

## Time evolution of classical Hamiltonian systems.

**Definition:** The flow generated by a Hamiltonian field  $X_H$  associated to  $H$  is called the Hamiltonian flow  $\Phi_t^H$ , and for pure states it generates time evolution.

$$\xi^j(t) = \Phi_t^H(\xi^j(0)).$$

Also a trajectory for a pure state  $\xi \in M$  is calculated by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\xi}^j &= X_H|_{\xi} = p(dH)|_{\xi} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j} \Big|_{\xi} \\ &= \dot{q}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \dot{p}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \Big|_{\xi} = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{\xi}.\end{aligned}$$

where we used Hamilton's equations.

$$\dot{q}^i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}, \quad \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}$$

For mixed states we may obtain equations of motion from the probability conservation law.

$$0 = \frac{d\rho}{dt} = \frac{d\rho}{dt} + \{\rho, H\} \quad \text{Liouville equation.}$$

$$L(\rho, H) : \frac{d\rho}{dt} + \{\rho, H\} = 0$$

The Hamilton flow  $\Phi_t^H = e^{tX_H}$  induces for every  $t$  an automorphism  $U_t^H$  of the algebra  $\hat{A}_c$ .

$$U_t^H \hat{A} := (\Phi_t^H)^* \hat{A} \cdot; \quad \hat{A} \in \hat{A}_c, A \in A_c.$$

and such that its action may be interpreted as the time evolution of  $\hat{A}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}(t) &= U_t^H \hat{A}(0) = e^{tX_H} \hat{A}(0) \cdot \\ &= e^{tX_H} A(0) \cdot\end{aligned}$$

Thus, differentiation with respect to  $t$ :

$$\frac{d\hat{A}(t)}{dt} = X_H(e^{tX_H} A(0) \cdot) = X_H \hat{A}(t) = \{\hat{A}, H\}$$

Heisenberg-like evolution.

In the Schrödinger-like representation we have  $d\rho/dt = 0$ .

$$\frac{d\langle \hat{A} \rangle}{dt} \rho(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_M A(\xi) \cdot \rho(\xi) d\xi.$$

$$= \int_M \frac{d}{dt} A(\xi) \cdot \rho(\xi) d\xi + \int_M A(\xi) \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \rho(\xi) d\xi.$$

$$= \int_M \frac{d}{dt} \hat{A}(\xi) \rho(\xi) d\xi = \int_M \{A(\xi), \hat{H}(\xi)\} \rho(\xi) d\xi.$$

$$= \langle \{\hat{A}(t), \hat{H}(t)\} \rangle \quad \text{Ehrenfest theorem.}$$

Homework:  $\langle \hat{A}(0) \rangle_{\rho(t)} = \langle \hat{A}(t) \rangle_{\rho(0)}$ .

## Quantization of classical theory

Consider a classical Hamiltonian system.

$$(M, p, \hat{H})$$

$M :=$  Classical phase space (with local coordinates  $(q, p)$ 's)

$p :=$  Poisson tensor (in local coordinates  $p = \partial q^i \wedge \partial p_i$ )

$\hat{H} :=$  Hamiltonian Classical operator.

Also  $A_c := (C^\infty(M), \circ)$  algebra of classical observables.

Let  $\mathcal{h}$  denote a Hilbert space and let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{h})$  be the set of bounded linear operators over  $\mathcal{h}$ . Define  $\circ$  as the composition between operators.

$$\Rightarrow A_q := (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{h}), \circ)$$

is the algebra of quantum observables.

$\hookrightarrow$  associative but non-commutative  
in general

**Definition:** A quantization rule is given by an invertible map  $Q_\hbar: A_c \rightarrow A_q$  which depends on a real parameter  $\hbar \geq 0$  such that for any pair of classical observables  $f_1, f_2 \in A_c$  then  $Q_\hbar$  follows.

$$i) \lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} Q_\hbar^{-1} (Q_\hbar(f_1) \circ Q_\hbar(f_2) + Q_\hbar(f_2) \circ Q_\hbar(f_1)) = f_1 \circ f_2.$$

$$ii) \lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} Q_\hbar^{-1} (\{Q_\hbar(f_1), Q_\hbar(f_2)\}_\hbar) = \{f_1, f_2\}$$

where  $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}_\hbar := \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\cdot, \cdot]$ ; being  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  the standard commutator.

(i) & (ii) guarantee that the quantization rule  $\mathcal{Q}_\hbar$  has a classical limit.

Notation: For  $f \in A_c$ , we take  $\hat{f} := \mathcal{Q}_\hbar(f) \in A_\hbar$ . Also

$$[\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2] = \mathcal{Q}(i\hbar \{f_1, f_2\}) =: i\hbar \widehat{\{f_1, f_2\}}$$

$$[\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2] = i\hbar \widehat{\{f_1, f_2\}} \quad \text{Bohr correspondence}$$

Idea: Fix  $\mathcal{Q}_\hbar \leadsto$  Determines  $\mathcal{Q}_M$

However, due to the non-commutativity of the composition of operators, we must impose an ordering rule of factors

Example: Weyl-ordering  $\leadsto$  Produces symmetric operators.

$$1 \longmapsto \mathcal{Q}_\hbar^w(1) =: \mathbb{1}$$

$$q \longmapsto \mathcal{Q}_\hbar^w(q) =: \hat{q}$$

$$p \longmapsto \mathcal{Q}_\hbar^w(p) =: \hat{p}$$

$$p^m q^n \longmapsto \mathcal{Q}_\hbar^w(p^m q^n) =: \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \hat{q}^k \circ \hat{p}^m \circ \hat{q}^{m-k}$$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} pq \longmapsto \mathcal{Q}_\hbar^w(pq) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^1 \binom{1}{k} \hat{q}^k \circ \hat{p} \circ \hat{q}^{1-k} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\hat{p} \circ \hat{q} + \hat{q} \circ \hat{p}) \end{aligned}$$

$$pq^2 \longmapsto \frac{1}{2^2} (\hat{p} \circ \hat{q} \circ \hat{q} + \hat{q} \circ \hat{p} \circ \hat{q} + \hat{q} \circ \hat{q} \circ \hat{p})$$

Example: Normal ordering

$$1 \longmapsto \mathcal{Q}_\hbar^N(1) =: \mathbb{1}$$

$$p \longmapsto \mathcal{Q}_\hbar^N(p) =: \hat{p}$$

$$q \longmapsto \mathcal{Q}_\hbar^N(q) =: \hat{q}$$

$$p^m q^n \longmapsto \mathcal{Q}_\hbar^N(p^m q^n) =: \hat{p}^m \circ \hat{q}^n$$

$$pq \longmapsto \mathcal{Q}_\hbar^N(pq) =: \hat{p} \circ \hat{q} \neq \hat{q} \circ \hat{p}.$$