

Completion

Definition: A succession $(X_n) \in \mathbb{X}$, is called of Cauchy, if and only if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|X_n - X_m\| < \epsilon$, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $m, n > N$ i.e.,

$$\|X_n - X_m\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } n > m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Properties:

1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|X_n\|$ exists, since the triangle inequality shows that

$$|\|X_n\| - \|X_m\|| \leq \|X_n - X_m\|$$

therefore, the succession of real numbers $(\|X_n\|)$ is a Cauchy's succession on \mathbb{R} , then converges.

2) (X_n) is a bounded succession, since $(\|X_n\|)$ converges, thus is bounded i.e., there is $M \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $\|X_n\| \leq M, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition: A normed space \mathbb{X} is called complete if and only if all Cauchy's succession (X_n) in \mathbb{X} converges to an element $x \in \mathbb{X}$. The spaces $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$ and $(\mathbb{C}^n, \|\cdot\|)$ are complete with the euclidean norm. However, $(\mathbb{Q}, \|\cdot\|)$ is not complete.

Definition: A complete normed space $(\mathbb{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ is called Banach space

The steps to follow for proving if a space is complete:

For an arbitrary Cauchy's succession:

1. Find an element x , which we hope that it be the limit of X_n
2. Verify that $x \in \mathbb{X}$
3. Prove that $\|X_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ if $n \rightarrow \infty$

Examples:

1. The space $C[a, b]$ is complete.

Let (X_n) a Cauchy's succession in $C[a, b]$, equipped with the supremum norm. So $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there is $N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\sup_{t \in [a, b]} |X_n(t) - X_m(t)| < \epsilon, \quad \forall n, m \in N(\epsilon).$$

therefore, for each $t \in [a, b]$, there is a limit.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n(t) = X(t).$$

Moreover, for $n > N(\epsilon)$ fixed, taking m tending to infinity and computing the supremum we have

$$\sup_{t \in [a,b]} |X_n(t) - X(t)| < \epsilon$$

Using the fact that if a succession of a continuous function (X_n) converges uniformly to $X(t)$, the limit is a continuous function.

Thus $C[a,b]$ equipped with the norm

$$\|X\| = \sup_{t \in [a,b]} |X(t)|$$

is a complete normed space.

II. The space l_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, is a complete normed space.

$$(X_n) \in l_p, \quad \|X_n\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |X_n|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Let $(X_m^n)_m$ be a Cauchy's succession with the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$. For all $\epsilon > 0$ there are $n, k > N(\epsilon)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |X_m^n - X_m^k|^p < \epsilon^p \quad (*)$$

then if $n, k > N(\epsilon)$, $|X_m^n - X_m^k| < K$, that means that each succession $(X_m^n)_n$ is a Cauchy's succession on \mathbb{R} (or \mathbb{C}) and by completion of \mathbb{R} (or \mathbb{C}) there is X_m , such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_m^n = X_m$$

Let $X = (X_m)_m$, then for all $M \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\sum_{m=1}^M |X_m|^p = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^M |X_m^n|^p \leq \sup \|X^n\|_p^p < C < \infty$$

for some $C > 0$, since the cauchy's successions are bounded. Finally, we get that for any $M \in \mathbb{N}$, from

$$\sum_{m=1}^M |X_m^n - X_m^k|^p < \epsilon^p ; \text{ with } n, k \text{ large enough.}$$

taking $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sum_{m=1}^M |x_m^n - x_m|^p < \varepsilon^p$$

and now $M \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |x_m^n - x_m|^p < \varepsilon^p$$

thus $\|x^n - x\|_p < \varepsilon$ i.e., $x^n \rightarrow x$.

Let E be a Banach space and let E_1 be a subspace of E . E_1 is a Banach space if and only if E_1 is closed. (Exercise).

Homework: Prove that ℓ^∞ , with the supremum norm is a Banach space.

$$(x_n) \in \ell^\infty, \sup_n |x_n| < \infty$$

Definition: Let E, F normed spaces. We say that a linear map $T: E \rightarrow F$ is a isometry if and only if

$$\|Tx\| = \|x\|_F; \quad \forall x \in E.$$

Theorem (Completion): let E be normed vectorial space. There exists a complete normed space \hat{E} . A linear operator $T: E \rightarrow \hat{E}$ such that:

a) $\|Tx\| = \|x\| \quad \forall x \in E$ (Isometry)

b) $\text{Im } T (:= TE)$ is dense in \hat{E} ($\overline{TE} = \hat{E}$). We will call to \hat{E} the completion of E .

Proof: Let E be the space of all cauchy's successions on E .

$$\bar{x} = (x_i \in E)_{i=1}^{\infty}$$

Let's introduce a seminorm in E .

$$p(\bar{x}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i\|$$

where, $\bar{x} = (x_i)$ is from Cauchy. This limit exist, by the properties of Cauchy's succession.

Let's define $N = \{\bar{x} : p(\bar{x}) = 0\}$ i.e., is the space of the successions that converge to zero. So p defines a norm

In the quotient space $E/N = \hat{E}$, by the same formula

$$P(\bar{x}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i\|$$

for any representative \bar{x} , from the equivalence class

$$[\bar{x}] = \bar{x} + N \in E/N$$

The operator $T: E \rightarrow \hat{E}$ is defined as $Tx = [\bar{x}]$, where \bar{x} is the constant succession $\bar{x} = (x, x_1, \dots)$ which is from Cauchy.

To prove the theorem we have to show two things

I. TE is dense in E .

II. \hat{E} is complete.

I. Let $x = (x_n) \in E$, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\|x_n - x_m\| < \epsilon$ for $m > n > N$. Let's define $\bar{x}_n \in E$, by $\bar{x}_n = (x_n, \dots, x_n, \dots)$ a constant succession i.e.,

$$Tx_n = [\bar{x}_n]$$

So, the distance from $[\bar{x}]$ to $[\bar{x}_n]$ is

$$P([\bar{x}] - [\bar{x}_n]) = P(\bar{x} - \bar{x}_n) \leq \epsilon$$

Then for each $[\bar{x}] \in \hat{E}$, we may estimate with elements of TE , also is clear that for a Cauchy's succession $\bar{x} = (x_n)$, the succession Tx_n , of constant successions converges to $[\bar{x}]$.

II. Let's consider a Cauchy's succession in \hat{E} , $[\bar{x}^{(n)}]$, we have that

$$P([\bar{x}^{(n)}] - [\bar{x}^{(m)}]) = P(\bar{x}^{(n)} - \bar{x}^{(m)}) \rightarrow 0. \text{ if } n, m \rightarrow \infty$$

Taking $\epsilon_n > 0$ converging to zero, and $x_n \in E$, such that

$$P(T^n - Tx_n) < \epsilon_n$$

we can do it since in I we proved that $\bar{T}G = \hat{E}$.

Then for a succession $\bar{x}^{(n)} = (x_n)$ from Cauchy in E , actually

$$\begin{aligned}\|X_n - X_m\| &= p(TX_n - TX_m) \\ &\leq p(TX_n - \bar{X}^{(n)}) + p(\bar{X}^{(n)} - \bar{X}^{(m)}) + p(TX_m - \bar{X}^{(m)}) \rightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

If $n, m \rightarrow \infty$

Then $\bar{X}^{(\infty)} = (X_n)$ belongs to \mathcal{E} , and

$$[\bar{X}^{(\infty)}] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\bar{X}^{(n)}],$$

actually

$$\begin{aligned}p([\bar{X}^{(\infty)}] - [\bar{X}^{(n)}]) &= p(\bar{X}^{(\infty)} - \bar{X}^{(n)}) \\ &\leq p(\bar{X}^{(n)} - TX_n) + p(TX_n - \bar{X}^{(n)}) \rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

If $n \rightarrow \infty$.

