

Lecture 1

Cezar Ionescu

11/05/2019

DEPARTMENT FOR
CONTINUING
EDUCATION



- course materials on GitHub
 - lectures notes will generally be available after the lecture, though drafts may appear before
 - exercises will also be posted on GitHub

Administrative matters

- register for CATS points
 - assessment: homework to be handed in at the next meeting (or sent to me by email, or to PPWeekly)
 - every piece of homework is pass or fail

Administrative matters

- course takes place Saturdays 10:00-12:30 at Ewert House
 - exceptions: **no class** on the **4th of May** and **1st of June!**

- main text: *Machine Learning*, Tom Mitchell, 1997
 - there are a couple of copies available in the ContEd library
 - you can buy it used for under 15 GBP on Amazon
 - but you should be able to complete the course using only the lecture notes

Types of learning

- types of learning:
 - by rote
 - conditioning
 - from experience
 - any others?

Why “machine learning”?

- reasons for *machine learning*:
 - programming is hard, it would be better if computers learnt by themselves
 - to study human learning (and intelligence)
 - perhaps we could then improve our own abilities to learn and to teach

Approaches to ML

- two main approaches:
 - modelling how we think and learn, without caring about the underlying physiological mechanisms
 - modelling the underlying physiological mechanism, without caring how they lead to thinking and learning

- **Definition** (Mitchell, p. 2) A computer program is said to **learn** from experience E with respect to some class of tasks T and performance measure P , if its performance at tasks in T , as measured by P , improves with experience E .

Sets and functions

Notation:

- $f : \text{In} \rightarrow \text{Out}$
- $f(x)$
- $x \in \text{In}, f(x) \in \text{Out}$

Black boxes versus functions

- is `Random.choice(seq)` a function?
- we use \mapsto instead of \rightarrow when we need to distinguish black boxes from “real” functions

Mathematical representation

- $\text{Task} = \text{In} \rightarrow \text{Out}$
- $\text{Experience} = \text{List } E$
- $\text{perf} : (\text{Task}, \text{List Out}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- $\text{learn} : \text{Experience} \rightarrow \text{Task}$
- for all $\text{ex}_1, \text{ex}_2, \text{ins}$, we have

$\text{perf} (\text{learn } \text{ex}_1) \text{ ins} \leq \text{perf} (\text{learn } (\text{es}_1 ++ \text{es}_2)) \text{ ins}$

Example: checkers learning

- Task: $\text{Play} = \text{Board} \rightarrow \text{Move}$
- Experience: $\text{Experience} = \text{Play} \rightarrow \text{List Game}$
 - $\text{play} : (\text{Play}, \text{Play}) \rightarrow \text{Game}$
 - the list of games is created by giving the play function the same argument *twice*
- Measure of performance: $\text{perf} : (\text{Play}, \text{List Play}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$\text{perf}(\text{learner}, [\text{adv}_1, \dots, \text{adv}_n]) =$
percentage $[\text{score}(\text{play}(\text{learner}, \text{adv}_1)), \dots, \text{score}(\text{play}(\text{learner}$

Example: self-driving car

- Task: $\text{Drive} = \text{Sensor} \rightarrow \text{Steer}$
- Experiences: $\text{Experience} = \text{List} (\text{Sensor}, \text{Steer})$
- Measure of performance: $\text{perf} : (\text{Drive}, \text{Itinerary}) \rightarrow \text{Time}$
 - $\text{perf} (\text{learner}, \text{itinerary})$ how long the learner drives along the given itinerary before making a mistake

- Give a similar interpretation for the handwriting recognition problem (Mitchell, page 3).

Concept learning

- idea: acquiring general concepts from examples
 - e.g., learn to recognise cats from images of animals
- what is a concept?
 - *nominalistic* view: the set of instances of the concept

Mathematical representation of concepts

- mathematically, we can identify a concept with a subset
 - e.g., X is the set of all images of animals, ' $C \subseteq X$ ' is the subset of images of cats
- subsets are in one-to-one correspondence with boolean-valued functions
 - $C \subseteq X$ can be replaced by $c : X \rightarrow \text{Bool}$ such that

$$\forall x \in X \quad c(x) = 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad x \in C$$

- Mitchell uses the functional view and defines:
 - **Concept learning:** inferring a boolean-valued function from training examples of input and output
- **Exercise:** Give an interpretation of concept learning as a learning task (i.e., identify the task, the experience, and the performance measure).

Notation

- the training data $\mathcal{D} = \{((x_1, c(x_1)) \dots, (x_n, c(x_n)))\}$
- the subset of negative training examples
 $\mathcal{D}_0 = \{(x, 0) \mid (x, 0) \in \mathcal{D}\}$
- the subset of positive training examples
 $\mathcal{D}_1 = \{(x, 1) \mid (x, 1) \in \mathcal{D}\}$

Example

- we want to learn the concept enjoyable : $\text{Day} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$
- days are described via *attributes*:
 - $\text{Day} = (\text{Sky}, \text{Temp}, \text{Humidity}, \text{Wind}, \text{Water}, \text{Forecast})$
 - $\text{Sky} = \{\text{Sunny}, \text{Cloudy}, \text{Rainy}\}$
 - $\text{Temp} = \{\text{Warm}, \text{Cold}\}$
 - $\text{Humidity} = \{\text{Normal}, \text{High}\}$
 - $\text{Wind} = \{\text{Strong}, \text{Weak}\}$
 - $\text{Water} = \{\text{Warm}, \text{Cool}\}$
 - $\text{Forecast} = \{\text{Same}, \text{Change}\}$

Training data

Nr	Sky	Temp	Humidity	Wind	Water	Forecast	Enjoyable
1	Sunny	Warm	Normal	Strong	Warm	Same	Yes
2	Sunny	Warm	High	Strong	Warm	Same	Yes
3	Rainy	Cold	High	Strong	Warm	Change	No
4	Sunny	Warm	High	Strong	Cool	Change	Yes

Two problems

- Day contains 96 elements; there are 2^{96} concepts.
- We can represent a concept by a lookup table, but not if it's too big!
- The training data does not suffice to determine the concept we are looking for.

- The two problems force us to make two decisions:
 - ① How to represent **some** of the concepts (the hypotheses space)
 - ② Which hypothesis to pick.

- The assumptions under which we manage to learn the correct concept form **the inductive bias**.

- Find-S solves problem 2 by choosing the *most specific* hypothesis that is consistent with the training data.
- Our hypothesis correspond to subsets. We have a natural ordering on subsets: \subseteq .

Find-S algorithm

```
-- input: training data  $\{(x_1, c(x_1)) \dots, (x_n, c(x_n))\}$ 
--          hypothesis set  $H$ 
h = min  $H$  -- set the current hypothesis  $h$  to "the" (or "a") smallest
for i in 1:n
    if  $c(x_i) = 0$ 
        then keep  $h$ 
    else if  $x_i \in h$  then keep  $h$ 
        else  $h = \min \{h' \in H \mid h \subseteq h' \text{ and } x_i \in h'\}$ 
-- output: "the" (or "a" most) specific hypothesis in  $H$  consistent
```

- If H contains all possible concepts, then the result of Find-S is D_1 .
- A bad situation for Find-S:
 - $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $H = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$, $D_1 = \{a\}$
- The choice of H can avoid these problems.

Find-S and the weather example

- Hypothesis space for the weather example:
 - each hypothesis is described by a tuple of $(\text{Sky}^*, \text{Temp}^*, \text{Humidity}^*, \text{Wind}^*, \text{Water}^*, \text{Forecast}^*)$, where $S^* = S \cup \{?, \emptyset\}$
 - notation: $s \sim s^*$ iff $s = s^*$ or $s^* = ?$ (s matches s^*)

Let h be described by $(s^*, t^*, u^*, w_i^*, w_a^*, f^*)$. Then

$h(s, t, u, w_i, w_a, f) = s \sim s^* \text{ and } t \sim t^* \text{ and } u \sim u^* \text{ and } w_i \sim w_i^*$