

**Theorem:** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dim manifold without boundary. Then, the adjoint exterior derivative is the adjoint of the exterior derivative, that is.

$$(\alpha, \delta\beta) = (d\alpha, \beta)$$

$$\alpha \in \Lambda^k(T^*M), \quad \beta \in \Lambda^{k+1}(T^*M)$$

**Proof:** First consider  $\ast^{-1}: \Lambda^k \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-k}$ , such that for  $\gamma \in \Lambda^k(T^*M)$

$$\ast^{-1}\gamma = (-1)^{k(n-k)} (-1)^s \ast \gamma$$

Also

$$\delta = \ast d \ast = (-1)^k \ast^{-1} d \ast$$

Finally, we know

$$(\mu, \nu)\tau = \mu \wedge \ast \nu, \quad \tau \in \Lambda^n$$

From the definition of  $\ast$ .

Let

$$\alpha \in \Lambda^k, \quad \beta \in \Lambda^{k+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [(d\alpha, \beta) - (\alpha, \delta\beta)]\tau &= d\alpha \wedge \ast \beta - \alpha \wedge \ast \delta\beta \\ &= d\alpha \wedge \ast \beta - \alpha \wedge \ast (-1)^k \ast^{-1} d\ast \beta \\ &= d\alpha \wedge \ast \beta - (-1)^k \alpha \wedge (\ast \ast^{-1}) d\ast \beta \\ &= d\alpha \wedge \ast \beta - (-1)^k \alpha \wedge d\ast \beta \\ &= d(\alpha \wedge \ast \beta). \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_M [(d\alpha, \beta) - (\alpha, \delta\beta)]\tau = \int_M d(\alpha \wedge \ast \beta) = \int_M \alpha \wedge \ast \beta$$

Stokes.

**Definition:** A  $p$ -form is co-closed if  $\delta\alpha = 0$  and it is co-exact if  $\alpha = \delta\beta$ .

**Proposition:** Exact and co-exact forms are orthogonal with respect to the inner-product on  $\Lambda^k(T^*M)$

**Proof:** Let  $\alpha = \delta\beta, \quad \eta = d\mu$

$$(\alpha, \eta) = (\delta\beta, d\mu) = (\delta^2 \beta, 0) = (\beta, \delta^2 \mu) = 0.$$



## Contraction operator ix

Let  $T^*M$  be the  $n$ -dim cotangent vector space, and let  $\Lambda^p(T^*M)$  be the vector space of  $p$ -forms defined on it.

The interior product

$$i_x : \Lambda^p(T^*M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{p-1}(T^*M)$$

$$(i_x \omega)(x_1, \dots, x_p) := \omega(x, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1})$$

where  $\omega \in \Lambda^p(T^*M)$  and  $x \in TM$ .

take  $x = x^\mu \partial_\mu$

$$\omega = \frac{1}{p!} \omega_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_p}$$

Then,

$$i_x \omega = \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{s=1}^p x^{\mu_s} \omega_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} (-1)^{s-1} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{absent}}}{dx^{\mu_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_p}$$

Technically this is a contraction with each entry appearing on the  $p$ -form  $\omega$ .

In particular  $f \in \Lambda^0(T^*M)$

$$i_x f = 0.$$

$$\alpha \in \Lambda^1(T^*M)$$

$$(i_x \alpha) = \alpha(x) = (\alpha, x)$$

Example:  $(x, y, z)$  coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$\begin{aligned} i_{ex}(dx \wedge dy) &= (i_{ex} dx) \wedge dy - (i_{ex} dy) \wedge dx \\ &= dy \end{aligned}$$

$$i_{ex}(dy \wedge dz) = 0$$

$$i_{ex}(dz \wedge dx) = -dz$$

Properties of  $i_{ex}$ :

$$\text{I. } i_x i_y = -i_y i_x$$

$$\text{II. } i_x(\alpha \wedge \beta) = i_x \alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p \alpha \wedge i_x \beta.$$

$$\text{III. } i_x(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = i_x \alpha_1 + i_x \alpha_2$$

Proof:

I. let  $\omega \in \Lambda^1(T^*M)$

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(X, Y, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{p-2}) &= i_X \omega(Y, X_1, \dots, X_{p-2}), \\ &= i_X i_Y \omega(X_1, \dots, X_{p-2})\end{aligned}$$

due to the antisymmetry of  $\omega$  we have

$$i_X i_Y = - i_Y i_X$$

In particular  $i_X^2 = 0$ .

II. If  $\alpha \in \Lambda^p(T^*M)$  and  $\beta \in \Lambda^q(T^*M)$ ;  $p+q \leq n$   
 $\alpha \wedge \beta \in \Lambda^{p+q}$

Follows directly from the definition of exterior product

$$i_X \circ d : \Lambda^p \rightarrow \Lambda^p$$

$$d \circ i_X : \Lambda^p \rightarrow \Lambda^p$$

- $i_X d \neq d i_X$

$$\alpha = dx^i \rightarrow 1\text{-form}$$

$$i_X d(\alpha) = \cancel{i_X d^2} \alpha = 0$$

$$d i_X \alpha = d \left( X^j \frac{\partial}{\partial X^j} (dx^i) \right)$$

$$= d \left( X^j dx^i (X^j) \right) = d(X^j \delta_j^i) = dX^i = \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial X^k} dx^k \neq 0.$$

- Neither  $i_X d$  nor  $d i_X$  are derivations

$$\alpha \in \Lambda^p, \beta \in \Lambda^q$$

$$i_X d(\alpha \wedge \beta) = i_X(d\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p \alpha \wedge d\beta)$$

$$= i_X d\alpha \wedge \beta + \cancel{(-1)^{p+1} d\alpha \wedge i_X \beta} + \cancel{(-1)^p i_X \alpha \wedge d\beta} + \cancel{\alpha \wedge i_X d\beta}$$

$$d i_X (\alpha \wedge \beta) = d(i_X \alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p \alpha \wedge i_X \beta)$$

$$= d i_X \alpha \wedge \beta + \cancel{(-1)^{p-1} i_X \alpha \wedge d\beta} + \cancel{(-1)^p d\alpha \wedge i_X \beta} + \cancel{\alpha \wedge d i_X \beta}$$

∴ They  
are not  
derivations

$$(d \circ i_x + i_x \circ d)(\alpha \wedge \beta) = (i_x d\alpha + d i_x \alpha) \wedge \beta + \alpha \wedge (i_x d\beta + d i_x \beta)$$

$$L := d \circ i_x + i_x \circ d$$

Cartan's Identity.

$\hookrightarrow$  Lie's derivative

Homework: Show:

$$\text{I. } [L_x, d] = 0.$$

$$\text{II. } [L_x, i_x] = 0.$$

Example: Hamiltonian mechanics.

Let  $H(p, q)$  be a Hamiltonian function and  $(P_\mu, q^\mu)$  be its phase space  $\xrightarrow{\hspace{1cm}} T^*M$

We may define a two-form

$$\omega = dP_\mu \wedge dq^\mu \quad \text{called the symplectic two-form}$$

$\hookrightarrow$  Darboux theorem

Also, we may introduce a 1-form such that  $\omega = d\theta$ , that is

$$\theta = P_\mu dq^\mu$$

Given a function  $f(p, q) \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ , we may introduce the Hamiltonian vector field

$$X_f := \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\mu}$$

such that

$$i_{X_f} \omega = - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_\mu} dp_\mu - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^\mu} dq^\mu = -df$$

If now we take the vector field generated by the hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} X_H &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\mu} = \frac{dq^\mu}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} + \frac{dp_\mu}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\mu} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \end{aligned}$$

$$\dot{q}^\mu = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\mu}, \quad \dot{p}_\mu = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^\mu}$$

- The symplectic form  $\omega$  is left invariant along the flow generated by  $X_H$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{X_H} \omega &= (i_{X_H} d + d i_{X_H}) \omega \\ &= i_{X_H} d\omega + d(i_{X_H} \omega) \\ &= i_{X_H} d(d\theta) - d(dH) = 0\end{aligned}$$

- Poisson brackets may be cast in terms of Hamiltonian vector fields.

$$\begin{aligned}\{f, g\} &:= i_{X_f}(i_{X_g} \omega) = -i_{X_f}(dg) \\ &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_\mu} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_\mu} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q^\mu} \\ \{., .\} &: C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M).\end{aligned}$$