# CSCI 3190 Introduction to Discrete Mathematics and Algorithms

## Extended Exercise 1

#### **ABSTRACT**

## Keywords

#### 1. PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC

# 1.1 Propositional Logic

- 1. Explain, without using a truth table, why  $(p \lor q \lor r) \land (\neg p \lor \neg q \lor \neg r)$  is true when at least one of p, q, and r is true and at least one is false, but is false when all three variables have the same truth value.
- 2. Let p, q, and r be the propositions
  - p: Grizzly bears have been seen in the area.
  - q: Hiking is safe on the trail.
  - r: Berries are ripe along the trail.

Write these propositions using p, q, and r and logical connectives (including negations).

- (a) Berries are ripe along the trail, but grizzly bears have not been seen in the area.
- (b) Grizzly bears have not been seen in the area and hiking on the trail is safe, but berries are ripe along the trail.
- (c) If berries are ripe along the trail, hiking is safe if and only if grizzly bears have not been seen in the area.
- (d) It is not safe to hike on the trail, but grizzly bears have not been seen in the area and the berries along the trail are ripe.
- (e) For hiking on the trail to be safe, it is necessary but not sufficient that berries not be ripe along the trail and for grizzly bears not to have been seen in the area.
- (f) Hiking is not safe on the trail whenever grizzly bears have been seen in the area and berries are ripe along the trail.

- 3. Let p, q, and r be the propositions
  - p:You have the flu.
  - q: You miss the final examination.
  - r: You pass the course.

Express each of these propositions as an English sentence.

- (a)  $p \to q$
- (b)  $\neg q \leftrightarrow r$
- (c)  $q \to \neg r$
- (d)  $p \lor q \lor r$
- (e)  $(p \to \neg r) \lor (q \to \neg r)$
- (f)  $(p \wedge q) \vee (\neg q \wedge r)$

# 1.2 Application

1. Each inhabitant of a remote village always tells the truth or always lies. A villager will give only a âĂIJYesâĂİ or a âĂIJNoâĂİ response to a question a tourist asks. Suppose you are a tourist visiting this area and come to a fork in the road. One branch leads to the ruins you want to visit; the other branch leads deep into the jungle. A villager is standing at the fork in the road. What one question can you ask the villager to determine which branch to take?

#### 1.3 Propositional Equivalences

- 1. Show that  $(p \land q) \to p$  is a tautology by using truth tables.
- 2. Determine whether  $(\neg q \land (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg p$  is a tautology.
- 3. Show that  $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$  is a tautology.

## 1.4 Predicates and Quantifiers

- 1. Let P(x) denote the statement " $x \le 4$ ." What are these truth values?
  - (a) P(0)
  - (b) P(4)
  - (c) P(6)
- 2. Let P(x) be the statement "x spends more than five hours every weekday in class,"where the domain for x consists of all students. Express each of these quantifications in English.

- (a)  $\exists x P(x)$
- (b)  $\forall x P(x)$
- (c)  $\exists x \neg P(x)$
- (d)  $\forall x \neg P(x)$
- 3. Translate these statements into English, where C(x) is "x is a comedian" and F(x) is "x is funny" and the domain consists of all people.
  - (a)  $\forall x (C(x) \to F(x))$
  - (b)  $\forall x (C(x) \land F(x))$
  - (c)  $\exists x (C(x) \to F(x))$
  - (d)  $\exists x (C(x) \land F(x))$
- 4. Let P(x) be the statement "x can speak Cantonese" and let Q(x) be the statement "x knows the computer language C++." Express each of these sentences in terms of P(x), Q(x), quantifiers, and logical connectives. The domain for quantifiers consists of all students at CU.
  - (a) There is a student at CU who can speak Cantonese and who knows C++.
  - (b) There is a student at CU who can speak Cantonese but who doesnâ ĂŹt know C++.
  - (c) Every student at CU either can speak Cantonese or knows C++.
  - (d) No student at CU can speak Cantonese or knows C++.
- 5. Let P(x) be the statement " $x = x^2$ ." If the domain consists of the integers, what are these truth values?
  - (a) P(0)
  - (b) P(1)
  - (c) P(2)
  - (d) P(-1)
  - (e)  $\exists x P(x)$
  - (f)  $\forall x P(x)$
- 6. Suppose that the domain of the propositional function P(x) consists of the integers 0 and 1. Write out each of these propositions using disjunctions, conjunctions, and negations.
  - (a)  $\exists x P(x)$
  - (b)  $\forall x P(x)$
  - (c)  $\exists x \neg P(x)$
  - (d)  $\forall x \neg P(x)$
  - (e)  $\neg \exists x P(x)$
  - (f)  $\neg \forall x P(x)$
- For each of these statements find a domain for which the statement is true and a domain for which the statement is false.
  - (a) Everyone is studying discrete mathematics.
  - (b) Everyone is older than 21 years.
  - (c) Every two people have the same mother.
  - (d) No two different people have the same grandmother.

- 8. Find a counterexample, if possible, to these universally quantified statements, where the domain for all variables consists of all integers.
  - (a)  $\forall x(x^2 \ge x)$
  - (b)  $\forall x(x > 0 \lor x < 0)$
  - (c)  $\forall (x=1)$
- 9. Determine whether  $\forall x(P(x) \to Q(x))$  and  $\forall xP(x) \to \forall xQ(x)$  are logically equivalent. Justify your answer.
- 10. Show that  $\exists x(P(x) \lor Q(x))$  and  $\exists xP(x) \lor \exists xQ(x)$  are logically equivalent.

#### 1.5 Nested Quantifiers

- 1. Show that  $\forall x P(x) \land \exists x Q(x)$  is logically equivalent to  $\forall x \exists y (P(x) \land Q(y))$ , where all quantifiers have the same nonempty domain.
- 2. Show that  $\forall x P(x) \lor \exists x Q(x)$  is equivalent to  $\forall x \exists y (P(x) \lor Q(y))$ , where all quantifiers have the same nonempty domain.

## **APPENDIX**

#### A. ANSWER

# A.1 Propositional Logic

## A.1.1 Propositional Logic

- 1. The first clause is true if and only if at least one of p, q, and r is true. The second clause is true if and only if at least one of the three variables is false. Therefore the entire statement is true if and only if there is at least one T and one F among the truth values of the variables, in other words, that they donâ $\check{A}$ Źt all have the same truth value.
- 2. (a)  $r \wedge \neg p$ 
  - (b)  $\neg p \land q \land r$
  - (c)  $r \to (q \leftrightarrow \neg p)$
  - (d)  $\neg q \wedge \neg p \wedge r$
  - (e)  $(q \to (\neg r \land \neg p)) \land \neg ((\neg r \land \neg p) \to q)$
  - (f)  $(p \wedge r) \rightarrow \neg q$
- 3. (a) If you have the flu, then you will miss the final exam.
  - (b) Not missing the final exam is necessary and sufficient for passing the course. OR: You will pass the course if and only if you don't miss the final exam
  - (c) If you miss the final, you will not pass the course.
  - (d) You have the flu, or you miss the final, or you pass the course.
  - (e) If you have the flu, then you will not pass the course, or, if you miss the final, you will not pass the course.
  - (f) You have the flu and miss the final exam, or you don't miss the final exam and pass the course.

## A.1.2 Application

1. "If I were to ask you whether the right branch leads to the ruins, would you answer yes?"

#### A.1.3 Propositional Equivalences

1.

Table 1: Truth Table			
p	q	$p \wedge q$	$(p \land q) \to p$
Т	Т	Т	Т
${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	T	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$
$_{\rm F}$	F	F	Т

- 2. It is a tautology.
- 3. If both q and r are false,  $(p \lor q)$  and  $(\neg p \lor r)$  is opposite, then  $(\neg q \land \neg r) \to \neg (p \lor q) \lor \neg (\neg p \lor r)$  is tautology, so  $\neg (q \lor r) \to \neg ((p \lor q) \land (\neg p \lor r))$  is tautology, and  $(p \lor q) \land (\neg p \lor r) \to (q \lor r)$  is tautology.

#### A.1.4 Predicates and Quantifiers

- 1. (a) T
  - (b) T
  - (c) F
- 2. (a) There is a student who spends more than 5 hours every weekday in class.
  - (b) Every student spends more than 5 hours every weekday in class.
  - (c) There is a student who does not spend more than 5 hours every weekday in class.
  - (d) No student spends more than 5 hours every week-day in class.
- 3. (a) Every comedian is funny.
  - (b) Every person is a funny comedian.
  - (c) There exists a person such that if she or he is a comedian, then she or he is funny.
  - (d) Some comedians are funny.
- 4. (a)  $\exists x (P(x) \land Q(x))$ 
  - (b)  $\exists x (P(x) \land \neg Q(x))$
  - (c)  $\forall x (P(x) \lor Q(x))$
  - (d)  $\forall x \neg (P(x) \lor Q(x))$
- 5. (a) T
  - (b) T
  - (c) F
  - (d) F
  - (e) T
  - (f) F
- 6. (a)  $P(0) \vee P(1)$ 
  - (b)  $P(0) \wedge P(1)$
  - (c)  $\neg P(0) \lor \neg P(1)$
  - (d)  $\neg P(0) \land \neg P(1)$
  - (e)  $\neg (P(0) \lor P(1))$
  - (f)  $\neg (P(0) \land P(1))$
- 7. Many answers are possible.
  - (a) All students in CSCI3190; all students in the world
  - (b) All members of the Legislative Council; all students in CSCI3190
  - (c) Tan Sri Dr Runme Shaw and Sir Run Run Shaw; all members of the Legislative Council
  - (d) Sir Donald Tsang Yam-kuen and Leung Chunying; all students in the world
- 8. (a) There is no counterexample.
  - (b) x = 0
  - (c) x = 2
- 9. They are not equivalent. Let P(x) be any propositional function that is sometimes true and sometimes false, and let Q(x) be any propositional function that is always false. Then  $\forall x(P(x) \to Q(x))$  is false but  $\forall x P(x) \to \forall x Q(x)$  is true.
- 10. Both statements are true precisely when at least one of P(x) and Q(x) is true for at least one value of x in the domain.

## A.1.5 Nested Quantifiers

- 1. Suppose that  $\forall x P(x) \land \exists x Q(x)$  is true. Then P(x) is true for all x and there is an element y for which Q(y) is true. Because  $P(x) \land Q(y)$  is true for all x and there is a y for which Q(y) is true,  $\forall x \exists y (P(x) \land Q(y))$  is true. Conversely, suppose that the second proposition is true. Let x be an element in the domain. There is a y such that Q(y) is true, so  $\exists x Q(x)$  is true. Because  $\forall x P(x)$  is also true, it follows that the first proposition is true.
- 2. Suppose that  $\forall x P(x) \lor \exists x Q(x)$  is true. Then either P(x) is true for all x, or there exists a y for which Q(y) is true. In the former case,  $P(x) \lor Q(y)$  is true for all x, so  $\forall x \exists y (P(x) \lor Q(y))$  is true. In the latter case, Q(y) is true for a particular y, so  $P(x) \lor Q(y)$  is true for all x and consequently  $\forall x \exists y (P(x) \lor Q(y))$  is true. Conversely, suppose that the second proposition is true. If P(x) is true for all x, then the first proposition is true. If not, P(x) is false for some x, and for this x there must be a y such that  $P(x) \lor Q(y)$  is true. Hence, Q(y) must be true, so  $\exists y Q(y)$  is true. It follows that the first proposition must hold.