

6.11.2023

$$S.1) U_1 = (p \rightarrow \neg q) \wedge (q \vee r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$$

write DNF and CNF and prove U_1 is a tautology.

DNF : disj. normal form $\rightarrow \bigvee (\bigwedge \text{clauses})$

CNF : conj. normal form $\rightarrow \bigwedge (\bigvee \text{clauses})$

$$A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg A \vee B$$

we apply the normalization of U_1 *higher priority.*

$$U_1 \xrightarrow{\text{replace 1,3}} (\neg p \vee \neg q) \wedge (q \vee r) \rightarrow (\neg p \vee r)$$

$$U_1 \xrightarrow{\text{replace 2}} \neg((\neg p \vee \neg q) \wedge (q \vee r)) \vee (\neg p \vee r) \quad \text{redundant parenthesis}$$

$$U_1 \xrightarrow{\text{De Morgan}} (\underbrace{p \wedge q}_{\text{cube I}}) \vee (\underbrace{\neg q \wedge \neg r}_{\text{cube II}}) \vee \underbrace{\neg p \vee r}_{\text{cube III}} \rightarrow \text{DNF with 3 cubes}$$

$$U_1 \xrightarrow[\text{laws}]{\text{distrib.}} (\underbrace{p \vee \neg q \vee \neg p \vee r}_{\equiv T}) \wedge (\underbrace{p \vee \neg r \vee \neg p \vee r}_{\equiv T}) \wedge (\underbrace{q \vee \neg q \vee \neg r \vee r}_{\equiv T}) \wedge (\underbrace{q \vee \neg r \vee \neg p \vee r}_{\text{always true}}) \rightarrow \text{CNF with 4 clauses}$$

$$(3+4) \cdot (5+6) - 7 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 + 3 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 + 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 + 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 7$$

$$U_1 \equiv T \wedge T \wedge T \wedge T$$

$\Rightarrow U_1$ always true \Rightarrow tautology (valid formula)

U is valid (tautology) if all the clauses of $CNF(U)$ are valid. (ex 5)

A clause is valid if it contains a pair of opposite literals.

U is inconsistent if all the clauses of $CNF(U)$ are inconsistent

7.1) \nearrow

$$U_1 = (p^1 \rightarrow (q^2 \rightarrow r)) \wedge \neg((p^3 \rightarrow q)^2 \rightarrow (p^5 \rightarrow r))$$
$$U_1 \xrightarrow{\text{replace 2,3,5}} (p^1 \rightarrow (\neg q \vee r)) \wedge \neg((\neg p \vee q)^2 \rightarrow (\neg p \vee r))$$
$$U_1 \xrightarrow{\text{replace 1,2}} (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee r) \wedge \neg(\neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee (\neg p \vee r))$$
$$U_1 \xrightarrow{\text{De Morgan}} (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \wedge p \wedge \neg r$$

\hookrightarrow CNF with 4 clauses.

$$U_1 \xrightarrow{\text{distrib.}} (\underbrace{\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge p \wedge \neg r}_{\equiv F}) \vee (\underbrace{\neg p \wedge q \wedge p \wedge \neg r}_{\equiv F}) \vee (\underbrace{\neg q \wedge \neg p \wedge p \wedge \neg r}_{\equiv F})$$
$$\vee (\underbrace{\neg q \wedge q \wedge p \wedge \neg r}_{\equiv F}) \vee (\underbrace{r \wedge \neg p \wedge p \wedge \neg r}_{\equiv F}) \vee (\underbrace{r \wedge q \wedge p \wedge \neg r}_{\equiv F})$$

$$U_1 \equiv F \vee F \vee F \vee F \vee F \vee F$$

$U_1 \equiv F \Rightarrow U_1$ is inconsistent. because all clauses from DNF are inconsistent