



# SED

## Student Experiment Documentation

Document ID: BX28\_IRISC\_SEDv1-0\_16Jan19



**Mission: BEXUS 28**

**Team Name: IRISC**

Experiment Title: InfraRed Imaging of astronomical targets with a Stabilized Camera

### Team

Student Team Leader:

Team Members:

### Name

Diego Octavio Talavera Maya

Anja Möslinger

Eligius Franciscus Maria Weterings

Kimberly Tuija Steele

Veronika Haberle

Adam Smialek

Ajith Kumar Baskar

Harald Magnusson

Niklas Ulfvarson

Sabina Björk

William Eriksson

University:

Luleå University of Technology

Version:

**1.0**

Issue Date:

**January 16, 2019**

Document Type:

**Spec**

Valid from

**January 16, 2019**

Issued by:

**The IRISC Team**

Approved by:

**Dr. Thomas Kuhn**

## CHANGE RECORD

Version	Date	Changed chapter	Remarks
0 1-0	2018-12-06	New Version All	PDR

**Abstract:**

**Keywords:**

# Contents

<b>CHANGE RECORD</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>PREFACE</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>9</b>
1.1 Scientific Background . . . . .	9
1.2 Mission Statement . . . . .	9
1.3 Experiment Objectives . . . . .	9
1.4 Experiment Concept . . . . .	9
1.5 Team Details . . . . .	9
<b>2 Experiment Requirements and Constraints</b>	<b>12</b>
2.1 Functional Requirements . . . . .	12
2.2 Performance Requirements . . . . .	12
2.3 Design Requirements . . . . .	13
2.4 Operational Requirements . . . . .	13
2.5 Constraints . . . . .	13
<b>3 Project Planning</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1 Work Breakdown Structure . . . . .	14
3.2 Schedule . . . . .	14
3.3 Resources . . . . .	14
3.3.1 Manpower . . . . .	14
3.3.2 Budget . . . . .	14
3.3.3 External Support . . . . .	14
3.4 Outreach Approach . . . . .	14
3.5 Risk Register . . . . .	15
<b>4 Experiment Design</b>	<b>18</b>
4.1 Experiment Setup . . . . .	18
4.2 Experiment Interfaces . . . . .	19
4.2.1 Mechanical Interfaces . . . . .	19
4.2.2 Thermal Interfaces . . . . .	19
4.2.3 Electrical Interfaces . . . . .	19
4.2.4 Radio Frequencies (Optional) . . . . .	19
4.2.5 Thermal (Optional) . . . . .	19
4.3 Experiment Components . . . . .	20
4.3.1 Electrical Components . . . . .	20
4.3.2 Mechanical Components . . . . .	21
4.3.3 Other Components . . . . .	22
4.4 Mechanical Design . . . . .	23
4.4.1 Structure . . . . .	23
4.4.2 Inside . . . . .	23
4.4.3 etc . . . . .	23
4.5 Electrical Design . . . . .	24
4.5.1 Block Diagram . . . . .	24

4.5.2	Critical Component/Part A . . . . .	24
4.5.3	Critical Component/Part B . . . . .	24
4.5.4	Critical Component/Part C . . . . .	24
4.5.5	Schematic . . . . .	24
4.5.6	PCB Layout . . . . .	24
4.6	Thermal Design . . . . .	25
4.6.1	Thermal Environment . . . . .	25
4.6.2	The Critical Stages . . . . .	25
4.6.3	Overall Design . . . . .	25
4.6.4	Internal Temperature . . . . .	25
4.6.5	Calculations and Simulation Reports . . . . .	25
4.7	Power System . . . . .	26
4.8	Software Design . . . . .	27
4.8.1	Purpose . . . . .	27
4.8.2	Design . . . . .	27
4.8.3	Implementation . . . . .	27
4.9	Ground Support Equipment . . . . .	28
<b>5</b>	<b>Experiment Verification and Testing</b>	<b>29</b>
5.1	Verification Matrix . . . . .	29
5.2	Test Plan . . . . .	31
5.2.1	Planned Tests . . . . .	31
5.2.2	Test Descriptions . . . . .	31
5.3	Test Results . . . . .	37
<b>6</b>	<b>Launch Campaign Preparations</b>	<b>41</b>
6.1	Input for the Campaign / Flight Requirements Plans . . . . .	41
6.1.1	Dimensions and Mass . . . . .	41
6.1.2	Safety Risks . . . . .	41
6.1.3	Electrical Interfaces . . . . .	41
6.1.4	Launch Site Requirements . . . . .	42
6.1.5	Flight Requirements . . . . .	42
6.1.6	Accommodation Requirements . . . . .	42
6.2	Preparation and Test Activities at Esrange . . . . .	43
6.3	Timeline for Countdown and Flight . . . . .	44
6.4	Post Flight Activities . . . . .	45
6.4.1	Recovery Checklist . . . . .	45
6.4.2	Analysis Preparation . . . . .	45
<b>7</b>	<b>Data Analysis and Results</b>	<b>46</b>
7.1	Data Analysis Plan . . . . .	46
7.1.1	Analysis Strategy . . . . .	46
7.2	Launch Campaign . . . . .	47
7.2.1	Flight preparation activities during launch campaign . . . . .	47
7.2.2	Flight performance . . . . .	47
7.2.3	Recovery . . . . .	47
7.2.4	Post flight activities . . . . .	47
7.3	Results . . . . .	47

7.3.1	Expected Results . . . . .	47
7.4	Lessons Learned . . . . .	48
7.4.1	Management . . . . .	48
7.4.2	Scientific . . . . .	48
7.4.3	Electrical . . . . .	48
7.4.4	Software . . . . .	48
7.4.5	Mechanical . . . . .	48
7.4.6	Thermal . . . . .	48
<b>8</b>	<b>Abbreviations and References</b>	<b>49</b>
8.1	Abbreviations . . . . .	49
8.2	References . . . . .	50
<b>A</b>	<b>Experiment Reviews</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Outreach</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Additional Technical Information</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>Checklists</b>	<b>54</b>

## PREFACE

The Rocket and Balloon Experiments for University Students (REXUS/BEXUS) programme is realized under a bilateral Agency Agreement between the German Aerospace Center (DLR) and the Swedish National Space Board (SNSB). The Swedish share of the payload has been made available to students from other European countries through a collaboration with the European Space Agency (ESA).

EuroLaunch, a cooperation between the Esrange Space Center of SSC and the Mobile Rocket Base (MORABA) of DLR, is responsible for the campaign management and operations of the launch vehicles. Experts from DLR, SSC, ZARM, and ESA provide technical support to the student teams throughout the project.

The Student Experiment Documentation (SED) is a continuously updating document regarding the BEXUS student experiment IRISC - InfraRed Imaging of astronomical targets with a Stabilized Camera and will undergo reviews during the preliminary design review, the critical design review, the integration progress review, and final experiment report.

## Acknowledgements



# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Scientific Background

## 1.2 Mission Statement

## 1.3 Experiment Objectives

## 1.4 Experiment Concept

## 1.5 Team Details

The IRISC Team consists of twelve people of a number of different educational and personal backgrounds. All team members are studying at the Luleå University of Technology, Kiruna Space Campus.



### **Diego Octavio Talavera Maya - Management**

*Current Education:* MSc in Space Sciences and Technology (Spacemaster).

*Previous Education:*

*Responsibilities:* Project Management.



### **Anja Möslinger - Control system**

*Current Education:* MSc in Space Sciences and Technology (Spacemaster).

*Previous Education:*

*Responsibilities:* Control system (model).



### **Eligius Franciscus Maria Weterings - Electrical**

*Current Education:* MSc in Space Sciences and Technology (Spacemaster).

*Previous Education:* BSc in electrical engineering at the University of Rotterdam (HR) with a specialization in computer sciences and mathematics at the University Utrecht (UU).

*Responsibilities:* Embedded systems, electrical engineering.



### **Kimberly Tuija Steele - Science**

*Current Education:* MSc in Space Sciences and Technology (Spacemaster).

*Previous Education:* BSc(Astronomy)(Hons)

*Responsibilities:* experiment scientific background and overall objectives; defining experimental parameters; contacting and collaborating with industry partners that manufacture off-the-shelf optics components; data analysis; interpreting and documenting images; documenting and publishing findings.



### **Veronika Haberle - Science**

*Current Education:* MSc in Space Sciences and Technology (Spacemaster).

*Previous Education:*

*Responsibilities:* Outreach & data analysis.



### **Adam Smialek - Control system**

*Current Education:* MSc in Space Sciences and Technology (Spacemaster).

*Previous Education:*

*Responsibilities:* Onboard control system.



### **Ajith Kumar Baskar - Mechanical**

*Current Education:*

*Previous Education:*

*Responsibilities:* Mechanical engineering.



### **Harald Magnusson - Software**

*Current Education:*

*Previous Education:*

*Responsibilities:* Software (onboard & ground station).



### **Niklas Ulfvarson - Software**

*Current Education:*

*Previous Education:*

*Responsibilities:* Software (onboard & ground station).



**Sabina Björk - Electrical**

*Current Education:*

*Previous Education:*

*Responsibilities:* Energy technology, electrical engineering.



**William Eriksson - Software**

*Current Education:*

*Previous Education:*

*Responsibilities:* Software (onboard & ground station).

## **2 Experiment Requirements and Constraints**

A list of requirements and constraints are listed below. The requirements are separated in functional, performance, design and operational requirements.

### **2.1 Functional Requirements**

- F.1 The telescope shall successfully track the celestial bodies of interest.
- F.2 The camera shall take images in the near infrared (NIR) spectrum.

### **2.2 Performance Requirements**

- P.1 The gimbal stabilization system shall point the telescope towards the celestial body with an accuracy of at least 1 arc seconds.
- P.2 The optics shall be cable of making pictures of 0.5-1.5 x 0.3-1 degrees.
- P.3 The NIR camera shall make images in the range of 720-850 to 1200 nm.
- P.4 The NIR camera shall have a resolution of at least 16 MP.
- P.5 The NIR camera shall be able to make images with exposure times between 0.5 and 150 seconds.
- P.6 The experiment shall measure the location and orientation of the gondola.

## 2.3 Design Requirements

- D.01 The experiment shall be able to operate in the temperature profile of the BEXUS environment.
- D.02 The experiment shall be able to operate in the pressure profile of the BEXUS environment.
- D.03 The experiment shall be able to operate in the vibration profile of the BEXUS environment.
- D.04 The absolute position of the telescope relative to the gondola shall be known with a accuracy of 0.1 degrees.
- D.05 The supporting structure shall not twist by more than 0.1 degrees.
- D.06 The experiment shall never be pointed directly at the sun  $\pm 27$  degrees.
- D.07 The experiment shall be able to fly during the entire day.
- D.08 The temperature of the NIR camera shall be held at  $0 \pm 5$  °C.
- D.09 The images obtained shall be send to a ground station by the E-link system with a maximum data rate of 1000 kilo bits per second.
- D.10 The experiment shall be mounted at the side of the gondola.
- D.11 The experiment shall not consume more power than 250 Wh.
- D.12 The volume of the experiment shall not exceed 65 x 40 x 40 cm.
- D.13 The mass of the experiment shall not exceed 20 kg.
- D.14 The experiment shall be able to run for at least 2.5 hours.
- D.15 The experiment shall be able to function autonomously.
- D.16 The data stored in the experiment shall be able to survive the landing.

## 2.4 Operational Requirements

- O.1 The experiment shall be able to be controlled by the ground station when requested.
- O.2 The experiment shall rotate to a 'safe' location while descending.

## 2.5 Constraints

- C.1 The shared E-link data transfer rates are limited by coverage and quality of reception.
- C.2 There shall be no direct internet connection on the ground station.
- C.3 The mass and volume should fit inside the gondola together with the other experiments.
- C.4 The budget for the experiment is limited by the generous companies and organizations that sponsor IRISC.

## 3 Project Planning

### 3.1 Work Breakdown Structure

### 3.2 Schedule

### 3.3 Resources

#### 3.3.1 Manpower

#### 3.3.2 Budget

Category	Total Mass [g]	Total Price [EUR]
Structure	0.00	00.0
Electronics Box	000.0	0.00
Cables and Sensors		
CAC		
AAC		
Tools	—	
Travel	—	
Contingency	—	
<b>Total without Error Margin</b>		
Shipping Costs and Error Margin		
<b>Total with Error Margin</b>		

Table 3: Mass and Cost Budget.

#### 3.3.3 External Support

### 3.4 Outreach Approach

### 3.5 Risk Register

#### Risk ID

- TC – Technical/Implementation
- MS – Mission (operational performance)
- SF – Safety
- VE – Vehicle
- PE – Personnel
- EN – Environmental
- OR - Outreach
- BG - Budget

Adapt these to the experiment and add other categories. Consider risks to the experiment, to the vehicle and to personnel.

#### Probability (P)

- A Minimum – Almost impossible to occur
- B Low – Small chance to occur
- C Medium – Reasonable chance to occur
- D High – Quite likely to occur
- E Maximum – Certain to occur, maybe more than once

#### Severity (S)

1. Negligible – Minimal or no impact
2. Significant – Leads to reduced experiment performance
3. Major – Leads to failure of subsystem or loss of flight data
4. Critical – Leads to experiment failure or creates minor health hazards
5. Catastrophic – Leads to termination of the REXUS/BEXUS programme, damage to the vehicle or injury to personnel

The rankings for probability (P) and severity (S) are combined to assess the overall risk classification, ranging from very low to very high and being coloured green, yellow, orange or red according to the SED guidelines.

Whether a risk is acceptable or unacceptable has been assigned according to the SED guidelines. Where mitigation is written for acceptable risks this details the mitigation undertaken in order to reduce the risk to an acceptable level.

ID	Risk (& consequence if)	P	S	P * S	Action
TC10	Optics and/ or camera destroyed due to testing	C	2	Low	There is budget for a spare part, it is quite easy to get and test where it will likely fail (e.g. drop test) will not be done with this part.
TC20	Optics and/ or camera destroyed due to looking directly into the sun	B	3	Low	A model will be made and sufficient testing will make sure that the probability gets very low.
TC30	Software failure	B	3	Low	A watchdog with power-on-reset will be added to the design.
TC40	Motors of the gimbal are uncontrollable	B	4	Low	It will be made sure that a single component failure will not result in this consequence.
TC50	Motors overloaded	A	3	Very Low	Sufficient testing and modeling will be done to decrease the probability.
TC60	PCB failure	B	3	Low	Sufficient testing will be done on each PCB to decrease the probability.
TC70	Single component failure gives unprecedented failure	B	4	Low	A Failure mode and effects analysis (FMEA) study will be done so that single failure components with a high impact will be documented and migrated.
MS10	Target not found	D	2	Low	The ground station will be able to correct this, or send the system to another target.
MS20	Gears get jammed	B	4	Low	Gear will be oiled on the day of the launch.
MS30	Damage to the system on landing	D	1	Low	Optics will move inside a frame for protection.
MS40	Damage to the hard disk on landing	C	2	Low	Data will be send over telemetry
MS50	Hard disk full during flight	A	3	Very Low	Sufficient modeling will be done to decrease the probability.
MS60	BEXUS balloon power failure	A	4	Very Low	-
MS70	BEXUS balloon telemetry failure	B	2	Very Low	The system will be able to function autonomously.
SF10	Components falling off the gondola	A	4	Very Low	All parts sufficiently fastened. Testing conducted to ensure fastening is able to hold all parts in place in case of turbulence. Where possible, add assurance by adding additional fixations.
VE10	Short circuiting	B	3	Low	A fuse is in the power system added.
VE20	Collision of telescope with landing mechanism	A	4	Very Low	The experiment will be inside a frame for protection.



PE10	Miscommunication in the team	B	2	Very Low	The management team should be responsible for ensuring proper information is conveyed to all team members.
PE20	People are not available	C	1	Very Low	An availability sheet is made so a planning can be made. This will be kept up to date during the entire project.
PE30	Management team unavailable to oversee project	C	1	Very Low	There is always someone as a backup.
PE40	Sudden resignation of project members	B	2	Very Low	Management team ensure morale remains high through a variety of techniques (group building activities).
EN10	Rays focused on someone due to damage to the telescope (camera)	A	4	Very Low	Calibration of telescope set properly so that rays do not get misguided

Table 4: Risk Register.

## **4 Experiment Design**

### **4.1 Experiment Setup**

## **4.2 Experiment Interfaces**

### **4.2.1 Mechanical Interfaces**

### **4.2.2 Thermal Interfaces**

### **4.2.3 Electrical Interfaces**

### **4.2.4 Radio Frequencies (Optional)**

### **4.2.5 Thermal (Optional)**

## 4.3 Experiment Components

### 4.3.1 Electrical Components

Table 5 shows all required electrical components with their total mass and price.

ID	Component Name	Supplier	Supplier Code	Qty	Mass Each [g]	Cost Each [EUR]	Note	Status
E001	Raspberry Pi 3B+	TBD	TBD	1	50	TBD		Defined
E002	Micro SD 32GB	TBD	TBD	1	0.27	TBD		Defined
E003	DC motor	TBD	TBD	3	TBD	TBD		Defined
E004	Encoders/potentiometers	TBD	TBD	1	TBD	TBD		Defined
E005	Buck Converter	TBD	TBD	1	TBD	TBD		Defined
E006	Gyroscope	TBD	TBD	2	TBD	TBD		Defined
E007	Magnetometer	TBD	TBD	1	TBD	TBD		Defined
E008	GPS	TBD	TBD	1	TBD	TBD		Defined

Table 5: Electrical components.

### 4.3.2 Mechanical Components

Table 6 shows all required mechanical components with their total mass and price.

ID	Component Name	Supplier	Supplier Code	Qty	Mass Each [g]	Cost Each [EUR]	Note	Status
----	----------------	----------	---------------	-----	---------------	-----------------	------	--------

Table 6: Mechanical components.

### 4.3.3 Other Components

Table 7 shows other components which contribute to the mass and/or price.

ID	Component Name	Supplier	Supplier Code	Qty	Mass Each [g]	Cost Each [EUR]	Note	Status
O001	ASI183mm	TBD	TBD	1	140	TBD	Mono color camera	Defined
O002	TBD	TBD	TBD	1	TBD	TBD	Guiding camera	Defined
O003	TBD	TBD	TBD	1	TBD	TBD	Sanity camera	Defined
O004	130PDS telescope	TBD	TBD	1	3660	TBD		Defined
O005	NIR filter 1.25"	TBD	TBD	1	TBD	TBD		Defined

Table 7: Other components.

## **4.4 Mechanical Design**

### **4.4.1 Structure**

### **4.4.2 Inside**

### **4.4.3 etc**

## **4.5 Electrical Design**

### **4.5.1 Block Diagram**

### **4.5.2 Critical Component/Part A**

### **4.5.3 Critical Component/Part B**

### **4.5.4 Critical Component/Part C**

### **4.5.5 Schematic**

### **4.5.6 PCB Layout**



## **4.6 Thermal Design**

### **4.6.1 Thermal Environment**

### **4.6.2 The Critical Stages**

### **4.6.3 Overall Design**

### **4.6.4 Internal Temperature**

### **4.6.5 Calculations and Simulation Reports**

## **4.7 Power System**

## **4.8 Software Design**

### **4.8.1 Purpose**

### **4.8.2 Design**

### **4.8.3 Implementation**

## **4.9 Ground Support Equipment**

## 5 Experiment Verification and Testing

### 5.1 Verification Matrix

The verification matrix is made following the standard of *ECSS-E-10-02A*. [1].

*There are four established verification methods:*

*A - Verification by analysis or similarity*

*I - Verification by inspection*

*R - Verification by review-of-design*

*T - Verification by testing*

ID	Requirement text	Method	Reference	Status	Verification result
F.1	The telescope shall successfully track the celestial bodies of interest.	A, T	Tests: 4, 5, 9, 10	A: to be done T: to be done	Not verified
F.2	The camera shall take images in the near infrared (NIR) spectrum.	R	Test: 1	R: to be done	Not verified
P.1	The gimbal stabilization system shall point the telescope towards the celestial body with an accuracy of at least 1 arc seconds.	A, T	Tests: 3, 4, 5, 9, 10	A: to be done T: to be done	Not verified
P.2	The optics shall be cable of making pictures of 0.5-1.5 x 0.3-1 degrees.	R, T	Test: 1	R: to be done T: to be done	Not verified
P.3	The NIR camera shall make images in the range of 720-850 to 1200 nm.	R	Test: 1	R: to be done	Not verified
P.4	The NIR camera shall have a resolution of at least 16 MP.	R, T	Test: 1	R: to be done T: to be done	Not verified
P.5	The NIR camera shall be able to make images with exposure times between 0.5 and 150 seconds.	R, T	Test: 1	R: to be done T: to be done	Not verified
P.6	The experiment shall measure the location and orientation of the gondola.	A, T	Tests: 3, 4, 5, 9, 10	T: to be done	Not verified
D.01	The experiment shall be able to operate in the temperature profile of the BEXUS environment.	T	Test: 2	T: to be done	Not verified
D.02	The experiment shall be able to operate in the pressure profile of the BEXUS environment.	T	Test: 2	T: to be done	Not verified

D.03	The experiment shall be able to operate in the vibration profile of the BEXUS environment.	T	Tests: 8, 10	T: to be done	Not verified
D.04	The absolute position of the telescope relative to the gondola shall be known with a accuracy of 0.1 degrees.	T	Tests: 3, 4, 9, 10	T: to be done	Not verified
D.05	The supporting structure shall not twist by more than 0.1 degrees.	T	Tests: 9, 10	T: to be done	Not verified
D.06	The experiment shall never be pointed directly at the sun $\pm 27$ degrees.	T	Tests: 4, 9, 10	A: to be done T: to be done	Not verified
D.07	The experiment shall be able to fly during the entire day.	T	Tests: 9, 10	T: to be done	Not verified
D.08	The temperature of the NIR camera shall be held at $0 \pm 5^{\circ}\text{C}$ .	T	Tests: 9, 10	T: to be done	Not verified
D.09	The images obtained shall be send to a ground station by the E-link system with a maximum data rate of 1000 kilo bits per second.	T	Test: 6	T: to be done	Not verified
D.10	The experiment shall be mounted at the side of the gondola.	T	Tests: 9, 10	T: to be done	Not verified
D.11	The experiment shall not consume more power than 250 Wh.	T	Tests: 9, 10	T: to be done	Not verified
D.12	The volume of the experiment shall not exceed 65 x 40 x 40 cm.	I	Test: 7	I: to be done	Not verified
D.13	The mass of the experiment shall not exceed 20 kg.	I	Test: 7	I: to be done	Not verified
D.14	The experiment shall be able to run for at least 2.5 hours.	T	Tests: 9, 10	T: to be done	Not verified
D.15	The experiment shall be able to function autonomously.	T	Tests: 4, 9, 10	T: to be done	Not verified
D.16	The data stored in the experiment shall be able to survive the landing.	T	Test: 8	T: to be done	Not verified
O.1	The experiment shall be able to be controlled by the ground station when requested.	T	Tests: 4, 10	T: to be done	Not verified
O.2	The experiment shall rotate to a 'safe' location while descending.	T	Tests: 4, 9, 10	T: to be done	Not verified

Table 8: Verification Matrix.

## 5.2 Test Plan

### 5.2.1 Planned Tests

The planned tests are as follows:

- Test 01: Optics & Camera;
- Test 02: Thermal & pressure test;
- Test 03: Electronics;
- Test 04: Software with electronics;
- Test 05: Control system;
- Test 06: Data transfer;
- Test 07: Mass & Volume;
- Test 08: Drop test (without optics and camera);
- Test 09: Gimbal mounted on replicated gondola;
- Test 10: Gimbal mounted on a car.

### 5.2.2 Test Descriptions

<b>Test Number</b>	1
<b>Test Type</b>	Optics & Camera
<b>Test Facility</b>	LTU (outside), Kiruna
<b>Tested Item</b>	Optics & Camera
<b>Test Level/ Procedure and Duration</b>	Verify the design that pictures are only able to be made in the specified NIR spectrum range, with the specified resolution and angular size. Then put the selected optics with camera on a tripod and make picture of all the selected targets. For these tests the NIR filter may be temperately removed (if possible). But all targets should be photographed at least in the NIR spectrum. Test duration: 8 hours (at night).
<b>Test Campaign Duration</b>	1 day
<b>Test Campaign Date</b>	April
<b>Test Completed</b>	NO
<b>Requirements verified</b>	NO

Table 9: Test 1: Optics & camera ground tested.

<b>Test Number</b>	2
<b>Test Type</b>	Vacuum & freezer
<b>Test Facility</b>	IRF/ EISCAT, Kiruna
<b>Tested Item</b>	Electronics, optics and camera
<b>Test Level/ Procedure and Duration</b>	The electronics, optics and camera should be placed in a vacuum and freezer to test the components functionality with a simulated environment based on the one we expect to encounter. The pressure should be <5 mbar and temperature -80 °C (or atleast colder than -40 °C if not possible) The components may be tested separately, but should function during the test. Test duration: 15 minutes per test on the specified pressure and temperature.
<b>Test Campaign Duration</b>	1 day
<b>Test Campaign Date</b>	Beginning of June
<b>Test Completed</b>	NO
<b>Requirements verified</b>	NO

Table 10: Test 2: Vacuum and freezer test of atleast the electronics, optics and camera.

<b>Test Number</b>	3
<b>Test Type</b>	Electronics
<b>Test Facility</b>	LTU, Kiruna
<b>Tested Item</b>	Sensors and Actuators
<b>Test Level/ Procedure and Duration</b>	The sensors should first be read out one by one, without the other sensors connected. If multiple sensors or actuators are located on the same PCB, there should also be a test PCB available with each sensor and actuator separated from the prototype phase. Afterwards, the same is done for each actuator. Then, one by one, each sensor and actuator is added to the system to test the system as a whole. Test duration: 5 hours.
<b>Test Campaign Duration</b>	1 day
<b>Test Campaign Date</b>	Beginning of June
<b>Test Completed</b>	NO
<b>Requirements verified</b>	NO

Table 11: Test 3: Sensors and actuators test.



<b>Test Number</b>	4
<b>Test Type</b>	Software
<b>Test Facility</b>	LTU, Kiruna
<b>Tested Item</b>	Raspberry pi software with electronics connected.
<b>Test Level/ Procedure and Duration</b>	This test should be done after the functionality of the electronics is verified. Then all sensors and actuators should be tested integrated with the software. Let the gimbal be targeting 4 specific points with a separation of 90 degrees. Test if the gimbal stabilize the system when moved and switches targets based on the field of view. The targets should also be able to be switched on the groundstation. Then add a 5th target which it may never look directly at and repeat the test. Test duration: 5 hours.
<b>Test Campaign Duration</b>	1 day
<b>Test Campaign Date</b>	Beginning of June
<b>Test Completed</b>	NO
<b>Requirements verified</b>	NO

Table 12: Test 4: Software (onboard and ground) with electronics connected test.

<b>Test Number</b>	5
<b>Test Type</b>	Control system
<b>Test Facility</b>	LTU, Kiruna
<b>Tested Item</b>	Control software.
<b>Test Level/ Procedure and Duration</b>	This test is done in a simulation program. The program verifies that the control system is able to track moving targets realtime in the sky without looking directly at the sun. Test duration: 2.5 hours (real time, simulation might be speed up).
<b>Test Campaign Duration</b>	1 day
<b>Test Campaign Date</b>	Beginning of June
<b>Test Completed</b>	NO
<b>Requirements verified</b>	NO

Table 13: Test 5:Control system simulation.

<b>Test Number</b>	6
<b>Test Type</b>	Data transfer
<b>Test Facility</b>	LTU, Kiruna
<b>Tested Item</b>	Controller
<b>Test Level/ Procedure and Duration</b>	Send the pictures, made in test 1, over the telemetry channel and monitor the data packages with the program 'Wireshark' or similar. Write down the telemetry data rate including headers and footers. During the test the connection should be resetted and the buffer should make sure all data will be transferred after the connection comes online again. Test duration: 30 minutes.
<b>Test Campaign Duration</b>	0.5 Day
<b>Test Campaign Date</b>	Beginning of June
<b>Test Completed</b>	NO
<b>Requirements verified</b>	NO

Table 14: Test 6: Telemetry testing.

<b>Test Number</b>	7
<b>Test Type</b>	Mass & Volume
<b>Test Facility</b>	LTU, Kiruna
<b>Tested Item</b>	Entire system
<b>Test Level/ Procedure and Duration</b>	Weigh the project on a measuring scale and write down the total weight. All components that are also included on the BEXUS balloon should be added. The subsystems may be measured individually or as a whole. Then measure the volume of the project and write down the volume. Test duration: 30 minutes.
<b>Test Campaign Duration</b>	1 hour
<b>Test Campaign Date</b>	Beginning of June
<b>Test Completed</b>	NO
<b>Requirements verified</b>	NO

Table 15: Test 7: Check mass and dimensions of entire system.

<b>Test Number</b>	8
<b>Test Type</b>	Drop test
<b>Test Facility</b>	LTU, Kiruna
<b>Tested Item</b>	Electronics and gimbal
<b>Test Level/ Procedure and Duration</b>	Get the electronics and gimbal and put them off. Put them on the replicated gondola in the preferred positions with the attachment material that will also be used during the BEXUS flight. Drop the experiment from 1, 2 and 3 meters high. After every test the functionality of the system should be tested. At least the data logger should survive. Test duration: 1 hour.
<b>Test Campaign Duration</b>	0.5 day
<b>Test Campaign Date</b>	Beginning of June
<b>Test Completed</b>	NO
<b>Requirements verified</b>	NO

Table 16: Test 8: Drop test of entire system except optics and camera.

<b>Test Number</b>	9
<b>Test Type</b>	Gimbal performance
<b>Test Facility</b>	LTU, Kiruna
<b>Tested Item</b>	Gimbal, software and electronics
<b>Test Level/ Procedure and Duration</b>	Put the entire system in the replicated gondola and montage the system as would be mounted on the BEXUS flight. Let the system in sleep mode for atleast 2 hours (waiting) plus 1.5 hours (ascending) and simulate that the floating phase is reached. Then let the system work for at least 2.5 hours. Move the system around during the floating phase. Then simulate that the descending phase has started. Monitor the power and data usage during this test. Test duration: 6 hours.
<b>Test Campaign Duration</b>	1 week
<b>Test Campaign Date</b>	End of June
<b>Test Completed</b>	NO
<b>Requirements verified</b>	NO

Table 17: Test 9: Gimbal with all subsystems mounted on a replicated gondola.

<b>Test Number</b>	10
<b>Test Type</b>	Gimbal vibration test
<b>Test Facility</b>	LTU (outside), Kiruna
<b>Tested Item</b>	Gimbal, software and electronics
<b>Test Level/ Procedure and Duration</b>	Then let the system make images for at least 2.5 hours while being mounted on top of a car. Drive with the slowly car around on a mostly flat survive. Test duration: 5 hours.
<b>Test Campaign Duration</b>	1 week
<b>Test Campaign Date</b>	End of June
<b>Test Completed</b>	NO
<b>Requirements verified</b>	NO

Table 18: Test 10: Gimbal mounted on a car to test entire system with vibrations.

### 5.3 Test Results

The results shown here provide the key information obtained from testing. A full report for each test can be found in Appendix ??.

<b>Verification Number</b>	1
<b>Test Type</b>	Optics & Camera
<b>Facility</b>	LTU (outside), Kiruna
<b>Verified item</b>	Sampling System
<b>Verification description</b>	The camera should make pictures in the NIR spectrum with the specified resolution and angular size. This test is also used to obtain ground made pictures from the targets of interest.
<b>Expected results</b>	The camera makes NIR images from the targets of interest.
<b>Obtained results</b>	
<b>Conclusions</b>	Not verified.

Table 19: Results test 1: Optics & camera ground tested.

<b>Verification Number</b>	2
<b>Test Type</b>	Vacuum & freezer
<b>Facility</b>	IRF/ EISCAT, Kiruna
<b>Verified item</b>	Electronics, optics and camera
<b>Verification description</b>	The electronics, optics and camera withstand a pressure of <5 mbar and a temperature of at least -40 °C.
<b>Expected results</b>	The system controls the temperature if the temperature almost gets below the minimum temperature specified. The system is also able to survive in a <5 mbar environment.
<b>Obtained results</b>	
<b>Conclusions</b>	Not verified.

Table 20: Results test 2: Vacuum and freezer test of at least the electronics, optics and camera.

<b>Verification Number</b>	3
<b>Test Type</b>	Electronics
<b>Facility</b>	LTU, Kiruna
<b>Verified item</b>	Sensors and actuators
<b>Verification description</b>	The specified range and accuracy of each sensor and actuator are being met, even after integration. The sensors are read out by the same board that is used for the onboard control system and read out on a display.
<b>Expected results</b>	Each sensor and actuator works separated and integrated together within the specified accuracy.
<b>Obtained results</b>	
<b>Conclusions</b>	Not verified.

Table 21: Results test 3: Sensors and actuators test.

<b>Verification Number</b>	4
<b>Test Type</b>	Software
<b>Facility</b>	LTU, Kiruna
<b>Verified item</b>	Raspberry Pi software with electronics connected.
<b>Verification description</b>	Program four targets of interest and move the gimbal around. Determine if the targets are being followed. Then add a 5th point that the gimbal should never point, or around with the specified angle, and determine that this never happens. Connect the ground station and check if the system can be controlled remotely.
<b>Expected results</b>	The gimbal is able to chose targets and keeps it tracked and a specific target will never be looked directly at or nearby.
<b>Obtained results</b>	
<b>Conclusions</b>	Not verified.

Table 22: Results test 4: Software (onboard and ground) with electronics connected test.

<b>Verification Number</b>	5
<b>Test Type</b>	Control system
<b>Facility</b>	LTU, Kiruna
<b>Verified item</b>	Control software
<b>Verification description</b>	In a simulation program the control system is verified. The targets with movement are inserted in this simulation.
<b>Expected results</b>	The gimbal is able to chose the specified targets and keeps it tracked and a specific target (sun) will never be looked directly at or nearby.
<b>Obtained results</b>	
<b>Conclusions</b>	Not verified.

Table 23: Results test 5: Control system simulation.

<b>Verification Number</b>	6
<b>Test Type</b>	Data transfer
<b>Facility</b>	LTU, Kiruna
<b>Verified item</b>	Controller
<b>Verification description</b>	The data packages will be monitored by 'Wireshark' or a similar program and the data rate is below the specified data rate. The data gets buffered while being connection is lost.
<b>Expected results</b>	The data rate is below the specified data rate and the data gets buffered while the connection is lost.
<b>Obtained results</b>	
<b>Conclusions</b>	Not verified.

Table 24: Results test 6: Telemetry testing.

<b>Verification Number</b>	7
<b>Test Type</b>	Mass & Volume
<b>Facility</b>	LTU, Kiruna
<b>Verified item</b>	Entire system
<b>Verification description</b>	The mass and volume of the experiment gets measured.
<b>Expected results</b>	The mass and volume are below the specified values.
<b>Obtained results</b>	
<b>Conclusions</b>	Not verified.

Table 25: Results test 7: Check mass and dimensions of entire system.

<b>Verification Number</b>	8
<b>Test Type</b>	Drop test
<b>Facility</b>	LTU, Kiruna
<b>Verified item</b>	Electronics and gimbal
<b>Verification description</b>	The electronics and gimbal get dropped, mounted on a replicated gondola, from a height of 1, 2 and 3 meters and atleast the data logger survives.
<b>Expected results</b>	The data logger survives but the gimbal might break depending on the impact.
<b>Obtained results</b>	
<b>Conclusions</b>	Not verified.

Table 26: Results test 8: Drop test of entire system except optics and camera.

<b>Verification Number</b>	9
<b>Test Type</b>	Gimbal performance
<b>Facility</b>	LTU, Kiruna
<b>Verified item</b>	Gimbal, software and electronics
<b>Verification description</b>	The test is run for atleast 6 hours. The system is slightly moved during the last 2.5 hours, while the images are made. The power and data usage is monitored during the test.
<b>Expected results</b>	The system is able to work for atleast 6 hours with the specified power and data usage. The images are the same as made from a static point.
<b>Obtained results</b>	
<b>Conclusions</b>	Not verified.

Table 27: Results test 9: Gimbal with all subsystems mounted on a replicated gondola.

<b>Verification Number</b>	10
<b>Test Type</b>	Gimbal vibration test
<b>Facility</b>	LTU (outside), Kiruna
<b>Verified item</b>	Gimbal, software and electronics
<b>Verification description</b>	The entire system including the replicated gondola is mounted on top of a car and the images taken are compared to the images taken while being static.
<b>Expected results</b>	The system is able to work with the vibrations and movement from the car, the images obtained are the same.
<b>Obtained results</b>	
<b>Conclusions</b>	Not verified.

Table 28: Results test 10: Gimbal mounted on a car to test entire system with vibrations.



## 6 Launch Campaign Preparations

### 6.1 Input for the Campaign / Flight Requirements Plans

#### 6.1.1 Dimensions and Mass

The data shown in Table 29 below is based on the design presented in Section 4.4.

	xxx	xxx	TOTAL
Experiment mass [kg]			
Experiment dimensions [m]			
Experiment footprint area [ $m^2$ ]			
Experiment volume [ $m^3$ ]			
Experiment expected COG position	$X = cm$ $Y = cm$ $Z = cm$	$X = cm$ $Y = cm$ $Z = cm$	$X = cm$ $Y = cm$ $Z = cm$

Table 29: Experiment Summary Table.

#### 6.1.2 Safety Risks

Table 30 contains the risks of all stages of the whole campaign and project.

Risk	Key Characteristics	Mitigation
Moving telescope lens	A telescope is stabilized in three axis. Therefore there is the risk that the motor will start turning uncontrollable.	Adding additional components that at least a double component failure should occur before there is any risk.
Sharp edges, machines aluminum	Sheet and tubing, some sharp edges exist after machining.	Deburr edges where possible. Contain sharp edges in tough material. During transportation, use protective gloves when handling if sharp edges are still present.
Massive bulky structure	The mass of the assembly poses risk of trapped and damaged digits or feet being crushed.	Order of assembly should be well thought out and practiced. At least two people are present during assembly and that there is a dedicated space for assembly.
Parts dropping from gondola	Parts are heavy enough to cause harm if they fall onto people.	All parts sufficiently fastened. Testing conducted to ensure fastening is able to hold all parts in place in case of turbulence. Where possible, add assurance by adding additional fixations.

Table 30: Experiment safety risks.

#### 6.1.3 Electrical Interfaces

Please refer to Table 31 for details on the electrical interfaces with the gondola.

BEXUS Electrical Interfaces		
E-link Interface:		
	Number of E-link interfaces	
	Data rate - Downlink	
	Data rate - Uplink	
	Interface type (RS232, Ethernet)	
Power system: Gondola power required?		
	Peak power (or current) consumption:	
	Average power (or current consumption)	
Power system: Experiment includes batteries?		

Table 31: Electrical Interface Table.

#### 6.1.4 Launch Site Requirements

#### 6.1.5 Flight Requirements

The flight requirements for the IRISC experiment are stated below.

- **Desired float altitude:** A height of  $>20$  km is adequate for this experiment. However, a higher altitude will improve the signal to noise ratio.
- **Desired float duration:** We need a floating time of at least 90 min in order to collect sufficient amount of data. A longer floating time would desirable.
- **Required launch time:** A partial night flight would be preferable because there is less interference with the sun and a wider range of view. However, the system will be designed to be able to function during the entire day.

#### 6.1.6 Accommodation Requirements

## **6.2 Preparation and Test Activities at Estring**

### 6.3 Timeline for Countdown and Flight

Table 32 is the estimated timeline during countdown and flight.

Time	Altitude	Events
T-	0	
T-	0	
T-3H	0	Experiment is switched on external power
T-3H	0	Experiment goes to Standby mode
T-1H	0	Experiment switches to internal power
T=0	0	Lift-off
T+1s	~5 meter	Experiment goes to Normal - Ascent mode
T+	km	
T+	km	
T+~1.5H	~25 km	Float Phase
T+~2.5H	~25 km	Cut-off
T+~2.6H	~25 km	Experiment goes to Normal - Descent mode
T+~2.75H	~20 km	Parachute is deployed
T+	km	
T+	km	

Table 32: Countdown and Flight Estimated Timeline.

## **6.4 Post Flight Activities**

### **6.4.1 Recovery Checklist**

### **6.4.2 Analysis Preparation**

## **7 Data Analysis and Results**

### **7.1 Data Analysis Plan**

#### **7.1.1 Analysis Strategy**

## **7.2 Launch Campaign**

### **7.2.1 Flight preparation activities during launch campaign**

The flight preparations can be found in Section 6.2.

### **7.2.2 Flight performance**

### **7.2.3 Recovery**

### **7.2.4 Post flight activities**

## **7.3 Results**

No results for now. More will come after the launch campaign in an updated version of the SED.

### **7.3.1 Expected Results**

## **7.4 Lessons Learned**

### **7.4.1 Management**

- Friendship
- Sleep deprivation

### **7.4.2 Scientific**

- Friendship
- Sleep deprivation

### **7.4.3 Electrical**

- Friendship
- Sleep deprivation

### **7.4.4 Software**

- Friendship
- Sleep deprivation

### **7.4.5 Mechanical**

- Friendship
- Sleep deprivation

### **7.4.6 Thermal**

- Friendship
- Sleep deprivation



## **8 Abbreviations and References**

### **8.1 Abbreviations**

## 8.2 References

- [1] ECSS Secretariat. *Space Engineering: Verification*. ESA-ESTEC, Requirements & Standards Division, ESTEC, P.O. Box 299, 2200 AG Noordwijk, The Netherlands, Nov 1998.

## **Appendix A   Experiment Reviews**

## **Appendix B   Outreach**

## **Appendix C    Additional Technical Information**

## **Appendix D   Checklists**