## **Supplemental Materials for**

## Nonlinear Regression Models and Applications in Agricultural Research

Sotirios V. Archontoulis and Fernando E. Miguez

Published in Agronomy Journal 105: 1–13 (2013)

doi:10.2134/agronj2012.0506

## Supplemental tables with nonlinear equations and the associate parameter meaning

Table S1 – Group I (exponential)

Table S2 – Group II (sigmoid)

Table S3 – Group III (photosynthesis)

Table S4 – Group IV (temperature dependencies)

Table S5 – Group V (bell shape or peak functions)

Table S6 – Group VI (others)

Table S.1 – Equations derived from the exponential equation. Numbering continues from Group I of the Table 1. Placing the parameters and variables together always indicates multiplication (e.g.  $kt = k \times t$ ).  $e = \exp$ . Name and/or Form Parameter meaning Reference  $Y = fY_0e^{-k_1t} + (1-f)Y_0e^{-k_2t}$ 1.3 Parallel or double first Y is the response variable, order t is the explanatory variable (e.g. time),  $Y = f_1 Y_o e^{-k_1 t} + f_2 Y_o e^{-k_2 t} + (1 - f_1 - f_2) Y_o e^{-k_3 t}$   $Y = f Y_o e^{-k_1 t} + (1 - f) Y_o \left[ e^{-k_2 t} + \frac{k_2}{k_1 - k_2} (e^{-k_2 t} - e^{-k_1 t}) \right]$ 1.4 Triple first order  $Y_0$  is the initial or maximum Y value, k,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are rate constants that determine the 1.5 Consecutive first order steepness of the curve (units: time<sup>-1</sup>) (Andren and Paustian  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  are fractions (range: 0–1) that determine the 1987)  $Y = Y_{o}(1 - e^{-kt}) + bt$ size of each pool First order plus linear 1.6 b is a rate constant for the linear component (Gills and Price 2011)  $Y = Y_o f(1 - e^{-kt}) + \frac{Y_o(1 - f)}{1 + e^{-\frac{t - t_i}{t_d}}}$  $t_i$  is the infection point for the logistic component First order plus logistic 1.7 (units: time), (Gills and Price 2011) t<sub>d</sub> is the distance from infection point to ¾ maximum (units: time)  $Y_{LAI}$  and  $Y_{N}$  are the response variables (leaf area index Leaf area index  $Y_{LAI} = \frac{1}{k_n} ln \left( 1 + \frac{k_n X_N}{n_h} \right)$ 1.8 nitrogen limited and nitrogen content, respectively), equation  $X_N$  and  $X_{IAI}$  are the explanatory variables (canopy nitrogen content and leaf area index, respectively), Yin et al (2000, 2003a)  $Y_N = Y_0 - (Y_0 - n_h)(1 - e^{-k_n X_{LAI}})^c$ Protein or nitrogen  $k_0$  is the rate constant determines the steepness of the 1.9 distribution within curve (also called nitrogen extinction coefficient),  $n_{\rm h}$  is the minimum value of nitrogen at or below which plant canopies leaf photosynthesis under saturated light conditions is (Johnson et al., 2010) zero (usually  $n_b$  ranges from 0.25 to 0.80; see review by Archontoulis et al., 2012),  $Y_0$  is the initial or maximum Y value, c is a dimensionless coefficient  $Y = e^{-P_{sen}(X - \overline{P_c})}$ 1.10 Photoperiodic Y is the response variable, X is the explanatory variable (photoperiod), sensitivity P<sub>sen</sub> is the photoperiod sensitivity coefficient being (Wang and Engel, 1998) positive for short-days plants and negative for long days plants, P<sub>c</sub> is the critical day-length, around 11 h for short days and 18 hours for long days  $Y = Y_c + (Y_0 - Y_c)e^{-kt}$ Y is the response variable (infiltration capacity, mm/h), 1.11 Horton (1940) model for water infiltration t is the explanatory variable (time, hours), k is the rate constant representing the rate of decrease,

_		
		Y <sub>c</sub> indicates a final or equilibrium capacity (mm/h)
		Y <sub>o</sub> indicates the initial infiltration capacity (mm/h)

		·	Table 1. Placing the parameters and variables together always
indicates multiplication (e.g. $kt = k \times t$ ). e = exp.			
(#)	Name and/or	Form	Parameter meaning & application
	Reference		
Differe	nt version of the logistic e		
2.7	5-parameter	$Y = Y_{low} + \frac{Y_{asym}}{[1 + e^{-k(t - t_m)}]^c}$ $Y = Y_{low} + \frac{Y_{asym}}{1 + e^{-k(t - t_m)}}$ $Y = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-k(t - t_m)}}$ $Y = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(t - t_m)}}$	Y is the response variable (e.g. biomass), t is the explanatory variable (e.g. time),
2.8	4-parameter	$Y = Y_{low} + \frac{Y_{asym}}{1 + e^{-k(t - t_m)}}$	$Y_{\text{asym}}$ is the asymptotic $Y$ value, $Y_{\text{low}}$ is the initial $Y$ value,
2.9	2-parameter	$Y = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-k(t - t_m)}}$	$t_{\rm m}$ is the infection point at which the growth rate is maximum, $k$ determines the steepness of the curve,
2.10	1-parameter	$Y = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(t - t_m)}}$	c is a shape parameter
Differe	nt versions of the beta fur		
2.11	5-parameter; for cases when initial phase is important; Yin et al., 2003	$Y = Y_b + (Y_{max} - Y_b) \left( 1 + \frac{t_e - t}{t_e - t_m} \right) \left( \frac{t - t_b}{t_e - t_b} \right)^{\frac{t_e - t_b}{t_e - t_m}}$	$Y$ is the response variable, $t$ is the explanatory variable (e.g. time), $Y_{\rm max}$ is the maximum $Y$ value, $t_{\rm m}$ is the infection point at which growth rate is maximized,
2.12	2-parameter; for cases when maximum growth rate achieved at the beginning of growth; Yin et al., 2003	$Y = Y_{max}(2t_e - t)\frac{t}{t_e^2}$	$t_{\rm e}$ is the $t$ value (time) when $Y=Y_{\rm max}$ , $t_{\rm b}$ is the $t$ value when growth starts, $Y_{\rm b}$ is the $Y$ value at time $t_{\rm b}$
2.13	2-parameter; for cases when maximum growth achieved towards the end of growth period; Yin et al., 2003	$Y = Y_{max}(3t_e - 2t)\frac{t^2}{t_e^3}$	
2.14	3-parameter; Applied to describe leaf senescence; Yin et al., 2009	$Y = Y_{max} \left[ 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{t_e - t}{t_e - t_m} \right) \left( \frac{t}{t_e} \right)^{\frac{t_e}{t_e - t_m}} \right]$	

Expolin	ear functions for plant gr	owth analysis	
2.15	Expolinear (Goudriaan and Monteith 1990)	$Y = \frac{c_m}{r_m} ln \left[ 1 + e^{r_m(t - t_o)} \right]$	Y is the response variable (e.g. biomass), t is the explanatory variable (time), $c_m$ is the maximum growth rate in the linear phase,
2.16	Symmetrical Expolinear (Goudriaan 1994)	$Y = \frac{c_m}{r_m} \ln \left[ \frac{1 + e^{r_m(t - t_o)}}{1 + e^{r_m(t - t_o - \frac{Y_{max}}{c_m})}} \right]$	$r_{\rm m}$ is the maximum relative growth rate in the exponential phase, $t_{\rm o}$ is the time when growth begins, $Y_{\rm max}$ is the maximum $Y$ value
	nal generic sigmoid funct	ions	
2.17	Levakovic (cited in Zeide, 1993)	$Y = Y_{asym} \left( \frac{t^d}{\beta + t^d} \right)^c$	Y is the response variable (e.g. biomass), t is the explanatory variable (time),
2.18	Korf (cited in Zeide, 1993)	usym	Y <sub>asym</sub> is the asymptotic Y value,  8 and c determine the start of growth and the inflection point, d controls the shape of the curve,
2.19	Special Von Bertalanffly, 1938 <sup>c</sup>	$Y = Y_{asym} \left( 1 - e^{-k(t - t_0)} \right)$	Y is the response variable (e.g. biomass), t is the explanatory variable (time), Y <sub>asym</sub> is the asymptotic maximum Y value
2.20	Generalized Von Bertalanffly, 1938 <sup>c</sup>	$Y = Y_{asym} \left(1 - e^{-k(t - t_0)}\right)^{v}$	$t_0$ is the time when growth begins, $t_{50}$ is the time when Y = ½ of Y <sub>asym</sub> , k controls the steepness of the curve
2.21	Log-logistic distribution (Ritz et al., 2013)	$Y = \frac{Y_{asym}}{1 + exp[k\{\log(t) - \log(t_{50})\}]} = \frac{Y_{asym}}{1 + \left(\frac{t}{t_{50}}\right)^k}$	v controls the asymmetry and the shape of the curve (for v=1, 2.20 becomes 2.19)
Inverse	sigmoid functions used to	o quantify water retention curves <sup>d</sup>	
2.22	Van Genuchten (1980)	$\theta_h = \theta_r + \frac{\theta_s - \theta_r}{\left[1 + (ah)^n\right]^{1 - \frac{1}{n}}}$ $\theta_h = \theta_r + \frac{\theta_s - \theta_r}{1 + (ah)^n}$ $\theta_h = \theta_r + \frac{\theta_s - \theta_r}{(ah)^n}$	$\theta_{\psi}$ is the volumetric water content (mm/mm), h is the matric potential (kPa)
2.23	Gardner (1958)	$\theta_h = \theta_r + \frac{\theta_s - \theta_r}{1 + (ah)^n}$	$\theta_r$ is the residual water content (mm/mm), $\theta_s$ is the saturated water content (mm/mm), $\alpha$ is the inverse of the air entry suction ( $\alpha$ >0),
2.24	Brooks and Corey (1964)	` '	n is a measure of the poor-size distribution (n>1)
2.25	Campbell (1974)	$\theta_h = \theta_s(ah)^n$	
· +ha 2	narameter legistic equat	ion is given in Table 1 (see equation 2.1)	

a: the 3-parameter logistic equation is given in Table 1 (see equation 2.1)
b: For additional versions of the beta growth function see Yin et al (2003b)
c: for a comparison of the two Von Bertalanffly (1938) models see Urban, 2002
d: The R-package 'HydroMe' includes the listed water retention equations (see Omuto and Gumbe, 2009)

Table S.3 – Additional equations for photosynthesis. Numbering continues from Group III of the Table 1. Placing the parameters and variables together always indicates multiplication (e.g.  $kt = k \times t$ ). e = exp. Name and/or Reference Parameter meaning & application  $Y = aI \frac{1 - \beta I}{1 + \gamma I} - R_d$ Y is the response variable (net photosynthesis), 3.7 Modified rectangular hyperbola proposed to describe photosynthetic *I* is the explanatory variable (irradiance), a is the slope of the curve when I equals zero, reduction at over-saturated irradiance  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$  are coefficients with no clear (biological) meaning levels (e.g. photorespiration) (Ye and Zhao, 2010)  $Y = Y_{asym} \frac{N - N_{min}}{N + k}$ Modified hyperbola used to describe Y is the response variable (light saturated net 3.8 light saturated photosynthesis photosynthesis), N is the explanatory variable (leaf nitrogen), response to nitrogen Y<sub>asym</sub> is the asymptotic maximum Y value, (del Pozo & Dennett 1999) k determines the curvature of the curve, N<sub>min</sub> is the N value at or below which Y=0 Weibull type sigmoid function used to  $Y = Y_{asym} e^{\left[ -\left(\frac{-\Psi_L}{d}\right)^{\overline{b}}\right]}$ Y is the response variable (e.g. carboxylation rate), 3.9 assess water stress effects on  $\Psi_{\rm L}$  is the explanatory variable (water potential), Y<sub>asym</sub> is the asymptotic Y value, photosynthesis b and d determine the shape of the curve, (Vico and Porporato, 2008)

Table 5.4 – Additional equations for temperature dependencies. Numbering continues from Group IV of the Table 1. Placing the parameters and			
variables together always indicates multiplication (e.g. $kt = k \times t$ ). $e = exp$ .			
(#)	Name and/or Reference	Form	Parameter meaning & application
4.6	Power	$Y = \left(\frac{T}{T_O}\right)^C$	Y is the response variable,
	(e.g. Shibu et al., 2006)	$I - \left(\frac{T}{T_o}\right)$	T is the explanatory variable (temperature),
4.7	Logarithmic	Y = a + bln(T)	$T_{\rm o}$ is the optimum temperature for maximizing $Y_{\rm o}$
	(e.g. Foereid et al., 2011)		c is a shape factor (usually $c=2$ ),
4.8	Exponential	$Y = ae^{bT}$	a determines the magnitude of the Y value,
	(e.g. Shibu et al., 2006)		b determines the shape of the curve
4.9 <sup>a</sup>	Modified exponential	$Y = \rho^{\left[a + bT\left(1 - 0.5 \frac{T}{T_o}\right)\right]}$	
	(O'Connell 1990)	$Y = e^{\left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right]}$	
4.10	Bell-shaped		Y is the response variable (e.g. photosynthesis),
	3-parameter;		T is the explanatory variable (temperature),
	Used to describe	$\int_{C} \int_{C} (1+c)T_{o} - T_{min} - cT \setminus \int_{C} T - T_{min} \setminus^{c}$	$T_{\text{ref}}$ is a reference temperature at which $Y=1$ ,
	photosynthesis response to	$Y = \left(\frac{(1+c)T_o - T_{min} - cT}{(1+c)T_o - T_{min} - cT_{ref}}\right) \left(\frac{T - T_{min}}{T_{ref} - T_{min}}\right)^c$	$T_{\min}$ is the minimum temperature for Y=0,
	temperature	nun rej / (rej mun)	$T_{\rm o}$ is the optimum temperature for maximum $Y_{\rm o}$

c is a shape factor

<sup>a</sup>: Eq. 4.9 follows an increasing and decreasing pattern like the modified Arrhenius equation (see Eq. 4.3 in Table 1, Fig. 2). It was used by Kirschbaum (1995) to describe soil organic matter decomposition as a function of temperature.

(Johnson et al., 2010)

Table S.5 – Additional peak or bell shape equations. Numbering continues from Group V of the Table 1. Placing the parameters and variables together always indicates multiplication (e.g.  $kt = k \times t$ ).  $e = \exp$ .

5	together diways maicates main leag. Ke - K + ty. e - exp.				
(#)	Name and/or Reference	Form	Parameter meaning & application		
5.4 <sup>a</sup>	Lorentzian 3-parameter	$Y = \frac{a}{1 + \left(\frac{X - X_o}{h}\right)^2}$	Y is the response variable, X is the explanatory variable, $Y_0$ is the initial Y value,		
5.5ª	Lorentzian 4-parameter	$Y = Y_o + \frac{a}{1 + \left(\frac{X - X_o}{b}\right)^2}$	$X_0$ is the position of the center of the peak, $a$ and $b$ are coefficients controlling the		
5.6 <sup>b</sup>	Log Normal 3-parameter	height and width of the peak $c$ determines the number of peak for one peak)	c determines the number of peaks (0 < c < 1		
5.7	Pseudo-Voigt 4-parameters	$Y = a \left[ \frac{c}{1 + \left(\frac{X - X_o}{b}\right)^2} + (1 - c)e^{-0.5\left(\frac{X - X_o}{b}\right)^2} \right]$			
a. conc	a, condition h > 1				

<sup>a</sup>: condition b > 1

b: condition 0 < b < 1

Table S.6 – Additional equations. Numbering continues from Group VI of the Table 1. Placing the parameters and variables together always indicates multiplication (e.g.  $kt = k \times t$ ).

(#)	Name and/or Reference	Form	Parameter meaning & application
6.6ª	Holling type IV (e.g. Bolker 2008)	$Y = \frac{Y_{asym}X^2}{b + cX + X^2}$	Y is the response variable, X is the explanatory variable,
6.7 <sup>b</sup>	Shepherd (e.g. Bolker 2008)	$Y = \frac{Y_{asym}X}{b + X^c}$	Y <sub>asym</sub> is the asymptotic Y value, b and c define the position of the peak and the shape of the curve,
6.8 <sup>b</sup>	Hassell (e.g. Bolker 2008)	$Y = \frac{Y_{asym}X}{(b+X)^c}$	$Y_0$ is the initial or maximum $Y$ value
6.9 <sup>c</sup>	2 parameter exponent (e.g. Ratkowsky 1993)	$Y = Y_o b^X$	
6.10	Monod kinetics	$Y = \frac{\mu X}{X + C_{sat}}  B$	Y is the response variable (e.g. mineralization rate), X is the explanatory variable, the substrate (e.g. OC), $\mu$ is the rate constant, $C_{\text{sat}}$ is the half-saturation constant, B is the rate of microorganisms (e.g. size of microbial biomass), If B=1 then Monod becomes M-M equation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>: if c < 0 the equation takes a peak form; the peak occurs at X = -2b/c. This function is called rational function because expresses the ratio of two polynomial functions. It can also take a S-shape (see Bolker, 2008)

b: if c > 0 then equation takes a peak form

<sup>°:</sup> if b > 1 then Y increases; if b < 1 then Y decreases.

## References

- Andren, O., and K. Oaustian. 1987. Barley straw decomposition in the field: a comparison of models. Ecology 68: 1190–1200.
- Bolker, B.M. 2008. Ecological models and data in R. Princeton University Press.
- Brooks, R.H., and A.T. Corey. 1964. Hydraulic properties of porous medium. Hydrology Paper 3, Colorado State University, USA, 27pp
- del Pozo, A. and M.D. Dennett. 1990. Analysis of the distribution of light, leaf nitrogen, and photosynthesis within the canopy of *Vicia faba* L. at two contrasting plant densities. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 50: 183–189.
- Campbell, G.S. 1974. A simple method for determining unsaturated conductivity from moisture retention data. Soil Science 117: 311–314.
- Gardner, W. 1958. Some steady state solutions of the unsaturated moisture flow equation with application to evaporation from a water table. Soil Science 85: 228–232.
- Gills, J.D., and G.W. Price. 2011. Comparison of a novel model to three conventional models describing carbon mineralization from soil amended with organic residues. Geoderma 160: 304–310.
- Goudriaan, J., and J.L. Monteith. 1990. A mathematical function for crop growth based on light interception and leaf area expansion. Annals of Botany 66: 695–701.
- Goudriaan, J., 1994. Using the expolinear growth equation to analyze resource capture. In: Monteith, J.L., R.K. Scott, and M.H. Unsworth. Eds. Resource capture by crops. Nottingham: Nottingham University Press, 99–110.
- Horton, RE. 1940. An approach towards a physical interpretation of infiltration capacity. Soil Science Society of America Proceedings 5: 227–237.
- Johnson, I.R., J.H.M. Thornley, J.M. Frantz, and B. Bugbee. 2010. A model of canopy photosynthesis incorporating protein turnover distribution throught the anopy and its acclimation to light, temperature and CO2. Annals of Botany 106: 735–749.
- Kirschbaum, M.U.F. 1995. The temperature dependence of soil organic matter decomposition, and the effect on global warming on soil organic science. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 27: 753–760.
- O'Connell, A.M., 1990. Microbial decomposition (respiration) of litter in eucalypt forests of south-western Australia: An empirical model based on laboratory incubations. Soil Biol. Biochem. 22: 153–160.
- Omuto, C.T., and L.O. Gumbe. 2009. Estimating water infiltration and retention characteristics using a computer program in R. Computers and Geosciences 35: 579–585.

- Ratkowsky, D.A. 1993. Principles of nonlinear regression modeling. Journal of Industrial Microbilogy 12: 195–199.
- Ritz, C., C.B. Pipper, and J.C. Streibig. 013. Analysis of germination data from agricultural experiments. European Journal of Agronomy 45: 1–6.
- Shibu, M.E., P.A. Leffelaar, H. van Keulen, and P.K. Aggarwal. 2006. Quantitative description of soil organic matter dynamics—A review of approaches with reference to rice-based cropping systems. Geoderma 137: 1–18.
- Urban, J.H. 2002. Modeling growth of different development stages in bivalves. Marine ecology progress series 238: 109–114.
- Van Genuchten, M.T., 1980. A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal 44: 892–898.
- Vico, G., and A. Porporato. 2008. Modelling C3 and C4 photosynthesis under water-stressed conditions. Plant and Soil 313: 187–203.
- Von Bertalanffly, L. 1938. A quantitative theory of organic growth (Inquires on growth laws II). Human Biol. 10: 181–213.
- Wang, E., and T. Engel. 1998. Simulation of phenological development of wheat crops. Agricultural Systems: 58: 1–24.
- Ye, Z., and Z. Zhao. 2010. A modified rectangular hyperbola to describe the light-response curve of photosynthesis of Bidens pilosa L. grown under low and high light conditions. Front. Agric. China 4: 50–55
- Yin, X., A.D.H.C.M. Schapendonk, M. Kroff, M. van Oijen, and P.S. Bindraban. 2000. A Generic equation for nitrogen-limited leaf area index and its application in crop growth models for predicting leaf senescence. Annals of Botany 85: 579–585.
- Yin, X., E.A. Lantinga, A.D.H.C.M. Schapendonk, and X. Zhong. 2003a. Some quantitative relationships between leaf area index and canopy nitrogen content and distribution. Annals of Botany 91: 893–903.
- Yin, X., J. Goudriaan, E.A. Lantinga, J. Vos, and J.H.J. Spiertz. 2003b. A flexible sigmoid function of determinate growth. Annals of Botany 91: 361–371. (with erratum in Annals of Botany 91: 753, 2003).
- Yin, X., W. Guo. and J.H. Spiertz. 2009. A quantitative approach to characterize sink—source relationships during grain filling in contrasting wheat genotypes. Field Crops Research 114: 119–126.
- Zeide, B. 1993. Analysis of growth equations. Forest Science 39: 594–616.