1.1 Conflict between users 1.2 Lack of coperation from users 1.3 Lack of top management support for the project 1.4 Lack of user participation 1.5 Lack or loss of organizational commitment to project 1.6 Users not committed to the project 1.7 Users resistant to change 1.8 Users with negative attitudes toward the project 1.9 Corporate politics with negative effect on project 1.1 Change in organizational management during project 1.2 Corporate politics with negative effect on project 1.3 Dependency on outside suppliers 1.4 Many external suppliers involved in the development project 1.5 Corpanisation undergoing restructuring during project 1.6 Users not committed to the project and project success criteria 1.7 Users resistant to change 1.8 Users with negative attitudes toward the project 1.9 Undefined project success criteria 1.9 Undefined project success criteria 1.9 Users lack understanding of system capabilities and limitations 1.0 Execution (#28) + 3 new GSD risks 1.1 Development team unfamiliar with selected development tools 1.2 Frequent conflicts among development team members 1.4 Change in organizational project understanding of system capabilities and limitations 1.1 Development tools 1.2 Frequent conflicts among development team members 1.3 Lack of to project sudget limitations 1.4 Change in organizational project understanding of system requirements 1.5 Users not committed to the project of users are requirements 1.6 Users not committed to the project of users are requirements 1.7 Users resistant to change 2.5 Ill-defined project goals 1.6 Uncertex system requirements 1.7 Users resistant to change 2.8 Unclear system requirements 1.9 Undefined project goals 1.9 Undefined project success criteria 1.9 Undefined project success criteria 1.9 Undefined project success criteria 1.9 Users lack understanding of system requirements 1.9 Undefined project success criteria 1.9 Users lack understanding of system requirements 1.9 Undefined project success criteria 1.9 Users lack understanding of system requirements 1.		Quad	rant 1: Customer Mandate (#8)	Quadrant 2: Scope and Requirements (#10)
The project success of regarizational commitment to project success of regarizational commitment system requirements success criteria system requirements success criteria suppliers success criteria success success criteria suppliers success criteria suppliers success success criteria success criteria success success criteria success criteria success success criteria success success criteria success success criteria success criteria success criteria success success criteria success criteri		Maria Sundania		
1.3 Lack of top management support for the project 1.4 Lack of user participation 1.5 Lack or loss of organizational commitment to project 1.6 Users not committed to the project 1.7 Users resistant to change 1.8 Users with negative attitudes toward the project 1.7 Users resistant to change 1.8 Users with negative attitudes toward the project 1.7 Users resistant to change 1.8 Users with negative attitudes toward the project 1.9 Undefined project success criteria 2.9 Undefined project success criteria 2.10 Users lack understanding of system capabilities and limitations 1.1 Change in organizational management during project 1.2 Corporate politics with negative effect on project 1.3 Dependency on outside suppliers 1.4 Many external suppliers involved in the development project 1.5 Organization undergoing restructuring during project 1.6 Resources shifted from the project due to changes in organizational priorities 1.7 Unstable organizational priorities 1.8 Users with negative effect on project 1.9 Undefined project success criteria 2.10 Users lack understanding of system capabilities and limitations 2.0 Cudardant 3: Execution (#28) + 3 new GSD risks 2.1 Development team unfamiliar with selected development team unfamiliar with selected development tools 2.1 Indequate 4: Environment team members 2.2 Frequent transver within the project team project 2.3 Selection (#28) + 3 new GSD risks 2.4 Environment transplantation of project team members 2.5 Frequent transver within the project team members 2.6 Immature technology 2.7 Indequate estimation of required resources 2.8 Undadated estimation of required resources 2.9 Undefined project goals 2.1 Evecution (#28) + 3 new GSD risks 2.1 Indequated estimation of project team members 2.2 Frequent transver within the project team members 2.3 Indequate estimation of project towards and immature technology 2.3 Indequated estimation of required resources 2.3 Indequate estimation of required resources 2.3 Indequate estimation of required resources 2.3 Indequate estimation of requir		7070		
The project success of organizational commitment to project success criteria substance				
ystem 1.5 Lack or loss of organizational commitment to project 1.6 Users not committed to the project 1.7 Users resistant to change 1.8 Users with negative attitudes toward the project 1.9 Users with negative attitudes toward the project 1.0 Users resistant to change 1.1 Users with negative attitudes toward the project 1.2 Users with negative attitudes toward the project 1.2 Users lack understanding of system requirements 1.3 Users lack understanding of system capabilities and limitations 1.4 Linew Lock of prozental politics with negative effect on project 1.5 Users lack understanding of system capabilities and limitations 1.5 Development team unfamiliar with selected development troils 1.5 Development troils 1.5 Development troils 1.6 Users lack understanding of system capabilities and limitations 1.7 Execution (#28) + 3 new GSD risks 1.8 Development troils 1.9 Development troils 1.0 Development troils 1.1 Development troils 1.2 Development team unfamiliar with selected development troils 1.2 Development troils 1.3 Dependency on outside suppliers 1.4 Many external suppliers involved in the development project 1.5 Users lack understanding of system requirements 1.1 Development troils 1.2 Development team unfamiliar with selected development troils 1.3 Levelopment team unfamiliar with selected development troils 1.3 Levelopment team unfamiliar with selected development troils 1.4 Many external suppliers involved in the development tream members 1.5 Ill-defined project success criteria 1.6 Undefined project success criteria 1.7 System requirements 1.7 System requirements 1.8 Undefined project success criteria 1.9 Undefined project success criteria 1.0 Undefined project success criteria 1.1 Development team unfamiliar with selected development team members 1.2 Development team unfamiliar with the project train and imitations 1.2 Development team unfamiliar with the project train and imitations 1.3 Levelopment team unfamiliar with the project train and imitations 1.4 Unstable organizational environment 1.5 Indicati				
Project  1.6 Users not committed to the project 1.7 Users resistant to change 1.8 Users with negative attitudes toward the project 1.7 Users resistant to change 1.8 Users with negative attitudes toward the project 1.9 Undefined project success criteria 1.10 Users lack understanding of system capabilities and limitations 1.1 Change in organizational management during project 1.2 Corporate politics with negative effect on project 1.3 Dependency on outside suppliers 1.4 Many external suppliers involved in the development project 1.5 Organisation undergoing restructuring during project 1.6 Resources shifted from the project due to changes in organizational priorities 1.7 Unstable organizational environment 1.8 Resources shifted from the project due to changes in organizational priorities 1.9 new: Country-specific regulations 1.9 new: Cock of architecture-organization alignment 1.11 new: Lock of architecture-organization alignment 1.12 new: Lock of orchitecture-organization alignment 1.13 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 1.14 new: Unck of prosess alignment 1.15 new: Under the project substance 1.16 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment 1.17 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 1.18 new: Unck of prosess alignment 1.19 new: Unck of prosess alignment 1.10 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 1.11 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 1.12 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 1.13 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 1.14 new: Unck of prosess alignment 1.15 new: Control project substance 1.16 new: Organization 1.17 organization of project substance 1.18 new: Control project substance 1.19 new: Deloys caused by global distance 1.10 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 1.11 new: Lock of prosess alignment 1.12 new: Lock of prosess alignment 1.13 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 1.14 new: Unck of prosess alignment 1.15 new: Lock of prosess alignment 1.16 new: Control project substance 1.17 new: Lock of prosess alignment 1.18 new: Lock of tool/inf		1.4		
Project  1.6 Users not committed to the project 1.7 Users resistant to change 1.8 Users with negative attitudes toward the project 1.7 Users resistant to change 1.8 Users with negative attitudes toward the project 1.9 Undefined project success criteria 1.10 Users lack understanding of system capabilities and limitations 1.1 Change in organizational management during project 1.2 Corporate politics with negative effect on project 1.3 Dependency on outside suppliers 1.4 Many external suppliers involved in the development project 1.5 Organisation undergoing restructuring during project 1.6 Resources shifted from the project due to changes in organizational priorities 1.7 Unstable organizational environment 1.8 Resources shifted from the project due to changes in organizational priorities 1.9 new: Country-specific regulations 1.9 new: Cock of architecture-organization alignment 1.11 new: Lock of architecture-organization alignment 1.12 new: Lock of orchitecture-organization alignment 1.13 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 1.14 new: Unck of prosess alignment 1.15 new: Under the project substance 1.16 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment 1.17 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 1.18 new: Unck of prosess alignment 1.19 new: Unck of prosess alignment 1.10 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 1.11 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 1.12 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 1.13 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 1.14 new: Unck of prosess alignment 1.15 new: Control project substance 1.16 new: Organization 1.17 organization of project substance 1.18 new: Control project substance 1.19 new: Deloys caused by global distance 1.10 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 1.11 new: Lock of prosess alignment 1.12 new: Lock of prosess alignment 1.13 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 1.14 new: Unck of prosess alignment 1.15 new: Lock of prosess alignment 1.16 new: Control project substance 1.17 new: Lock of prosess alignment 1.18 new: Lock of tool/inf	}	1.5	Lack or loss of organizational commitment to	2.5 III-defined project goals
Users resistant to change  1.8 Users with negative attitudes toward the project  2.9 Undefined project success criteria 2.10 Users lack understanding of system capabilities and limitations  Quadrant 4: Environment (#7) + 7 new GSD risks  4.1 Change in organizational management during project 4.2 Corporate politics with negative effect on project 4.3 Dependency on outside suppliers 4.4 Many external suppliers involved in the development project 4.5 Organisation undergoing restructuring during project 4.6 Resources shifted from the project due to changes in organizational priorities 4.7 Unstable organizational priorities 4.9 new: Delays caused by global distance 4.10 new: Lack of process olignment 4.11 new: Lack of process olignment 4.12 new: Lack of process olignment 4.13 new: Lack of process olignment 4.14 new: Lack of process olignment 4.15 new: Lack of process olignment 4.16 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment 4.17 Unstable contry/regional political/economic environment 4.18 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.19 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.11 new: Lack of tool-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing 4.12 new: Lack of tool-infrastructure alignment 4.13 new: Lack of tool-infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Charage country specific regulations 4.15 new: Lack of tool-infrastructure alignment 4.16 new: Lack of tool-infrastructure alignment 4.17 new: Lack of tool-infrastructure alignment 4.18 new: Lack of tool-infrastructure alignment 4.19 new: Lack of tool-infrastructure alignment 4.11 new: Lack of tool-infrastructure alignment 4.12 new: Lack of tool-infrastructure alignment 4.13 new: Lack of tool-infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Charage ch	7		project	2.6 Incorrect system requirements
Quadrant 4: Environment (#7) + 7 new GSD risks  4.1 Change in organizational management during project  4.2 Corporate politics with negative effect on project  4.3 Dependency on outside suppliers  4.4 Many external suppliers involved in the development project  4.5 Organisation undergoing restructuring during project  4.6 Resources shifted from the project due to changes in organizational priorities  4.7 Unstable organizational priorities and new: Country-specific regulations  4.9 new: Deck of process olignment  4.11 new: Lock of face-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing  4.12 new: Lock of foce-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing  4.13 new: Lock of foce-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing  4.14 new: Lock of foce-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing  4.15 new: Lock of foce-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing  4.16 new: Lock of process olignment  4.17 new: Lock of foce-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing  4.18 new: Lock of foce-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing  4.19 new: Lock of process olignment  4.11 new: Lock of toching/ristructure alignment  4.12 new: Lock of process olignment  4.13 new: Lock of toching/ristructure alignment  4.14 new: Lock of toching/ristructure alignment  4.15 new: Lock of toching-face transport team  3.16 leages under of links to other systems required  3.18 Large number of links to other systems required  3.19 Negative attitudes by development team  3.10 new indefined project success criteria  3.10 Development team unfamiliar with selected development team  3.10 new indefined project team  3.11 linksperiation of project budget imadequate estimation of project budget imadequate estimation of required resources  3.10 inadequate estimation of project budget imadequate estimation of required resources  3.11 leach organizational profities  3.12 inexperienced team members  3.13 leach or a flective project manager  3.14 inexperienced team members  3.15 Lack of an effective project manager  3.16 leach or a flective proj			The state of the s	
Quadrant 4: Environment (#7) + 7 new GSD risks  4.1 Change in organizational management during project  4.2 Corporate politics with negative effect on project  4.3 Dependency on outside suppliers  4.4 Many external suppliers involved in the development project  4.5 Organization undergoing restructuring during project  4.6 Resources shifted from the project due to changes in organizational priorities  4.7 Unstable organizational environment  4.8 new: Country-specific regulations  4.9 new: Delays caused by global distance  4.10 new: Lack of fore-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing  4.11 new: Lack of foce-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing  4.12 new: Usck of process alignment  4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.14 new: Usck of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.15 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.16 new: Usck of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.17 new: Usck of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.18 new: Country/regional political/economic environment  4.19 new: Usck of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.10 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.11 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.12 new: Usck of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.14 new: Usck of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.15 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.16 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.17 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.18 new: Usck of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.19 new: Usck of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.10 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.11 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.12 new: Usck of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.14 new: Usck of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.15 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.16 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.17 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.18 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.19 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment  4.10 ne		1.7	Users resistant to change	
Quadrant 4: Environment (#7) + 7 new GSD risks  4.1 Change in organizational management during project  4.2 Corporate politics with negative effect on project  4.3 Dependency on outside suppliers  4.4 Many external suppliers involved in the development project  4.5 Organisation undergoing restructuring during project  4.6 Resources shifted from the project due to changes in organizational priorities  4.7 Unstable organizational environment  4.8 new: Country-specific regulations  4.9 new: Delays caused by global distance  4.10 new: Lock of architecture-organization alignment  4.11 new: Lock of groce-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing  4.12 new: Lock of process alignment  4.13 new: Lock of process alignment  4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  4.16 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  4.17 new: Lock of process of the process o		1.8	LEADER CONTROL TO	
Quadrant 4: Environment (#7) + 7 new GSD risks  4.1 Change in organizational management during project 4.2 Corporate politics with negative effect on project 4.3 Dependency on outside suppliers development project team members 4.4 Many external suppliers involved in the development project 4.5 Organisation undergoing restructuring during project 4.6 Resources shifted from the project due to changes in organizational environment 4.8 new: Country-specific regulations 4.9 new: Deck of profest environment 4.10 new: Lock of process diignment 4.11 new: Lock of process diignment 4.12 new: Lock of process diignment 4.14 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.15 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.16 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.17 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.18 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.19 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.10 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.11 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.12 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.13 reve lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment 4.17 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.18 reve lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.19 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.10 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.11 new: Instable country/regional political/economic environment 4.12 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.13 new lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Instable country/regional political/economic environment 4.15 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.16 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.17 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.18 new: Instable country/regional political/economic environment 4.19 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.10 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.11 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.12 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.13 new: Lock of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Lo			project	
Part of the project series series shifted from the project due to changes in organizational priorities series shifted from the project due to changes in organizational environment series shifted from the project due to changes in organizational environment showled in the development tools of project series shifted from the project due to changes in organizational environment showled a series shifted from the project due to changes in organizational environment showled a series shifted from the project due to changes in organizational environment showled as the series of the series shifted from the project due to changes in organizational environment showled as the series of the s	2			limitations
Part of the project series series shifted from the project due to changes in organizational priorities series shifted from the project due to changes in organizational environment series shifted from the project due to changes in organizational environment showled in the development tools of project series shifted from the project due to changes in organizational environment showled a series shifted from the project due to changes in organizational environment showled a series shifted from the project due to changes in organizational environment showled as the series of the series shifted from the project due to changes in organizational environment showled as the series of the s	is.	Quad	rant 4: Environment (#7) + 7 new GSD	Quadrant 3: Execution (#28) + 3 new GSD risks
4.10 new: Lack of architecture-organization alignment 4.11 new: Lack of face-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing 4.12 new: Lack of process alignment 4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  4.15 por project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective coordination	<u>_</u>	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		
4.10 new: Lack of architecture-organization alignment 4.11 new: Lack of face-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing 4.12 new: Lack of process alignment 4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  4.15 por project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective coordination	•		Change in organizational management during	
4.10 new: Lack of architecture-organization alignment 4.11 new: Lack of face-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing 4.12 new: Lack of process alignment 4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  4.15 por project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective coordination	8	71.5		
4.10 new: Lack of architecture-organization alignment 4.11 new: Lack of face-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing 4.12 new: Lack of process alignment 4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  4.15 por project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective coordination	e	4.2		3.3 Frequent turnover within the project team
4.10 new: Lack of architecture-organization alignment 4.11 new: Lack of face-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing 4.12 new: Lack of process alignment 4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  4.15 por project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective coordination	Ę			3.4 High level of technical complexity
4.10 new: Lack of architecture-organization alignment 4.11 new: Lack of face-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing 4.12 new: Lack of process alignment 4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  4.15 por project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective coordination	<u>e</u>	4.3	Dependency on outside suppliers	
4.10 new: Lack of architecture-organization alignment 4.11 new: Lack of face-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing 4.12 new: Lack of process alignment 4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  4.15 por project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective coordination	.⊑	4.4	Many external suppliers involved in the	CONTROL OF THE CONTRO
4.10 new: Lack of architecture-organization alignment 4.11 new: Lack of face-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing 4.12 new: Lack of process alignment 4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  4.15 por project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project milostones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective coardination	Ne.		A CONTRACTOR OF	
4.10 new: Lack of architecture-organization alignment 4.11 new: Lack of face-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing 4.12 new: Lack of process alignment 4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  4.15 por project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project milostones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective coardination	ati	4.5		
4.10 new: Lack of architecture-organization alignment 4.11 new: Lack of face-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing 4.12 new: Lack of process alignment 4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  4.15 por project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project milostones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective coardination	힏	2000	T-10-E-10-11	
4.10 new: Lack of architecture-organization alignment 4.11 new: Lack of face-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing 4.12 new: Lack of process alignment 4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  4.15 por project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project milostones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective coardination	T	4.6		
4.10 new: Lack of architecture-organization alignment 4.11 new: Lack of face-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing 4.12 new: Lack of process alignment 4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  4.15 por project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project milostones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective coardination	Š	4.7		
4.10 new: Lack of architecture-organization alignment 4.11 new: Lack of face-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing 4.12 new: Lack of process alignment 4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  4.15 por project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project milostones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective coardination	9			
4.10 new: Lack of architecture-organization alignment 4.11 new: Lack of face-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing 4.12 new: Lack of process alignment 4.13 new: Lack of tool/infrastructure alignment 4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  4.15 por project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project milostones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective coardination	e			
4.11 new: Lack of face-to-face interaction inhibits knowledge sharing 4.12 new: Lack of process alignment 4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment 4.15 project affects a large number of links to other systems required 4.16 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment 4.17 project planning 3.20 One of the largest projects attempted by the organization 3.21 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.22 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project involves use of technology that has not been used in prior projects 3.25 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective condination	4			3.15 Lack of an effective project management methodology
4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  3.20 One of the largest projects attempted by the organization 3.21 Poor project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project involves use of technology that has not been used in prior projects 3.25 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective condination	1	=		3.16 Lack of commitment to the project among development
4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  3.20 One of the largest projects attempted by the organization 3.21 Poor project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project involves use of technology that has not been used in prior projects 3.25 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective condination		\$ 4.11	new: Lack of face-to-face interaction inhibits	
4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  3.20 One of the largest projects attempted by the organization 3.21 Poor project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project involves use of technology that has not been used in prior projects 3.25 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective condination	,	<u> </u>	knowledge sharing	
4.14 new: Unstable country/regional political/economic environment  3.20 One of the largest projects attempted by the organization 3.21 Poor project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project involves use of technology that has not been used in prior projects 3.25 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective condination	- 7	5 4.12		
political/economic environment  3.21 Poor project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project involves use of technology that has not been used in prior projects 3.25 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective condination				
3.21 Poor project planning 3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project involves use of technology that has not been used in prior projects 3.25 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective condination		4.14		
3.22 Project affects a large number of user departments or units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project involves use of technology that has not been used in prior projects 3.25 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective collaboration 3.30 new: Ineffective coordination			political/economic environment	
units 3.23 Project involves the use of new technology 3.24 Project involves use of technology that has not been used in prior projects 3.25 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective collaboration 3.30 new: Ineffective coordination				
3.24 Project involves use of technology that has not been used in prior projects 3.25 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective collaboration 3.30 new: Ineffective coordination				units
used in prior projects  3.25 Project milestones not clearly defined  3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough  3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project  3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated  3.29 new: Ineffective collaboration  3.30 new: Ineffective coordination				3.23 Project involves the use of new technology
3.25 Project milestones not clearly defined 3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective collaboration 3.30 new: Ineffective coordination				
3.26 Project progress not monitored closely enough 3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective collaboration 3.30 new: Ineffective coordination				
3.27 Team members lack specialized skills required by the project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective collaboration 3.30 new: Ineffective coordination				
project 3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective collaboration 3.30 new: Ineffective coordination				
3.28 Team members not familiar with the task(s) being automated 3.29 new: Ineffective collaboration 3.30 new: Ineffective coordination				
automated 3.29 new: Ineffective collaboration 3.30 new: Ineffective coordination				
3.29 new: Ineffective collaboration 3.30 new: Ineffective coordination				
3.31 new: Lack of trust				3.30 new: Ineffective coordination
				3.31 new: Lack of trust
10000 TROOM			452345	120/20
LOW	HIGH			
Developed Land of Control				
Perceived Level of Control				

Fig. D1. GSD Risk Catalogue presented in Beecham et al. [4]