# Submission Package Checklist

Enhancing Nitrate Removal in Denitrifying Woodchip Bioreactors

Reza Moghaddam<sup>1,\*</sup> and Laura E. Christianson<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Earth Sciences New Zealand

<sup>2</sup>Research Associate Professor, Department of Crop Sciences, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign \*Corresponding author: reza.moghaddam@niwa.co.nz

### 1 Main Submission Files

Document	Status	Description
revised_bioreactor_paper.pdf	<b>√</b>	Main manuscript (26 pages)
cover_letter.pdf	$\checkmark$	Comprehensive submission letter
highlights.pdf	$\checkmark$	Key research highlights (6 points)
response_to_reviewers.pdf	$\checkmark$	Round 2 revision response (5
		pages)

Table 1: Essential submission documents

## 2 Supporting Materials

File	Status	Purpose
revised_bioreactor_paper.tex	$\checkmark$	LaTeX source file
lit.bib	$\checkmark$	Bibliography (186+ references)
fig1-fig10_*.pdf	$\checkmark$	All manuscript figures
${\tt supplementary\_material.pdf}$	$\checkmark$	Additional supporting data

Table 2: Source files and supporting materials

## 3 Quality Verification

### 3.1 Manuscript Quality

- ✓ All citations verified against bibliography
- $\checkmark$  Critical citation error corrected (RN239  $\rightarrow$  RN632)
- $\checkmark$  Units standardized throughout (g N/m<sup>3</sup>/day)

Submission Checklist September 12, 2025

- ✓ Economic data standardized to 2023 USD
- ✓ All figures properly referenced and included
- ✓ LaTeX compiles without errors or warnings
- ✓ No fabricated data all values from authentic sources

#### 3.2 Content Completeness

- $\checkmark$  Abstract refined to  $\sim 150$  words
- ✓ Enhanced figure captions with equations and applications
- ✓ Greenhouse gas mitigation strategies added
- ✓ Economic analysis strengthened with lifecycle considerations
- ✓ MMRT temperature modeling discussion added
- ✓ Stakeholder recommendations condensed by 75%
- ✓ Developmental stage disclaimers added

### 4 Key Manuscript Metrics

Metric	Value
Total pages	26
Studies reviewed	70
References cited	186+
Figures included	10
Performance range (carbon dosing)	$5.1-8.6 \text{ g N/m}^3/\text{day}$
Performance range (alternative media)	$12.8-15.2 \text{ g N/m}^3/\text{day}$
Cost-effectiveness range	$10.56-86/kg\ N$
Temperature sensitivity range	$Q_{10} = 1.8 - 3.0$

Table 3: Key quantitative findings

### 5 Recent Revisions Summary

#### Round 2 Comprehensive Revisions Completed:

- 1. Fixed critical citation error for carbon dosing data
- 2. Standardized all removal rate units across sections
- 3. Enhanced figure captions with technical details and equations
- 4. Added comprehensive greenhouse gas mitigation strategies

Submission Checklist September 12, 2025

5. Strengthened economic analysis with additional literature support

- 6. Added advanced temperature modeling discussion (MMRT)
- 7. Condensed verbose stakeholder recommendations
- 8. Refined abstract for improved clarity and conciseness

### 6 Submission Declaration

We, the authors, declare that:

- $\checkmark$  This manuscript has not been published elsewhere
- ✓ This manuscript is not under consideration for publication in another journal
- ✓ All authors have approved the manuscript and agree to its submission
- ✓ All data presented is verified against authentic literature sources
- ✓ The manuscript adheres to ethical research standards
- ✓ All previous reviewer comments have been addressed

Submission Date: September 12, 2025

Package Prepared by: Reza Moghaddam & Laura E. Christianson

Status: READY FOR SUBMISSION