

# Lecture 5

Cezar Ionescu

15/06/2019

DEPARTMENT FOR  
CONTINUING  
EDUCATION



- Homework from 08/06/2019 due now!
- Please complete and hand in the declarations of authorship.

# Questions?

- McCulloch & Pitts 1943 *A Logical Calculus of the Ideas Immanent in Nervous Activity*

"neural events and the relations among them can be treated by

- The MC & P neuron had a number of boolean inputs, some positive and others negative. The neuron was activated if the number of active positive inputs was greater than the number of active negative inputs plus a "threshold":

`mc_p_neuron :  $\mathbb{R}$  -> ( $\{0, 1\}^n$ ,  $\{0, 1\}^m$ ) ->  $\{0, 1\}$`

`mc_p_neuron  $\theta$  (pos, neg) = if sum(pos) - sum(neg)  $\geq \theta$   
then 1 else 0`

Logical functions:

```
not : {0, 1} -> {0, 1}
```

```
not x = mc_p_neuron (-0.5) ([], [x])
```

```
and : ({0, 1}, {0, 1}) -> {0, 1}
```

```
and (x, y) = mc_p_neuron (-1.5) ([x, y], [])
```

# Perceptrons

- Frank Rosenblatt 1957
- An FR neuron had real-valued inputs and binary outputs. The output was a step function of the weighted sum of these inputs

$\text{fr\_neuron} : (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

$\text{fr\_neuron} ([w_1, \dots, w_n], \theta) [x_1, \dots, x_n] = \text{if } s \geq \theta \text{ then } 1$   
 $\text{else } -1$

$\text{where } s = w_1 * x_1 + \dots + w_n * x_n$

Logical functions:

# Perceptrons

The perceptron training rule:

$$w_i \leftarrow w_i + \eta * (t - o) * x_i$$



The case of xor:

Linear separability

Implementing xor

# Gradient descent

Why does the perceptron training rule work?

“Naive” gradient descent:

$$x \leftarrow x - \eta * \nabla f(x)$$

What is  $f$  in our case?

# Error functions

$t - o, |t - o|, (t - o)^2, (t - o)^4, \dots$

# Bayesian learning and error minimisation

**data:**  $(x_1, t_1), \dots, (x_n, t_n), x_i \in \mathbb{R}^m, t_i \in \mathbb{R}$

**hypothesis:**  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\text{map}} &= \operatorname{argmax} p(h \mid d) \\ &= \operatorname{argmax} p(d \mid h) * p(h) / p(d) \\ &= \operatorname{argmax} p(d \mid h) * p(h) \\ &\quad \text{-- assume } p(h) = \text{const} \\ &= \operatorname{argmax} p(d \mid h) \\ &= h_{\text{ml}} \end{aligned}$$

# Bayesian learning and error minimisation

$$\begin{aligned} p(d \mid h) &= p((x_1, t_1), \dots, (x_n, t_n) \mid w) \\ &= p(x_1, t_1 \mid w) * \dots * p(x_n, t_n \mid w) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ml} &= \operatorname{argmax} p(d \mid h) \\ &= \operatorname{argmax} \ln p(d \mid h) \\ &= \operatorname{argmax} \ln p(x_1, t_1 \mid w) + \dots + \ln p(x_n, t_n \mid w) \end{aligned}$$

# Bayesian learning and error minimisation

Assume the  $f(x_i, w)$  are normally distributed around the  $t_i$ , with the **same**  $\sigma$ :

$$p(x_i, t_i \mid w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 * \pi * \sigma^2}} \exp \left( - (t_i - f(x_i, w))^2 / (2 * \sigma^2) \right)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \ln p(x_i, t_i \mid w) &= \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 * \pi * \sigma^2}} - (t_i - f(x_i, w))^2 / (2 * \sigma^2) \\ &= k - (t_i - f(x_i, w))^2 / (2 * \sigma^2) \end{aligned}$$



# Bayesian learning and error minimisation

$$\begin{aligned}h_{ML} &= \operatorname{argmax} \ln p(x_1, t_1 | w) + \dots + \ln p(x_n, t_n | w) \\&= \operatorname{argmax} n * k - \sum (t_i - f(x_i, w))^2 / (2 * \sigma^2) \\&= \operatorname{argmax} - \sum (t_i - f(x_i, w))^2 \\&= \operatorname{argmin} \sum (t_i - f(x_i, w))^2\end{aligned}$$

# Bayesian learning and error minimisation

Therefore, the correct error to choose is the sum of squared errors...

...at least if:

- all hypothesis are equally likely a-priori,
- the errors are independent, and
- the errors have identical normal distributions.