a \mapsto (\hat{t}(c_i d))_i$

Thus $ad = \sum b_i t_i \cdot c_i d = 0$ and $d=0$ since a is regular.

Thus φ is injective #.

Note: 1. If $A \# H$ is a simple ring then $(t) \cap A = A$ and hence A is a f.g. A^H -module, and thus A is Noetherian. provided A^H is Noetherian.

4. Semiprime.

① Semiprime ring: The only nilpotent ideal is 0 .

② Thm: Assume that $A \# H$ is semiprime and that every non-zero ideal of $A \# H$ intersects A non-trivially. Then

i). A^H is a (right) Goldie ring $\Leftrightarrow A$ is (right) Goldie.

ii) if A^H is (right) Noetherian or Artin, so is A .

③ Lemma: Assume that $A \# H$ is semiprime, and choose $0 \neq t \in \mathfrak{f}_H^t$. If

I is any non-zero left or right H -stable ideal of A , then $\hat{t}(I) \neq 0$.

pf: If $\hat{t}(I) = 0$, then $tIt = (\hat{t} \cdot I)t = 0$

Thus if I is a left ideal of A , then $J = It$ is a left ideal of $A \# H$ such that $J^2 = 0$. Since $A \# H$ is semiprime, $J = 0$ and thus $I = 0$, a contradiction. The same argument work for the right part.

Note: J is a left ideal of $A \# H$ since $hJ = hIt = (hI)\overset{H\text{-stable}}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{t}} \subseteq It = J$

Remark: 4.4.5. 4.4.6: It's useful to know when $A \# H$ is semiprime.

2. If A is semiprime, $H = \mathbb{K}G$, $|G|^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}$ or $H = (\mathbb{K}G)^*$, then $A \# H$ is semiprime.

3. Que: A is semiprime, H is f.d. s.s. $\Rightarrow A \# H$ is semiprime.

5. an example: $(\mathbb{C}) \wedge A = (0)$

recall: $H_4 = \langle \mathbb{K}, 1, g, x, gx \rangle$

mul: $g^2 = 1, x^2 = 0, xg = -gx$

comul: $\Delta g = g \otimes g, \Delta x = x \otimes 1 + g \otimes x$

$\epsilon(g) = 1, \epsilon(x) = 0$

$H = H_4, A = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, B = \mathbb{C} \# H = (\mathbb{C}) \oplus (\mathbb{C}'), t \in \int_H^l, t' \in \int_H^r$.

$(\mathbb{C}) = BtB = Be_1 \oplus B \cdot e_2, e_1 = \frac{1}{2}it, e_2 = \frac{1}{2}ti \quad A \cap (\mathbb{C}) = (0) = A \cap (\mathbb{C}')$

Cpt 4.5. Morita context.

Abstract: " $A \# H$ relate A^H via Morita context.

2. Basic idea: relationship via modules. $\xrightarrow{\text{weaker}}$ Morita Equivalence
 $\xleftarrow{\text{modcat. equivalence}}$

4.5.0. definitions.

We say that two rings R and S is connected by Morita context

if $\exists M \in R\text{-}M_S, N \in S\text{-}M_R$ and two bilinear maps

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : N \otimes_R M \rightarrow S \quad \text{and} \quad (\cdot, \cdot) : M \otimes_S N \rightarrow R$$

s.t.

(1). $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is an S -bimodule map which is middle R -linear.

i.e. $[n \cdot r, m] = [n, r \cdot m]$ (Hence $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is well-defined)

(2) (\cdot, \cdot) is an R -bimodule map which is middle S -linear.

(3) $\forall m, m' \in M, n, n' \in N$, "associativity" holds. i.e.

$$m \cdot [n \cdot m'] = (m \cdot n) \cdot m' \quad \text{and} \quad [m \cdot n] \cdot n' = n \cdot (m \cdot n')$$

4.5.1. left, right A^H -mod + left $A \# H$ -mod structure.

Let $R = A^H$, $S = A \# H$. and $M = N = A$.

(1) A is a left (or right) A^H -module by multiplication.

(2) A is a left $A \# H$ -module in the standard way. i.e.

$$(a \# h) \cdot b = a(h \cdot b)$$

4.5.2. right $A \# H$ -module structure.

Let $\alpha \in H^*$ s.t. $t \cdot h = \alpha(h)t$, $\forall h \in H$, write $h^\alpha = \alpha \circ h = \alpha(h) \cdot h$.

By [R and fard], $t^\alpha = St$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_H^*$; in particular, H is unimodular iff $St = t$. ($\alpha = \epsilon$). A is a right $A \# H$ -module via

$$a \leftarrow b \# h = \underbrace{\bar{S} h^\alpha}_{\text{right}} (ab) \underbrace{\rightarrow}_{\text{right + twisted}}$$

4.5.3.

Thm: Let $M = N = A$ be modules defined as above. Then

$M \in A^H M_{A \# H}$ and $N \in A \# H M_{A^H}$ together with the maps

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : A \otimes_{A \# H} A \rightarrow A \# H, (\cdot, \cdot) : A \otimes_{A \# H} A \rightarrow A^H$$

$$a \otimes b \mapsto ab \quad a \otimes b \mapsto \hat{f}(ab)$$

give a Morita context for A^H and $A \# H$.

Pf: We check that (\cdot, \cdot) is middle $A \# H$ -linear: for $a, b, c \in A$, $h \in H$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (C \leftarrow ah, b) &\stackrel{\text{def of } \hat{f}}{=} (\bar{S} h^\alpha \cdot ca, b) \supseteq (a, b) = \hat{f}(ab) \\
 &= \hat{f}[(\bar{S} h^\alpha \cdot ca)b] \supseteq \hat{f}(a) = t \cdot a \\
 &= t \cdot [(\bar{S} h^\alpha \cdot ca)b] \supseteq h^\alpha = \alpha \rightarrow h = \alpha(h_1)h_1 \\
 &= t \alpha(h_2) \cdot (\bar{S} h_1 \cdot ca)b \supseteq th = \alpha(h)t \\
 &= th_2 \cdot (\bar{S} h_1 \cdot ca)b \supseteq h \cdot ab = (h_1 \cdot a)(h_2 \cdot b) \\
 &= t \cdot [(h_2 \bar{S} h_1 \cdot ca)(h_3 \cdot b)] \supseteq \bar{h}_2 \bar{S} h_1 = e(h) \\
 &= t \cdot (ca(h \cdot b)) \supseteq \text{left A}\#H\text{-mod } ah \cdot b = a(h \cdot b) \\
 &= t \cdot (c(ah \cdot b)) \supseteq (a, b) = \hat{f}(ab) \\
 &= (C, ah \cdot b)
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark: $\hat{f}(A) = (A, A)$, $(t) = (A, A)$.

4.5.4.

Let H f.d. \rightsquigarrow_A , and choose $a \notin t \in \int_H^t$. If both \hat{f} is surjective and $(t) = A \# H$, then $A \# H$ is Morita equivalent to A^H .

4.5.5.

Let H f.d. \rightsquigarrow_A s.t. $A \# H$ is a simple ring. Then TFAE:

(1). $A \# H$ is Morita equivalent to A^H .

(2). \hat{f} is surjective

(3). A^H is simple.

$(1) \Leftrightarrow (2)$

pf: Since $A \# H$ is simple, $(t) = A \# H$ and so $[t]$ is surjective.

Thus, by 4.5.4. $A \# H$ is Morita equivalent to $A^H \Leftrightarrow \hat{f}$ is surjective

$(1) \Rightarrow (3)$
 A^H is simple since being simple is a Morita invariant.

$(3) \Rightarrow (1)$
Since $\hat{f}(A)$ is an ideal of A^H and is non-zero by 4.4.6.

Thus $\hat{f}(A) = A^H$ if A^H is simple, and so \hat{f} is surjective.

4.5.6. prime ring

① A ring is prime if the product of non-zero ideals is non-zero.

② Let H f.d. \curvearrowright_A , then $A \# H$ is a prime ring $\Leftrightarrow A$ is a left and right faithful $A \# H$ -module and A^H is a prime ring.

Remark: example 4.4.8 shows that A^H and $A \# H$ are not always Morita equivalent

Summary. 4.3–4.5.

Cpt 4.3.

1. $\hat{f} : A \rightarrow A^H$ is an A^H -bimodule.

$$a \mapsto f \cdot a$$

2. \hat{f} is surjective $\Leftrightarrow \exists c \in A$ s.t. $f \cdot c = 1$. In this case, $e = fc$ is a non-zero idempotent s.t. $e(A \# H)e = A^H e \cong A^H$

3. let H f.d. \curvearrowright_A , $\hat{f} \Rightarrow$

A is left/right Noetherian \Rightarrow so is A^H

A is left Noetherian, \mathbb{K} -affine $\Rightarrow A^H$ is \mathbb{K} -affine.

(4.2.5: H f.d. wcomm. A wcomm. $\Rightarrow A^H$ is \mathbb{K} -affine)

4. total integral: $H \xrightarrow{\text{comod}} A$, $\varphi : H \rightarrow A$ right H -mod s.t. $\varphi(1) = 1$.
 $H \xrightarrow{\text{comod}} A$ s.t. \hat{f} surjective $\Leftrightarrow H^* \xrightarrow{\text{comod}} A \ni$ total integral φ .

5. dual notion: $H \xrightarrow{\text{comod}} A$, $\varphi : H \rightarrow A$ right H -wmod. $\Rightarrow \text{fr}(a) = a \circ \varphi(Sa)$

Cpt 4.4.

1. lattice of modules

Let H f.d. \curvearrowright_A , $\hat{f} \Rightarrow$, $V \in M_A$, $w = V \otimes_A A \# H \in M_{A \# H}$, then

6. $\mathcal{L}(V_{A^H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(W_{A \# H})$, $\mu : \mathcal{L}(W_{A \# H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(A^H)$

s.t. $\mu \circ \nu = \nu$, hence ν is injective

$\star^{(2)}$

A is right Noetherian $\Rightarrow A$ is a right Noetherian A^H -module.

2. If $f, g \in A$, of $t \in \text{f}_H^t$ (t is not necessarily surjective), then

$$^{(1)} ah = h_2(\bar{S} h_1 \cdot a)$$

$$^{(2)} h \cdot t = (h \cdot a)t, \quad t \cdot a = t(a \in h), \quad \text{where } a \in h = \bar{S} h^\alpha \cdot a$$

$^{(3)} (t) = A + tA$ is an ideal in $A \# H$

3. $\forall a \in A \cap (t)$, $\exists b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $aA \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i A^H$

$$\cancel{\#}^{(2)} (t) = A \# H \Rightarrow A \cap (t) = A$$

$\Rightarrow A \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i A^H$ is a f.g. A^H -module.

$^{(3)} (t) \cap A$ contains a regular element $\Rightarrow A \hookrightarrow (A^H)^{(n)}$, $\exists n$ as right A^H -module.

4. Property of semiprime; example of $(t) \cap A = 0$.

Cpt 4.5. Morita context.

1. def: R, S is connected by Morita context if $\exists M, N$ with $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{C}$ s.t. (1)-(3).

2. $A \# H$ and A is connected by Morita context via A , with $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{C}$.

$$3. \hat{f}(A) = (A, A), \quad (t) = (A, A).$$

$A \# H$ is Morita equivalent to A^H if \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{C} are surjective.

4. Morita equivalent to simple ring.