

# Homology of zero-divisors

Reza Akhtar and Lucas Lee

## ABSTRACT

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. We define a semisimplicial abelian group based on the structure of the semigroup of ideals of  $R$  and investigate various properties of the homology groups of the associated chain complex.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. The set  $Z(R)$  of zero-divisors in a ring does not possess any obvious algebraic structure consequently, the study of this set has often involved techniques and ideas from outside algebra. Several recent attempts, among them [2], [3] have focused on studying the so-called *zero-divisor graph*  $\Gamma(R)$ , whose vertices are the zero-divisors of  $R$ , with  $xy$  being an edge if and only if  $xy = 0$ . This object  $\Gamma(R)$  is somewhat unwieldy in that it has many symmetries for example, if  $u \in R$  is any unit, then  $x \mapsto ux$  induces a (graph) automorphism of  $\Gamma(R)$ . One way of treating this issue – following an idea of Lauve [5] – is to work with the *ideal divisor graph*  $\text{Id}\Gamma(R)$ . In effect, one replaces zero-divisors of  $R$  by proper ideals with nonzero annihilator this is the approach adopted by the authors in [1]. Such a perspective also has its shortcomings for instance, it does not adequately detect the phenomenon of there being three distinct proper ideals  $I, K$  in  $R$  with  $IK = 0$ , but  $I \not\subseteq K$ ,  $IK \subseteq I$ ,  $IK \subseteq K$ .

In this paper we adopt a different philosophy, using a new type of homology to study  $\text{Id}\Gamma(R)$  and capture the situation described above. Roughly speaking, if we denote by  $\mathcal{I}_n(R)$  the free abelian group generated by the set of  $n$ -tuples  $(I_0, \dots, I_n)$  of distinct ideals of  $R$  such that  $I_0 \cdots I_n = 0$ , there are obvious maps  $\mathcal{I}_n(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{n-1}(R)$  obtained by forgetting one of the factors. This gives  $\mathcal{I}_*(R)$  the structure of a semi-simplicial abelian group hence we may speak of its associated chain complex  $\mathcal{I}_*(R)$ . Our homology groups  $H_i(\mathcal{I}_*(R))$  are then defined as the homology groups of a certain quotient of  $\mathcal{I}_*(R)$ . The idea behind this construction was sketched by Lauve in [5], although the precise definition is due to the authors.

After giving a precise definition of these homology groups  $H_n(\mathbb{Z}p^r/\mathbb{Z})$ , we study the group  $H_0(\mathbb{Z}p^r/\mathbb{Z})$  in depth and compute  $H_1(\mathbb{Z}p^r/\mathbb{Z})$  when  $p$  is a prime and  $r \geq 1$  is an integer. We then give some conditions on  $r$  sufficient to ensure that  $H_n(\mathbb{Z}p^r/\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $n \geq 0$ . In the last section we consider the Euler characteristic  $\chi(\mathbb{Z}p^r/\mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \text{rk } H_n(\mathbb{Z}p^r/\mathbb{Z})$ . Using some ideas from partition theory, we prove the surprising result that  $\chi(\mathbb{Z}p^r/\mathbb{Z})$  is always either 0, 1, or 2, depending on the value of  $r$  relative to the “pentagonal” numbers  $m(3m-1)/2$  and the related numbers  $m(3m+1)/2$ . We also derive formulas for the Euler characteristic for some other special types of finite rings.

The authors would like to thank Aaron Lauve, David Anderson, Dennis Keeler, Neil O. Smith, Siamak Yassemi and Tao Jiang for useful conversations and references. They are also deeply grateful to Dan Pritikin for his invaluable insight in elucidating the combinatorics of the calculation of the Euler characteristic in Theorem 6.1. Finally, they thank Miami University for financial support of the second author’s research during the summer of 2003.

∈

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $\mathcal{P}$  the set of proper ideals of  $R$ . For each  $n \geq 0$ , let  $S_n(\mathcal{P})$  be the set of ordered  $(n+1)$ -tuples  $(I_0, \dots, I_n)$ , where  $I_0, \dots, I_n$  are distinct proper ideals of  $R$  and  $I_0 I_1 \cdots I_n \neq 0$ . Let  $S_{-1}(\mathcal{P})$  be a set consisting of one element. If there is no danger of ambiguity, we simply write  $S_n$  instead of  $S_n(\mathcal{P})$ . Observe that for each  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , there is a “face map”  $d_i^n : S_n \rightarrow S_{n-1}$  defined by  $d_i^n(I_0, \dots, I_n) = (I_0, \dots, I_i, \dots, I_n)$ . Moreover,  $S_0(\mathcal{P}) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $R$  is a field, so when  $R$  is not a field, there is a unique “augmentation” map  $S_0(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow S_{-1}(\mathcal{P})$ . Now for each  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\mathbb{Z}S_n$  be the free abelian group generated by  $S_n$ . We denote by  $[I_0, \dots, I_n]$  the basis element corresponding to  $(I_0, \dots, I_n) \in S_n$ . Likewise, the various face maps  $d_i^n$  extend  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linearly to maps  $d_i^n : \mathbb{Z}S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}S_{n-1}$ . Moreover, if  $S_0 \neq \emptyset$ , there is a unique  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map  $\epsilon : \mathbb{Z}S_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $(\sum n_i(I_i)) \mapsto \sum n_i$ . Thus, there is a semisimplicial abelian group:

$$d : (\mathbb{Z}S_n) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}S_{n-1}) \quad \begin{matrix} \downarrow \\ d_0^n \\ \downarrow \\ d_1^n \\ \vdots \\ \downarrow \\ d_n^n \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \downarrow \\ d_0^{n-1} \\ \downarrow \\ d_1^{n-1} \\ \vdots \\ \downarrow \\ d_n^{n-1} \end{matrix} \quad \epsilon : \mathbb{Z}S_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

with augmentation  $\epsilon : \mathbb{Z}S_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  if  $R$  is not a field.

This in turn gives rise to an (augmented) chain complex in the standard manner by taking an alternating sum of face maps. For each  $n \geq 0$ , define  $\partial_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i^n$ ; then we have a complex:









Let  $I$  and  $J$  be distinct proper ideals of  $R$ . Then  $[I]$  and  $[J]$  have the same class in  $H_0(R)$  if and only if  $I$  and  $J$  lie in the same connected component of the graph  $\Gamma_R$ .

{  
If  $I$  and  $J$  are in the same connected component of  $\Gamma_R$ , then there is some path  $I = I_0, I_1, \dots, I_n = J$  connecting  $I$  and  $J$ , where the  $I_i$  are ideals such that for each  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ ,  $I_i \not\subseteq I_{i+1}$ . This directly implies that  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [I_i - I_{i+1}]$  is an element of  $T_1$ , and by direct calculation we see that

$$0 \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [I_i - I_{i+1}] \right) = [I_0] - [I_n] = [I] - [J]$$

Hence  $[I] = [J]$  in  $H_0(R)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $[I]$  and  $[J]$  define the same class in  $H_0(R)$ . Then  $[I] - [J] = 0 \left( \sum_{i=0}^n [I_i - B_i] \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n [I_i] - [B_i]$  where  $I_i, B_i$  are distinct proper ideals of  $R$  and  $I_i \not\subseteq B_i$ . Let  $n$  be the smallest integer for which this is possible. We prove by induction on  $n$  that, after suitable reordering of the  $I_i$  and  $B_i$ , there is a path in  $\Gamma_R$  from  $I$  to  $J$ .

We may assume without loss of generality that  $I_0 = I$  and  $B_n = J$ . If  $B_0 = I$ , then  $I = J$  and we are done. Otherwise, assume  $B_0 \not\subseteq I$  that is,  $n > 0$ . Since

$$[I] - [J] = [I] - [B_0] + [B_0] - [I_1] + [I_1] - [B_1] + \dots + [I_n] - [B_n]$$

is a relation in a free abelian group, we may assume without loss of generality that  $I_1 \subseteq B_0$ . Then, adding  $[B_0] - [I]$  to both sides of this equation, we get

$$[B_0] - [I] + [I_1] - [B_1] + \dots + [I_n] - [B_n]$$

so by induction there is a path in  $\Gamma_R$  from  $B_0$  to  $J$ . Since  $I_0 \subseteq B_0$ , this means that  $I = I_0 \subseteq B_0$  is an edge in  $\Gamma_R$ , and hence that there is a path from  $I$  to  $J$ .

Let  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  be distinct proper ideals of  $R$  lying in tally distinct connected components of  $\Gamma_R$ . Then the class  $[I_1] + \dots + [I_n]$  is trivial in  $H_0(R)$ .

{  
If  $R$  is a field, the assertion is trivial. Otherwise, let  $C_1, \dots, C_r$  be the components of  $\Gamma_R$ . Suppose the class of  $\sum_{i=1}^r [I_i]$  in  $H_0(R)$  is 0. We may assume that each  $I_i$  lies in component  $C_i$  of  $\Gamma_R$ . Now

Let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer. An  $n$ -triangle  $T_1$  is said to be a circuit if there exist  $n$  elements  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  such that

$$[I_1 I_2] \cdots [I_{n-1} I_n] [I_n I_1]$$

A 3-triangle is said to be a triangle

Clearly the definition has been chosen to reflect the fact that in the above context,  $I_1 I_2 \cdots I_n I_1$  is a circuit in the graph  $H_{\mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{P}^r\mathbb{Z}}$ . The analysis of  $\text{Ker}_0$  proceeds by a sequence of lemmas.

$\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L}$  is an element of  $\text{Ker}_0$  if and only if

$$f_i = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k$$

where  $a_k$  is a circuit.

{

The proof is by induction on the number of symbols in  $f_i$ . If  $f_i = 0$ , the claim is clear.

Otherwise, let  $f_i = \sum_{j=1}^r [I_j B_j]$  with  $r$  chosen to be as small as possible. We may assume that there is no pair of integers  $j_1, j_2$ ,  $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq r$  such that  $I_{j_1} B_{j_2}$  and  $I_{j_2} B_{j_1}$ , for then we may use the relation  $[I_j B_j] = [I_j] [B_j]$  in  $T_1$  to simplify the expression for  $f_i$  and obtain a relation with smaller  $r$ .

Since  $f_i \in \text{Ker}_0$ , we have:

$$0 = \phi_0(f_i) = \phi_0\left(\sum_{j=1}^r [I_j B_j]\right) = \sum_{j=1}^r [I_j] \phi_0[B_j]$$

Since this is a relation in the (free abelian) group  $\mathcal{L}$ , it follows that there is some  $j$  such that  $B_1 = I_j$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $j = 2$ . By the previous discussion, it follows that  $B_1 \in \text{Ker}_0$ . Now it must be the case that there is some  $j$  such that  $B_2 = I_j$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $j = 3$ . Continue this procedure until one reaches  $s \leq r$  such that  $B_s = I_1$ . Then

$$f_{i_1} = [I_1 B_1] [B_1 B_2] \cdots [B_{s-2} B_{s-1}] [B_{s-1} I_1]$$

is a circuit in  $T_1$ . By induction,  $f_{i_1}$  is a sum of circuits in  $T_1$  hence  $f_i$  itself is a sum of circuits.

$\mathcal{L}$  is a circuit in  $T_1$  if and only if  $T_1 = T_1(\mathbb{Z}^r \oplus \mathbb{Z})$  as a set of triangles.



