### **COOPERATIVE GAME THEORY**

RICHARD MUŽÍK

RICHARD@IMUZIK.CZ

APRIL 18, 2023

## INTRODUCTION

#### **COOPERATIVE GAME**

#### Cooperative game

A **cooperative game** is an ordered pair (N, v), where N is a set of players and  $v: 2^N \to \mathbb{R}$  is the characteristic function. Further,  $v(\emptyset) = 0$ .

- $\blacksquare$   $\Gamma^n$  ... set of *n*-person cooperative games
- $\blacksquare$   $S \subseteq N$  ... coalition
- $\blacksquare$  v(S) ... value of coalition
- $\blacksquare$  usually  $N = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ 
  - $\blacktriangleright$  (S,  $v_S$ ) is **subgame** (N, v):

    - $\mathbf{v}_{S}(T) := v(T) \text{ pro } T \subseteq S$

# COOPERATION - EXAMPLES OF MODELS: MINIMAL SPANNING-TREE GAMES

Goal: Find the best connection of players to a source

- $N = N' \cup \{o\}$  ... set of players + source
- $c_{ij} ... cost of connecting i, j$
- solution: a network, where each  $i \in N$  is connected to 0 with minimal sum of costs

#### GOAL OF THE MODEL OF COOPERATIVE GAMES

#### Money first!

- **Payoff vector**  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 
  - $\triangleright$   $x_i$  represents payoff of player i
- Vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is **efficient,** if  $\sum_{i \in N} x_i = v(N)$ 
  - ightharpoonup Usually, we distribute v(N)
    - 1. value of cooperation v(N)
    - 2. shared costs c(N)
- Vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is individually rational, if  $x_i \geq v(i)$ 
  - ightharpoonup players prefer  $x_i$  over v(i)
- $\blacksquare \ \mathcal{I}^*(v) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x(N) = v(N)\} \ \dots \ \mathsf{preimputation}$ 
  - $ightharpoonup x(S) := \sum_{i \in S} x_i$
- $\mathcal{I}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid \forall i \in \mathbb{N} : x_i \geq v(i)\}$  ... imputation

- Set of payoff vectors satisfying further properties are solution concepts
- Can reflect payoff distribution, which is
  - ► ...fair...
  - ► ...non-discriminatory...
  - ► ...stable (players will accept it)...
  - ▶ ...

L

#### Formally:

- 1. sets of payoff vectors
  - $\blacktriangleright \ \Sigma(v) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \dots \}$
- 2. functions on games
  - $ightharpoonup \Sigma \colon \Gamma^n o 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$

#### Formally:

- 1. sets of payoff vectors
- 2. functions on games
  - $\blacktriangleright \ \Sigma \colon \Gamma^n \to 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$

#### We distringush

- 1. single-point solution concepts
  - ightharpoonup as a set:  $\Sigma(v) = \{x\}$ 
    - we prefer:  $\Sigma(v) = x$
  - ▶ as a function:  $\Sigma \colon \Gamma^n \to \mathbb{R}$
- 2. multi-point solution concepts

Idea: Payoff distribution leads to cooperation...

#### The core

For a cooperative game (N, v), the **core** C(v) is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \ge v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

- assumption: homo economicus
  - ► model of human as a player
  - strictly rational and selfish
  - ► follows his subjective goals
- $\blacksquare$  v(N) ... value, which is distributed among players
- $x(S) > v(S) \implies$  coalition S does not leave N
  - ► would lead to (S, v<sub>S</sub>)
  - $\triangleright$  v(S) ... distributed value

#### The core

For a cooperative game (N, v), the **core** C(v) is

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{v}) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{I}^*(\mathbf{v}) \mid \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{S}) \ge \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{S}), \forall \mathbf{S} \subseteq \mathbf{N} \}.$$

Reminder

#### Nash equilibrium

Strategy profile  $(s_1, ..., s_n)$  is **Nash equilibrium**, if it holds for every player i,

$$v_i(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n) \ge v_i(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, t_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n)$$

for every  $t_i \in S_i$ .

#### The core

For a cooperative game (N, v), the **core** C(v) is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \ge v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

#### Emptyness of the core

There are cooperative games (N, v) with empty core.

- Non-esential games
  - $ightharpoonup v(N) < \sum_{i \in N} v(i)$
- Let  $x \in C(v)$

$$ightharpoonup x(N) = v(N) < \sum_{i \in N} \le x(N)$$

**a** x does not exist  $\implies C(v) = \emptyset$ 

Question: When is the core non-empty?

We can encode the core as linear program (P) and determine the dual program (D)

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \ge v(S) \text{ for } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

We derive the weak Bondereva-Shapley theorem

#### Weak Bondareva-Shapley theorem

Cooperative game (N, v) has non-empty core if and only if

$$v\left(N\right) \geq \sum_{S \subset N} y_S v\left(S\right) \text{ for all feasible } y \in \mathbb{R}^{(2^n-1)}$$

# SINGLE-POINT SOLUTION CONCEPT: THE SHAPLEY VALUE

Idea: Divide the profit in a fair way...

#### The Shapley value

For a cooperative game (N, v), the **Shapley value**  $\phi(v)$  of player i is

$$\phi_i(v) = \sum_{S \subseteq N \setminus i} \frac{s!(n-s-1)!}{n!} \left( v(S \cup i) - v(S) \right)$$

- $\blacksquare$   $v(S \cup i) v(S)$ 
  - ightharpoonup marginal contribution (of player i in  $S \cup i$ )
- $=\frac{s!(n-s-1)!}{n!}$ 
  - weights reflecting different sizes of coalitions
- $\blacksquare \sum_{S \subseteq N \setminus i}$ 
  - ▶ sum of all marginal contributions of i

# SINGLE-POINT SOLUTION CONCEPT: THE SHAPLEY VALUE

Idea: Divide the profit in a fair way...

#### The Shapley value

For a cooperative game (N, v), the **Shapley value**  $\phi(v)$  of player i is

$$\phi_i(v) = \sum_{S \subseteq N \setminus i} \frac{s!(n-s-1)!}{n!} \left( v(S \cup i) - v(S) \right)$$

- The players agree om the following procedure:
  - 1. Form the grandcoalition N.
  - 2. Enter the the coalition individually and randomly.
  - 3. When player *i* enters coalition *S*, he receives  $v(S \cup i) v(S)$ .
- $\blacksquare$  s! (n-s-1)! ... number of situations, in which i enters S
- $\blacksquare$  n! ... number of all possible ways to construct N
- $\blacksquare$   $\phi_i(v)$  ... the average value of player i's payment

#### SHAPLEY VALUE

It is possible to define it using its properties...

### The Shapley value

The **Shapley value**  $\phi(v)$  is the only function  $f: \Gamma^n \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying for all games (N, v), (N, w):

- 1. (AXIOM OF EFFICIENCE)
  - $ightharpoonup \sum_{i \in N} f_i(v) = v(N)$
- 2. (AXIOM OF SYMMETRY)

$$\forall i,j \in N \ (\forall S \subseteq N \setminus \{i,j\} : v(S \cup i) = v(S \cup j)) \implies f_i(v) = f_j(v)$$

- 3. (AXIOM OF NULL PLAYER)
  - $ightharpoonup \forall i \in N \ (\forall S \subseteq N : v(S) = v(S \cup i)) \implies f_i(v) = 0$
- 4. (AXIOM OF ADDITIVITY)
  - $ightharpoonup v, w \in \Gamma^n : f(v+w) = f(v) + f(w)$

#### WEBER SET

- 1. We fix one construction of N
  - ▶  $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$  ... represent it by permutation
  - $ightharpoonup \sigma(i)$  ... the order, in which player i enters the coalition
- 2. We compute player's payments
  - $ightharpoonup m_{\rm v}^{\sigma}$  ... marginal vector
  - $(m_{v}^{\sigma})_{i} = v \left(S_{\sigma(i)} \cup i\right) v \left(S_{\sigma(i)}\right)$   $S_{\sigma(i)} = \{j \in N \mid \sigma(j) < \sigma(i)\} \text{ ... predecessors of } i \text{ under } \sigma$
- 3. We consider all combinations
  - ▶  $W(v) = conv \{ m_v^{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma_n \}$  ... Webber set
- It holds:  $\phi(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \frac{m_{\mathbf{v}}^{\sigma}}{n!}$

#### Relation between the Shapley value and the Webber set

For a cooperative game (N, v) it holds:

$$\phi(\mathsf{v}) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathsf{v})$$

Moreover,  $\phi(v)$  is the center of gravity of W(v).

#### WEBER SET

#### **Provable by induction:**

#### The Weber set contains the core

For every cooperative game (N, v), it holds  $C(v) \subseteq W(v)$ 

**■** monotonic game

$$(S \subseteq T \subseteq N) (v(S) \le v(T))$$

**■** superadditive game

$$(S, T \subseteq N, S \cap T = \emptyset) (v(S) + v(T) \le v(S \cup T))$$

**■** convex game

$$(S, T \subseteq N) (v(S) + v(T) \le v (S \cap T) + v (S \cup T))$$

■ essential game

$$v(N) \geq \sum_{i \in N} v(i)$$

**■** balanced game

$$C(v) \neq \emptyset$$

Question: What are the relations between them?

#### Balanced and essential

Balanced cooperative games are essential.

- $\blacksquare$  (N, v) is essential
  - $\blacktriangleright \ \mathcal{I}(v) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x(N) = v(N) \land \forall i \in N : x_i \ge v(i)\} \neq \emptyset$
- $\blacksquare$  (N, v) is balanced
  - $\blacktriangleright \ \emptyset \neq \mathcal{C}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(v)$

Question: What are the relations between them

### Convex and superadditive

Convex cooperative games are superadditive.

- $\blacksquare$  (N, v) is convex
  - $(S, T \subseteq N) (v(S) + v(T) \le v (S \cap T) + v (S \cup T))$
- $\blacksquare$  (N, v) is superadditive
  - $(S, T \subseteq N, S \cap T = \emptyset) (v(S) + v(T) \le v(S \cup T))$
- $\blacksquare$   $S \cap T = \emptyset$ 
  - $(S \cap T) = 0$
  - $ightharpoonup v(S) + v(T) \le v(S \cup T)$

**TODO:** There will be beautiful picture

#### Convex games

$$(S, T \subseteq N) (v(S) + v(T) \le v (S \cap T) + v (S \cup T))$$

#### Core of convex games

For a convex cooperative game (N, v), it holds C(v) = W(v)

Proof: We show only  $m_{v}^{id} \in \mathcal{C}(v)$ 

1. 
$$m_{\nu}^{id}(N) = \sum_{i \in N} \nu(\{1, \dots, i\}) - \nu(\{1, \dots, i-1\}) = V(N)$$

2. 
$$m_{v}^{id}(S) \ge v(S)$$

► 
$$S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$$

$$\blacksquare S_1 < S_2 < \cdots < S_k$$

▶ 
$$V(\{1,...,s_i\}) - V(\{1,...,s_{i-1}\}) \ge V(\{s_1,...,s_i\}) - V(\{s_1,...,s_{i-1}\})$$
  
■  $\{s_1,s_2,...,s_l\} \subseteq \{1,...,s_l\}$  for  $l < k$ 

$$ightharpoonup m_{v}^{id} \ge \sum_{s_{i} \in S} v(\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{i}\}) - v(\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{i-1}\}) = v(S)$$

#### Convex games

$$(S, T \subseteq N) (v(S) + v(T) \le v (S \cap T) + v (S \cup T))$$

Consequence:

#### The Shapley value and convex games

For a convex cooperative game (N, v), it holds:

- 1.  $\phi(\mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{v})$
- **2.**  $\phi(v)$  is the centre of gravity of C(v)

# DISADVANTAGES OF THE MODEL AND

**MOTIVATION FOR NEXT LECTURES** 

#### DISADVANTAGES

#### Cooperative game

A **cooperative game** is an ordered pair (N, v), where N is a set of players and  $v: 2^N \to \mathbb{R}$  is the characteristic function. Further,  $v(\emptyset) = 0.$ 

- 1. we might not be interested in forming N
  - we want to analyze coalition formation
- 2. not every coalition makes sense
  - two players from completely different fields (why would they want to cooperate)
- 3.  $2^n$  real values representing v
  - expensive to get all information
  - expensive to store all information

#### **MOTIVATION**

### Cooperative game

A **cooperative game** is an ordered pair (N, v), where N is a set of players and  $v: 2^N \to \mathbb{R}$  is the characteristic function. Further,  $v(\emptyset) = 0$ .

- 1. we might not be interested in forming N
  - games with coalition structure
- 2. not every coalition makes sense
  - games on graphs (Martin Černý)
- 3.  $2^n$  real values representing v
  - ► incomplete games (David Sychrovský + Filip Úradník)
  - ► interval games (Martin Kunst)
  - ► stochastic games (David Ryzák)
  - ► models with compact characteristic function (Martin Černý)