

Responses to Reviewers' Comments for Manuscript TWC-2020-X

My Awesome Paper Title

Addressed Comments for Publication to
IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications

by

Donald Duck and Mickey Mouse

Dear Dr. Doom,

Please find enclosed the revised version of our previous submission entitled “My Awesome Paper Title” with manuscript number TWC-2020-X. We would like to thank you and the reviewers for the valuable comments which help improving the quality of our manuscript. In this revision, we have carefully addressed the reviewers’ comments. A summary of main modifications and a detailed point-by-point response to the comments from Reviewers 1 and 3 (following the reviewers’ order in the decision letter) are given below.

Yours sincerely,

Donald Duck and Mickey Mouse

Note: To enhance the legibility of this response letter, all the editor’s and reviewers’ comments are typeset in boxes. Rephrased or added sentences are typeset in color. The respective parts in the manuscript are highlighted to indicate changes.

Author's Response to the Editor

General Comments. The reviewer(s) have suggested some minor revisions to your manuscript. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise your manuscript.

Response: We appreciate your handling of the review process.

According to the reviewers' comments, we have checked our manuscript and addressed them in the following way:

1. We added content.
2. We removed our wrong statements in Section I.

Author's Response to Reviewer 1

General Comments. General comment about the work.

Response: Thank you for your feedback.

We have carefully addressed all the issues item by item as follows.

Comment 1

Your work is really good. However, you should change the title.

Response: Thank you for the comment.

We agree that the title is somewhat misleading. We therefore changed it in the current version of the manuscript.

Comment 2

Everything else is really good.

Response: Thank you for the comment.

We totally agree.

Author's Response to Reviewer 2

General Comments. In general, the work is well-written. However, I have the following concerns.

Response: Thank you for your feedback.

We have carefully addressed all the issues item by item as follows.

Comment 1

The work is not really good.

Response: Thank you for the comment.

:(