

3.

$$q u \left[ (1+R_1)A + w - A \right] + (1-q) u \left[ (1+R_0)A + w - A \right]$$

$$U(x) = \ln(x)$$

$$\underset{\substack{U'(x) = 0 \\ \text{w.r.t. } A}}{q \frac{R_1}{R_1 A + w} + (1-q) \frac{R_0}{R_0 A + w} = 0}$$

$$\text{or } A = -w \left[ \frac{q R_1 + (1-q) R_0}{R_0 R_1} \right] \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\text{Given : } q = 0.4$$

$$R_1 = 5\%$$

$$1-q = 0.6$$

$$R_0 = -1\%$$

Putting the values in eq<sup>n</sup>. (1) we get

(4)

$$A = 28 w$$

(1)

As wealth increases, the investor puts more of his portfolio into the equity fund.



3.2

$$U(x) = -e^{-x}$$

Max.  $U(x)$  w.r.t.  $A$

we get

$$R_1 q e^{-(R_1 A + w)} + R_0 (1-q) e^{-(R_0 A + w)} = 0$$

$$A = \frac{1}{R_0 - R_1} \ln \left[ -\frac{R_0 (1-q)}{R_1 q} \right]$$

(4) 
$$A = \frac{1}{(-1\% - 5\%)} \ln \left[ \frac{1\% \times 0.6}{5\% \times 0.4} \right]$$

$$A = 20.07$$

$A$  does not change with  $w$ .

(+1) The investment in risky asset does not change with  $w$ .

There is no relationship between  $A$  and  $w$ .



4.4. Let  $\bar{w}$  be the weight matrix

4 marks total.

$$\bar{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w \\ w \\ w \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 1}$$

Objective function:

\*  $\bar{w}^T \Sigma \bar{w}$  where  $\Sigma$  is var-covariance matrix

s.t.

\*  $\bar{w}^T \mathbf{I} = 1$  where  $\mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 1}$

\*  $\bar{w}^T R \geq 20\%$   
 $\alpha =$

\*  $w's \geq 0$  if no short selling  
Even if not mentioned it is fine