

# Option-Critic in Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space and Deterministic Intra-Option Policy Gradient Theorem Technical Report

Riashat Islam  
McGill University  
Reasoning and Learning Lab  
riashat.islam@mail.mcgill.ca

February 24, 2017

## 1 Introduction

In this work, we consider deriving policy gradient theorem for options in the Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS). We extend work from [1] and [2] and consider modelling intra-option policies in MDPs in the vector-valued RKHS. The representation of intra-option policies in RKHS provides the ability to learn complex policies by working non-parametrically in a rich function class. Extending work from [1] and [2], we will develop gradient based intra-option policy optimisation in the RKHS by deriving the functional gradient of the return for our options.

By modelling intra-option policies in the vector valued RKHS, the policy space can be a rich functional class. The intra-option policy gradient theorem is an entire function in the RKHS which is not restricted to any a-priori chosen parameterisation of the class.

In a later section, we show derivation of the existence of the deterministic intra-option policy gradient theorem similar to [3]. We show that similar to the deterministic policy gradients [3], the intra-option deterministic gradient considers the expected gradient of the option-value function. Our hypothesis is that existence of these gradients can outperform their stochastic counterparts especially in continuous control domains such as the MuJoCo simulator.

## 2 Modelling Intra-Option Policies in RKHS

We will consider intra-option stochastic Gaussian policies parameterised by deterministic functions  $h \in H, h : S \rightarrow A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  of the form below. The function  $h(\cdot)$  is an element of an RKHS  $H_K$  of the form  $h(\cdot) = \sum_i K(s, \cdot) \alpha_i \in H_K$

$$\pi_{\omega, h, \Sigma}(a|s) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(h(s)-a)^T \Sigma^{-1}(h(s)-a)} \quad (1)$$

We denote the intra-option policy for option  $w$  parameterised by function  $h$  as  $\pi_{\omega, h}$ .

The linear paramterisation approach of  $h(s)$  assumes that the policy  $\pi$  is parameterised by the parameter space  $\theta$  and can depend linearly on predefined features  $\phi_i(s)$  given by  $h(s) = \sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i \phi_i(s)$ . Similarly, in the non-parametric case with a reproducing kernel  $K$ , we can represent  $h(s)$  as  $h(s) = \langle K(s), h \rangle$  based on the reproducing property.

## 2.1 Intra-Option Policy Gradient Theorem in RKHS

Following work from [1], considering intra-option policies parameterised by  $\theta$ , the intra-option policy gradient theorem was given by :

$$\nabla_{\theta} Q_{\Omega}(s, \omega) = \left( \sum_a \nabla_{\theta} \pi_{\omega, \theta}(a|s) Q_U(s, \omega, a) \right) + \left( \sum_a \pi_{\omega, \theta}(a|s) \sum_{s'} \gamma P(s'|s, a) \nabla_{\theta} U(\omega, s') \right) \quad (2)$$

In our work, since we consider intra-option policies parameterised by deterministic functions  $h$ , the above equation for intra-option policies in the functional space can be written as :

$$\nabla_h Q_{\Omega}(s, \omega) = \left( \sum_a \nabla_h \pi_{\omega, h}(a|s) Q_U(s, \omega, a) \right) + \left( \sum_a \pi_{\omega, h}(a|s) \sum_{s'} \gamma P(s'|s, a) \nabla_h U(\omega, s') \right) \quad (3)$$

and we will consider taking the functional derivative, ie, the Frechet derivative of the term  $\nabla_h \pi_{\omega, h}(a|s)$  such that for the gradient of the objective functional  $\nabla_h U(\pi_{\omega, h}) \in H_K$  the functional gradient update direction is given by:

$$h_{k+1} \leftarrow h_k + \alpha \nabla_h U(\pi_{\omega, h}) \quad (4)$$

Following work from [1], our Intra-Option policy gradient theorem in the RKHS is given by :

$$\sum_{s, \omega} \mu_{\Omega}(s, \omega | s_0, \omega_0) \sum_a \nabla_h \pi_{\omega, h} Q_U(s, \omega, a) \quad (5)$$

Note that from the above equation, we can write the following:

$$\sum_a \nabla_h \pi_{\omega, h} = \nabla_h \log \pi_{\omega, h} \quad (6)$$

Given the stochastic Gaussian policy, we now have:

$$\log \pi_{\omega, h} = -\log Z - \frac{1}{2} (h(s) - a)^T \Sigma^{-1} (h(s) - a) \quad (7)$$

The functional derivative of the log policy term can therefore be written, based on the notion of Frechet derivative as :

$$\nabla_h (\log \pi_{\omega, h}) = K(s, \cdot) \Sigma^{-1} (a - h(s)) \in H_K \quad (8)$$

Previous work considering policy search in the RKHS space was also considered by [4]. For more details on using functional gradients, see [5].

The option-critic in RKHS can therefore be written as:

$$\sum_{s, \omega} \mu_{\Omega}(s, \omega | s_0, \omega_0) K(s, \cdot) \Sigma^{-1}(a - h(s)) Q_U(s, \omega, a) \quad (9)$$

where we can use either a linear or a non-linear function approximator for  $Q_U(s, \omega, a)$ .

Note that the following derivation might be more useful when considering linear functional approximators of the form  $Q^w = w^T \phi(s)$ , since [2] also shows, for the policy gradients in RKHS, the existence of the compatible function approximator. However, in case of non-linear function approximators such as DQNs [6], it might not be easily extensible to consider intra-option policy gradients in the RKHS.

### 3 Deterministic Intra-Option Policy Gradient Theorem

We will consider the deterministic version of the intra-option policy gradient theorem following work from [1]. We follow similar derivation as in [1] and [3] to derive the deterministic version of the intra-option policy gradient theorem.

Let the deterministic intra-option policy be given by  $\mu_{\omega, \theta}$  such that  $a = \mu_{\omega, \theta}(s)$ . The cumulative reward objective function based on option  $J(\mu_{\omega, \theta})$  can be written as:

$$J(\mu_{\omega, \theta}) = \int_s \rho(s) V_{\Omega}^{\mu_{\omega, \theta}}(s) ds \quad (10)$$

The gradient of the expected discounted return with respect to the parameter  $\theta$  of the intra-option policies is therefore:

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\mu_{\omega, \theta}) = \int_s \rho(s) \nabla_{\theta} V_{\Omega}^{\mu_{\omega, \theta}}(s) ds \quad (11)$$

Our goal is to find the gradient of the option value function

$$\nabla_{\theta} Q_{\Omega}^{\mu_{\omega, \theta}}(s, \omega) \quad (12)$$

In case of deterministic intra-option policies, the following holds:

$$\nabla_{\theta} Q_{\Omega}^{\mu_{\omega, \theta}}(s, \omega) = \nabla_{\theta} Q_U^{\mu_{\omega, \theta}}(s, \omega, \mu_{\omega, \theta}(s)) \quad (13)$$

We can therefore derive the gradient as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\theta} V_{\Omega}^{\mu_{\omega}, \theta}(s) &= \nabla_{\theta} Q_{\Omega}^{\mu_{\omega}, \theta}(s, \omega) \\
&= \nabla_{\theta} [r(s, \mu_{\omega}, \theta(s)) + \int_s \gamma p(s' | s, \mu_{\omega}, \theta(s)) V^{\mu_{\omega}, \theta}(s') ds'] \\
&= \nabla_{\theta} r(s, \mu_{\omega}, \theta(s)) + \nabla_{\theta} \int_s \gamma p(s' | s, \mu_{\omega}, \theta(s)) V^{\mu_{\omega}, \theta}(s') ds' \\
&= \nabla_{\theta} \mu_{\omega, \theta}(s) \nabla_a r(s, a) + \int_s \gamma p(s' | s, \mu_{\omega}, \theta(s)) \nabla_{\theta} V^{\mu_{\omega}, \theta}(s') + \nabla_{\theta} \mu_{\omega, \theta}(s) \nabla_a p(s' | s, a) V^{\mu_{\omega}, \theta}(s') ds' \\
&= \nabla_{\theta} \mu_{\omega, \theta}(s) \nabla_a [r(s, a) + \int_s p(s' | s, a) V^{\mu_{\omega}, \theta}(s') ds'] + \int_s \gamma p(s' | s, \mu_{\omega}, \theta(s)) \nabla_{\theta} V^{\mu_{\omega}, \theta}(s') ds \\
&= \nabla_{\theta} \mu_{\omega, \theta}(s) \nabla_a Q_{\Omega}^{\mu_{\omega}, \theta}(s, \omega) + \int_s \gamma p(s \rightarrow s', 1, \mu_{\omega}, \theta(s)) \nabla_{\theta} V^{\mu_{\omega}, \theta}(s') ds' \\
&= \nabla_{\theta} \mu_{\omega, \theta}(s) Q_U^{\mu_{\omega}, \theta}(s, \omega, a) + \int_s \gamma p(s \rightarrow s', 1, \mu_{\omega}, \theta(s)) \nabla_{\theta} V^{\mu_{\omega}, \theta}(s') ds'
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

By considering multiple steps ahead iterating over using the recursive relation, we can therefore write

$$\nabla_{\theta} V_{\Omega}^{\mu_{\omega}, \theta}(s) = \int_s \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t p(s \rightarrow s', t, \mu_{\omega}, \theta(s')) \nabla_{\theta} \mu_{\omega, \theta}(s') \nabla_a Q_{\Omega}^{\mu_{\omega}, \theta}(s', \omega) ds' \tag{15}$$

Therefore, the deterministic intra-option policy gradient can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\theta} J(\mu_{\omega}, \theta) &= \nabla_{\theta} \int_s \rho(s) V^{\mu_{\omega}, \theta}(s) ds \\
&= \int_s \int_s \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t \rho(s) p(s \rightarrow s', t, \mu_{\omega}, \theta) \nabla_{\theta} \mu_{\omega, \theta}(s') \nabla_a Q_{\Omega}^{\mu_{\omega}, \theta}(s', \omega) ds' ds \\
&= \int_s \rho^{\omega, \theta}(s, \omega) \nabla_{\theta} \mu_{\omega, \theta}(s) \nabla_a Q_{\Omega}(s, \omega) ds
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Since for the deterministic intra-option policies, we will have that:

$$Q_{\Omega}(s, \omega) = Q_U(s, \omega, \mu_{\omega, \theta}(s)) \tag{17}$$

the final form of the deterministic intra-option policy gradient theorem can therefore be written as :

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\mu_{\omega}, \theta) = \int_s \rho^{\omega, \theta}(s, \omega) \nabla_{\theta} \mu_{\omega, \theta}(s) \nabla_a Q_{\Omega}(s, \omega) ds \tag{18}$$

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\mu_{\omega}, \theta) = \int_s \rho^{\omega, \theta}(s, \omega) \nabla_{\theta} \mu_{\omega, \theta}(s) \nabla_a Q_U(s, \omega, \mu_{\omega, \theta}(s)) ds \tag{19}$$

## References

- [1] Pierre-Luc Bacon, Jean Harb, and Doina Precup. The option-critic architecture. *CoRR*, abs/1609.05140, 2016.
- [2] Guy Lever and Ronnie Stafford. Modelling policies in mdps in reproducing kernel hilbert space. In *Proceedings of the Eighteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, AISTATS 2015, San Diego, California, USA, May 9-12, 2015*, 2015.
- [3] David Silver, Guy Lever, Nicolas Heess, Thomas Degris, Daan Wierstra, and Martin A. Riedmiller. Deterministic policy gradient algorithms. In *Proceedings of the 31th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2014, Beijing, China, 21-26 June 2014*, pages 387–395, 2014.
- [4] Ngo Anh Vien, Peter Englert, and Marc Toussaint. Policy search in reproducing kernel hilbert space. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2016, New York, NY, USA, 9-15 July 2016*, pages 2089–2096, 2016.
- [5] Drew Bagnell. Functional gradient descent lecture notes. pages 2089–2096, 2016.
- [6] Volodymyr Mnih, Koray Kavukcuoglu, David Silver, Alex Graves, Ioannis Antonoglou, Daan Wierstra, and Martin A. Riedmiller. Playing atari with deep reinforcement learning. *CoRR*, abs/1312.5602, 2013.