

## CS F351 Theory of Computation Tutorial-7

---

**Problem 1** Show using mathematical induction that the strings produced by the following context free grammar with productions

$$S \rightarrow 0 \mid S0 \mid 0S \mid 1SS \mid SS1 \mid S1S$$

has more 0's than 1's.

**Solution:**

Induction on the number of derivation steps which derives  $x$ .

Let  $n_i(x)$  denote the number of  $i$ 's in string  $x$ .

*Base case:*  $S \rightarrow 0$  is the only production which produces string in a single derivation.

*Induction hypothesis:* Assume that if  $S$  derives  $x$  in one or more steps then  $n_0(x) > n_1(x)$ .

*Induction step:* Let  $x'$  be the string which is derivable from  $S$  in one or more steps and it uses at exactly one more derivation step than the number of derivation steps is used to derive  $x$ .

To derive  $x'$  from  $S$  if we may use any of the productions given above. We will prove that in all cases  $n_0(x') > n_1(x')$ .

$S \rightarrow 0S \mid S0$  has been used first then by induction hypothesis we can say that right side  $S$  derive string in which  $n_0(x) > n_1(x)$  after appending or prepending 0 in  $x$  this inequality will hold.

$S \rightarrow S1S \mid 1SS \mid SS1$  has been used first. For the sake of clarity, relabel the symbols on the right hand side as  $S \rightarrow S_11S_2 \mid 1S_1S_2 \mid S_1S_21$ . Also, assume that  $S_1$  derives  $x_1$  and  $S_2$  derives  $x_2$ . Then by induction hypothesis we can say that  $n_0(x_1) > n_1(x_1)$  and  $n_0(x_2) > n_1(x_2)$ . Hence  $n_0(x_1x_2) > n_1(x_1x_2)$ ,  $n_0(x_1x_21) > n_1(x_1x_21)$  and  $n_0(1x_1x_2) > n_1(1x_1x_2)$ .

---

**Problem 2** consider a grammar  $G = (V, T, P, S)$  where  $V = \{S, A, B\}$ ,  $T = \{a, b\}$  and

$$P = \{S \rightarrow aB \mid bA, A \rightarrow a \mid aS \mid BAA, B \rightarrow b \mid bS \mid ABB\}.$$

- (a) Show that  $ababba \in L(G)$ .

**Solution:**  $S \Rightarrow aB \Rightarrow abS \Rightarrow abaB \Rightarrow ababS \Rightarrow ababbA \Rightarrow ababba$

- (b) Give a property defining  $L(G)$ .

**Solution:**  $L(G) = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* : w \text{ has the same number of } a\text{'s and } b\text{'s}\}$ . To prove the correctness, we do the case analysis:

- (i) The derivation starts with  $S \rightarrow aB$ . The next steps are the applications of the rules:  $R_1 : B \rightarrow b$ ,  $R_2 : B \rightarrow bS$ , or  $R_3 : B \rightarrow ABB$ .

By  $R_1$ , we get  $ab \in L$ .

By  $R_2$ , we get  $S \xrightarrow{*} abS$ .

By  $R_3$ , we get  $S \xrightarrow{*} aABB$ . Here,  $A$  can become  $a$ , or  $aS$  or  $BAA$ . In all these cases, we get words with the same number of  $a$ 's and  $b$ 's.

- (ii) The derivation starts with  $S \rightarrow bA$ . The case is similar to the above.
-

**Problem 3** Construct CFG for the following languages.

- (a)  $\{wcw^R : w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$ .

**Solution:**  $S \rightarrow aSa | bSb | c$

- (b)  $\{ww^R : w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$ .

**Solution:**  $S \rightarrow aSa | bSb | \epsilon$

- (c)  $\{w \in \{a, b\}^* : w \text{ has twice as many } b's \text{ as } a's\}$

**Solution:**  $S \rightarrow \epsilon,$

$S \rightarrow Sabb | aSbb | abSb | abbS,$

$S \rightarrow Sbab | bSab | baSb | babS, \text{ and}$

$S \rightarrow Sbba | bSba | bbSa | bbaS.$

**Problem 4** Show that the grammar  $G = (V, T, P, S)$  where  $V = \{S\}$ ,  $T = \{a, b\}$ , and  $P = \{S \rightarrow aSa | bSb | a | b | \epsilon\}$  generates the language  $L(G) = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* : w = ww^R\}$ .

**Solution:**

**Observation:** For any three strings  $x, y, z \in \Sigma^*$ , we have  $(xyz)^R = z^R y^R x^R$ .

Observe that the rules  $S \rightarrow aSa | bSb | \epsilon$  generate the language  $L_1 = \{ww^R : w \in \Sigma^*\}$ . Further, by adding  $S \rightarrow a | b$ , we get the language  $L(G) = L_1 \cup \{waw^R : w \in \Sigma^*\} \cup \{wbw^R : w \in \Sigma^*\}$ .

We note that any string  $w \in L(G)$  must have the form  $w = xx^R$  or  $w = xax^R$ , or  $w = xbx^R$  for some  $x \in \{a, b\}^*$ .

- (a) Suppose that  $w = xx^R$ . Thus,  $w^R = (xx^R)^R = (x^R)^R x^R = xx^R = w$ .

- (b) Suppose that  $w = xax^R$ . Thus,  $w^R = (xax^R)^R = (x^R)^R a^R x^R = xax^R = w$ . (note that  $a^R = a$ )

- (c) Suppose that  $w = xbx^R$ . Thus,  $w^R = (xbx^R)^R = (x^R)^R b^R x^R = xbx^R = w$ . (note that  $b^R = b$ )