# **COOPERATIVE GAME THEORY**

RICHARD MUŽÍK

RICHARD@IMUZIK.CZ

APRIL 17, 2023

# INTRODUCTION

#### **COOPERATIVE GAME**

# Cooperative game

A **cooperative game** is an ordered pair (N, v), where N is a set of players and  $v \colon 2^N \to \mathbb{R}$  is the characteristic function. Further,  $v(\emptyset) = 0$ .

- $\blacksquare$   $\Gamma^n$  ... set of *n*-person cooperative games
- $\blacksquare$   $S \subseteq N$  ... coalition
- $\blacksquare$  v(S) ... values of coalition
- $\blacksquare$  usually  $N = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ 
  - $\blacktriangleright$  (S,  $v_S$ ) is **subgame** (N, v):

    - $\mathbf{v}_{S}(T) := v(T) \text{ pro } T \subseteq S$

# COOPERATION - EXAMPLES OF MODELS: MINIMAL SPANNING-TREE GAMES

Goal: Find the best connection of players to a source

- $N = N' \cup \{o\}$  ... set of players + source
- $c_{ij} ... cost of connecting i, j$
- solution: a network, where each  $i \in N$  is connected to 0 with minimal sum of costs

#### GOAL OF THE MODEL OF COOPERATIVE GAMES

### Money first!

- Payoff vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 
  - $\triangleright$   $x_i$  represents payoff of player i
- Vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is **efficient,** if  $\sum_{i \in N} x_i = v(N)$ 
  - ightharpoonup Usually, we distribute v(N)
    - 1. value of cooperation v(N)
    - 2. shared costs c(N)
- Vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is individually rational, if  $x_i \geq v(i)$ 
  - ightharpoonup players prefer  $x_i$  over v(i)
- $\mathcal{I}^*(v) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x(N) = v(N)\}$  ... preimputation
  - $ightharpoonup x(S) := \sum_{i \in S} x_i$
- $\mathcal{I}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid \forall i \in \mathbb{N} : x_i \geq v(i)\}$  ... imputation

- Set of payoff vectors satisfying further properties are solution concepts
- Can reflect payoff distribution, which is
  - ► ...fair...
  - ► ...non-discriminatory...
  - ► ...stable (players will accept it)...
  - **...**

### Formally:

- 1. sets of payoff vectors
  - $\blacktriangleright \ \Sigma(v) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \dots \}$
- 2. functions on games
  - $ightharpoonup \Sigma \colon \Gamma^n o 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$

### Formally:

- 1. sets of payoff vectors
- 2. functions on games
  - $\blacktriangleright \ \Sigma \colon \Gamma^n \to 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$

## We distringush

- 1. single-point solution concepts
  - ightharpoonup as a set:  $\Sigma(v) = \{x\}$ 
    - we prefer:  $\Sigma(v) = x$
  - ▶ as a function:  $\Sigma \colon \Gamma^n \to \mathbb{R}$
- 2. multi-point solution concepts

Idea: Payoff distribution leads to cooperation...

#### The core

For a cooperative game (N, v), the **core** C(v) is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \ge v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

- assumption: homo economicus
  - ► model of human as a player
  - strictly rational and selfish
  - ► follows his subjective goals
- $\blacksquare$  v(N) ... value, which is distributed among players
- $x(S) > v(S) \implies$  coalition S does not leave N
  - ► would lead to (S, v<sub>S</sub>)
  - $\triangleright$  v(S) ... distributed value

#### The core

For a cooperative game (N, v), the **core** C(v) is

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{v}) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{I}^*(\mathbf{v}) \mid \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{S}) \ge \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{S}), \forall \mathbf{S} \subseteq \mathbf{N} \}.$$

#### Reminder

## Nash equilibrium

Strategy profile  $(s_1, ..., s_n)$  is **Nash equilibrium**, if it holds for every player i,

$$v_i(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n) \ge v_i(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, t_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n)$$

for every  $t_i \in S_i$ .

#### The core

For a cooperative game (N, v), the **core** C(v) is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \ge v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

# Emptyness of the core

There are cooperative games (N, v) with empty core.

- Non-esential games
  - $ightharpoonup v(N) < \sum_{i \in N} v(i)$
- Let  $x \in C(v)$

$$ightharpoonup x(N) = v(N) < \sum_{i \in N} \le x(N)$$

**a** x does not exist  $\implies$   $(v) = \emptyset$ 

Question: When is the core non-empty We can encode the core as linear program (P) and determine the dual program (D)

We derive the weak Bondereva-Shapley theorem

# Weak Bondareva-Shapley theorem

Cooperative game (N, v) has non-empty core if and only if

$$v\left(N\right) \geq \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v\left(S\right) \text{ for all feasible } y \in \mathbb{R}^{(2^n-1)}$$

# SINGLE-POINT SOLUTION CONCEPT: THE SHAPLEY VALUE

Idea: Divide the profit in a fair way...

# The Shapley value

For a cooperative game (N, v), the **Shapley value**  $\phi(v)$  of player i is

$$\phi_i(v) = \sum_{S \subseteq N \setminus i} \frac{s!(n-s-1)!}{n!} \left( v(S \cup i) - v(S) \right)$$

- $\blacksquare$   $v(S \cup i) v(S)$ 
  - ightharpoonup marginal contribution (of player i in  $S \cup i$ )
- $=\frac{s!(n-s-1)!}{n!}$ 
  - weights reflecting different sizes of coalitions
- $\blacksquare \sum_{S \subseteq N \setminus i}$ 
  - ► sum of all marginal contributions of i

# SINGLE-POINT SOLUTION CONCEPT: THE SHAPLEY VALUE

Idea: Divide the profit in a fair way...

# The Shapley value

For a cooperative game (N, v), the **Shapley value**  $\phi(v)$  of player i is

$$\phi_i(v) = \sum_{S \subseteq N \setminus i} \frac{s!(n-s-1)!}{n!} \left( v(S \cup i) - v(S) \right)$$

- The players agree om the following procedure:
  - 1. Form the grandcoalition N.
  - 2. Enter the the coalition individually and randomly.
  - 3. When player *i* enters coalition *S*, he receives  $v(S \cup i) v(S)$ .
- $\blacksquare$  s! (n-s-1)! ... number of situations, in which i enters S
- $\blacksquare$  n! ... number of all possible ways to construct N
- $\phi_i(v)$  ... the average value of player i's payment

#### SHAPLEY VALUE

It is possible to define it using its properties...

# The Shapley value

The **Shapley value**  $\phi(v)$  is the only function  $f: \Gamma^n \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying for all games (N, v), (N, w):

- 1. (AXIOM OF EFFICIENCE)
  - $ightharpoonup \sum_{i \in N} f_i(v) = v(N)$
- 2. (AXIOM OF SYMMETRY)
  - $\forall i,j \in N \ (\forall S \subseteq N \setminus \{i,j\} : v(S \cup i) = v(S \cup j)) \implies f_i(v) = f_j(v)$
- 3. (AXIOM OF NULL PLAYER)
  - $ightharpoonup \forall i \in N \ (\forall S \subseteq N : v(S) = v(S \cup i)) \implies f_i(v) = 0$
- 4. (AXIOM OF ADDITIVITY)
  - $ightharpoonup v, w \in \Gamma^n : f(v+w) = f(v) + f(w)$

**■** monotonic game

$$(S \subseteq T \subseteq N) (v(S) \le v(T))$$

**■** superadditive game

$$(S, T \subseteq N, S \cap T = \emptyset) (v(S) + v(T) \le v(S \cup T))$$

**■** convex game

$$(S, T \subseteq N) (v(S) + v(T) \le v (S \cap T) + v (S \cup T))$$

**■** essential game

$$v(N) \ge \sum_{i \in N} v(i)$$

**■** balanced game

$$C(v) \neq \emptyset$$

Ouestion: What are the relations between them

#### Balanced and essential

Balanced cooperative games are essential.

- $\blacksquare$  (N, v) is essential
- $\blacksquare$  (N, v) is balanced
  - $\blacktriangleright$   $\emptyset \neq C(v) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(v)$

Question: What are the relations between them

# Convex and superadditive

Convex cooperative games are superadditive.

- $\blacksquare$  (N, v) is convex
  - $(S, T \subseteq N) (v(S) + v(T) \le v (S \cap T) + v (S \cup T))$
- $\blacksquare$  (N, v) is superadditive
  - $(S, T \subseteq N, S \cap T = \emptyset) (v(S) + v(T) \le v(S \cup T))$
- $\blacksquare$   $S \cap T = \emptyset$ 
  - ightharpoonup  $(s \cap T) = 0$
  - $ightharpoonup v(S) + v(T) \le v(S \cup T)$