The Jack language

John Lapinskas, University of Bristol

Our goals for this week

| High-level language | |
|------------------------------|----------|
| Intermediate representation | Software |
| Assembly | |
| Instruction set architecture | |
| Computer microarchitecture | |
| Components | |
| Gates | Hardware |
| Transistors | |
| Physics | |

This week, we'll at last be dealing with a high-level language — Jack.

Our goal will be to compile Jack to Hack VM — together with our VM translator and our Hack assembler, this will give us a full compiler.

Our goals for this week

| High-level language | |
|------------------------------|----------|
| Intermediate representation | Software |
| Assembly | |
| Instruction set architecture | |
| Computer microarchitecture | |
| Components | |
| Gates | Hardware |
| Transistors | |
| Physics | |

The details of Jack are non-examinable — in this unit we don't care about Jack itself, or about coding in Jack, but about *compiling* Jack.

So take this video as a high-level overview, but don't bother memorising it, and use the grammar described next lecture as your main reference.

If in doubt, you can use the nand2tetris Jack compiler (downloadable from the unit page) to test the validity of any piece of Jack code.

The ethos of Jack

The two most common phrases in discussing Jack are:

- "Just like in C, but..."
- "For the sake of simplicity [in compiling]..."

YOU MAY ASSIGN EACH GARDENERS TOKEN TO A SECONDARY GARDEN PLOT UTITIN A 30-HINNTE LUALK FROM THEIR HOME PLOT FOR THE SAKE OF SIMPLICITY, EACH GARDENER IS ASSUMED TO HAVE A CONSTANT LAUKING SPEEP PROPORTIONAL TO THEIR HEIGHT AND CARDIO SCORE. FOR THE SAKE OF SIMPLICITY, CARDIO SCORES ARE INHERITED MATRIUNEALLY...

IF YOU'RE WORRIED THAT YOU'RE MAKING SOMETHING TOO COMPLICATED, JUST ADD "FOR THE SAKE OF SIMPLICITY" NOW AND THEN AS A REMINDER THAT IT COULD ALWAYS RE WORSE.

Source: xkcd 2587 (here). Alt text: For the sake of simplicity, gardeners are assumed to move through Euclidean space — neglecting the distortion from general relativity — unless they are in the vicinity of a Schwarzschild Orchid.

Variables, statements and comments

Variables are declared like in C, but with a var keyword at the start, e.g. var int x; or var char a, b, c;.

- The built-in types are char, int and boolean.
- Libraries provide Array and String types.
- Unlike C, variables can't be initialised on declaration, so e.g. var int
 x = 0; is not valid.

For simplicity, all variables must be defined at the start of a function.

Variables, statements and comments

Variables are declared like in C, but with a var keyword at the start, e.g. var int x; or var char a, b, c;.

- The built-in types are char, int and boolean.
- Libraries provide Array and String types.
- Unlike C, variables can't be initialised on declaration, so e.g. var int
 x = 0; is not valid.

For simplicity, all variables must be defined at the start of a function.

Statements assigning values to variables work like C, but with a let keyword at the start, e.g. let x = 5; or let c = "z";. For simplicity, assignment operators like += and *= are not supported.

These extra keywords are purely to make parsing and compiling a little easier, and were a common feature of early languages like BASIC.

Variables, statements and comments

Variables are declared like in C, but with a var keyword at the start, e.g. var int x; or var char a, b, c;.

- The built-in types are char, int and boolean.
- Libraries provide Array and String types.
- Unlike C, variables can't be initialised on declaration, so e.g. var int
 x = 0; is not valid.

For simplicity, all variables must be defined at the start of a function.

Statements assigning values to variables work like C, but with a let keyword at the start, e.g. let x = 5; or let c = "z";. For simplicity, assignment operators like += and *= are not supported.

These extra keywords are purely to make parsing and compiling a little easier, and were a common feature of early languages like BASIC.

Like in C, comments are denoted with // or /*...*/, and newlines and whitespace are ignored (except for spaces separating tokens).

Functions

Functions are declared like in C, but with a function keyword at the start. For example,

```
function int Main.max (int x, int y) {
    // Function body here
}
```

defines Main.max to have two int arguments x and y and return an int.

Like in C, a function which returns no value is defined with void, e.g. function void Main.print (string toPrint).

Functions

Functions are declared like in C, but with a function keyword at the start. For example,

```
function int Main.max (int x, int y) {
    // Function body here
}
```

defines Main.max to have two int arguments x and y and return an int.

Like in C, a function which returns no value is defined with void, e.g. function void Main.print (string toPrint).

Like in C, functions return values with the syntax e.g. return 42; or return; Unlike C, every function must end with a return.

Functions

Functions are declared like in C, but with a function keyword at the start. For example,

```
function int Main.max (int x, int y) {
    // Function body here
}
```

defines Main.max to have two int arguments x and y and return an int.

Like in C, a function which returns no value is defined with void, e.g. function void Main.print (string toPrint).

Like in C, functions return values with the syntax e.g. return 42; or return;. Unlike C, every function must end with a return.

Function calls are also like in C, with one exception: a line which only calls a function and throws the return value away must start with the do keyword. For example, Main.print("Hello, world!"); is not valid, but do Main.print("Hello, world!"); is valid.

Expressions

In all programming languages, an **expression** is part of a statement that returns a value.

A literal (like 5 or true or "Hello, world!") is an expression — the value is just the literal itself. Variable names are expressions, too.

In C, anywhere you could write a literal, you can also write a more complicated expression, such as:

- \circ (z+x)/7.
- fibonacci(x+1).
- max(fibonacci(*x), fibonacci(fibonacci(y++))).

The same is true in Jack. do, let and return statements can all be followed by expressions, and function calls can use expressions as arguments. There's no limit on how long or complex an expression can be.

Supported expressions

The following common C expression components are supported:

- Arithmetic: +, -, *, /.
- Logic: &, I, and ~ (which means NOT). These act as both bitwise and logic operators.
- Comparison: = (not ==), > and <.
- Literals: Integers, strings, true, false, and null (which is the same as false).
- Array subscripts [] (see later).
- Variables.
- Function calls (which can have expressions as arguments).
- Parentheses ().

For simplicity, the following common C expression components are not supported:

- The modulo operation: %.
- Bit shifts: << and >>.
- Referencing/dereferencing: Unary * and &.
- Increment/decrement: ++ and --.
- Comparison: !=, <= and >=.
- Assignment as part of an expression returning true on success.
- The ternary operator ?.
- In Jack, there is no operator precedence without ()s. For example, 1+2*3 may evaluate to either 7 or 9.

Control flow: Loops and conditionals

Jack supports if-else statements with expressions just like C, except that else if is not supported. For example:

```
if (x > 5 & ~(y + f(x) = 7)) {
    // Code here
} else if (z = 2) {
    // Code here
}
```

is not valid Jack, due to the else if, but...

Control flow: Loops and conditionals

Jack supports if-else statements with expressions just like C, except that else if is not supported. For example:

```
if (x > 5 & ~(y + f(x) = 7)) {
    // Code here
} else { if (z = 2) {
    // Code here
}}
```

is valid Jack.

Jack also supports C-like while loops:

```
while (x < 5 | Main.fibonacci(x) = 13) {
    // Code here</pre>
```

For simplicity, it doesn't support do...while or for loops.

Variable types

There is no casting in Jack. Implicit type conversions are supported between int, char and boolean variables, treating:

- char variables as ints according to the Hack character set (Appendix C of Nisan and Schocken, also see week 5);
- boolean values of true as -1 and false as 0.

For example,

```
var int x; var boolean y; var char z; let x = -1; let y = x; let z = x + 71;
```

is valid Hack and will set y to true and z to "F".

We will get this "for free" by representing char and boolean variables as ints this way in memory, then completely ignoring their type information.

Handling types properly can get *extremely* complicated for reasons that will become clear in OOP next TB.

Variable types

There is no casting in Jack. Implicit type conversions are supported between int, char and boolean variables, treating:

- char variables as ints according to the Hack character set (Appendix C of Nisan and Schocken, also see week 5);
- boolean values of true as -1 and false as 0.

For example,

```
var int x; var boolean y; var char z; let x = -1; let y = x; let z = x + 71;
```

is valid Hack and will set y to true and z to "F".

We will get this "for free" by representing char and boolean variables as ints this way in memory, then completely ignoring their type information.

Handling types properly can get *extremely* complicated for reasons that will become clear in OOP next TB. See e.g. this paper which shows that accurate type checking in Java is literally impossible unless you're willing to accept your type checker failing to terminate on some inputs!

The one new-ish idea: Classes

In place of C's structs, Jack has something slightly harder: classes.

Classes are a core feature of object-oriented programming (OOP) that you'll see next term. But thankfully, Jack lacks all the (many!) features of classes that make them any more complicated than structs.

The one new-ish idea: Classes

In place of C's structs, Jack has something slightly harder: classes.

Classes are a core feature of object-oriented programming (OOP) that you'll see next term. But thankfully, Jack lacks all the (many!) features of classes that make them any more complicated than structs.

The only real difference between Jack's classes and C's structs is:

- In C, the functions associated with a struct (e.g. read_token, malloc_token etc.) are separate from the struct statement that defines it. They could even be in different files!
- In Jack, the functions associated with a class are *contained in* the class statement that defines it.

This leads to some natural syntax (which is common in OOP more generally) which is nice for the Jack programmer, but which we have to deal with in compiling.

A field variable in Jack is the exact equivalent of a normal struct variable in C.

```
C code Corresponding Jack code

struct Foo {
    int x;
    int y;
    field int x;
    field int y;
}
```

Unlike C, structs can also have **static** variables declared with syntax static int x. A static variable is shared between all members of the class.

Class variables can be declared with the normal syntax: var Foo myFoo;. All class variables must be defined at the start of the class.

For simplicity, Jack doesn't support the C syntax myFoo.x to access or update the value of the x field of the Foo-type variable myFoo. Instead, we use methods.

Methods

A **method** is a special sort of function which "belongs" to a class. It can only be called from a specific instance of that class.

From inside a method definition, the fields of the class variable act as local variables. (This replaces C-style myFoo.x syntax.) The class variable itself can also be accessed via the this keyword, and methodCall() is interpreted as this.methodCall().

C code

Corresponding Jack code

```
void twiddleFoo(struct Foo abc) {
   abc.x = abc.x + abc.y;
   abc.y = abc.y - 1;

}
twiddleFoo(myFoo);
twiddleFoo(myOtherFoo);

myFoo.twiddle();
myOtherFoo.twiddle();
class Foo() {
   // [Rest of definition omitted]
method void twiddle() {
   let x = x + y;
let y = y - 1;
myFoo.twiddle();
myOtherFoo.twiddle();
```

In the code on the right, the value of this will be myFoo in the first call to twiddle and myOtherFoo in the second call, just like abc in the code on the left.

Constructors

Classes can also contain constructors, a special type of function intended to create a new class variable.

At runtime, a constructor function will automatically create a new class variable on the heap at the start of the function call.

The this keyword is set to this new class variable, and the constructor should end with a return this; statement. Like with methods, fields of this can be accessed as pre-defined local variables.

C code

Corresponding Jack code

Constructors

Classes can also contain constructors, a special type of function intended to create a new class variable.

At runtime, a constructor function will automatically create a new class variable on the heap at the start of the function call.

The this keyword is set to this new class variable, and the constructor should end with a return this; statement. Like with methods, fields of this can be accessed as pre-defined local variables.

Classes can contain functions as well, which work as described earlier.

When called, both constructors and functions must start with the name of the class. So e.g. let myFoo = Foo.makeFoo(); is valid Jack code, but let myFoo = makeFoo(); is not.

Finally, note that both code examples above leak memory in the same way. As classes in Jack are allocated on the heap, they must be freed from memory just like malloc'd pointers to structs in C. Normally this would be done via a dedicated method (often called dispose), which would in turn call Memory.deAlloc(this) after any other cleanup.

Classes vs structs: The summary

C code with structs

Corresponding Jack code with classes

```
struct Foo {
    int x:
    int y;
struct Foo* newFoo(int a) {
    Foo *new = malloc(sizeof(foo));
    new->x = 5;
    new->y = a;
    return new;
void twiddleFoo(struct Foo abc) {
    abc.x = abc.x + abc.y;
    abc.v = abc.v - 1:
// [A bunch of code omitted]
struct Foo my_foo = &newFoo(42);
twiddleFoo(my_foo);
```

```
class Foo {
    field int x:
    field int v:
    constructor Foo newFoo(int a) {
        let x = 5:
        let y = a;
        return this;
    method twiddleFoo() {
        this.x = this.x + this.v:
        this.y = this.y - 1;
// [A bunch of code omitted]
var Foo my_foo = Foo.newFoo(42);
mv_foo.twiddleFoo():
```

[] syntax for arrays and strings

In Jack, all class-type variables are stored on the heap — only ints, chars and booleans are stored on the stack.

Effectively, all of these variables are stored as pointers — treating a variable of type Foo as an int will reveal it to be the heap address at which the fields of Foo are stored. (See video 3...)

The expression my_object[i] means: go to the address of my_object, add i to it, and return the result.

The implementation of the Array and String classes then ensures that the address of my_object will be the first entry of the array/string, so the syntax does what you would expect.

(This is all very much a Jack idea — C stores structs on the stack!)

This also gives us a backdoor into memory. For example, the code var int x; let x = 16384; let x[0] = 0; will set RAM[0x4000] to zero.

Compiling multiple files

In Jack, each file contains one class declaration. The file Foo.jack should contain the class Foo (along with all its fields, methods, and so on).

Everything must be inside a class. Those parts of your program which (in C) wouldn't be associated with a struct should be placed in the Main class.

Every Jack program will start by calling the function Main.main(), making it the analogue of the main function in C.

Every Jack file is compiled to Hack VM *separately*. Afterwards, multiple .vm files can then be combined into a single Hack assembly file by the VM translator, and from there compiled to Hack machine code by the assembler.

However, Jack files can still use classes defined in other Jack files. The compiler simply assumes any such classes will be available, and that e.g. any methods used will be present. This sidesteps the need for e.g. C's makefiles, at the cost of worse error handling.

Putting it all together: An example program

```
// Inputs some numbers and computes their average
class Main {
   function void main() {
       var Array a;
       var int length:
       var int i, sum;
       let length = Keyboard.readInt("How many numbers? ");
       let a = Arrav.new(length): // constructs the array
       let i = 0;
       while (i < length) {
            let a[i] = Keyboard.readInt("Enter a number: ");
            let sum = sum + a[i];
           let i = i + 1:
       do Output.printString("The average is "):
       do Output.printInt(sum / length);
       return:
                     Source: Nisan and Schocken example program Average.
```

Here the Keyboard.readInt, Array.new, Output.printString and Output.printInt functions are all part of the standard libraries (see Nisan and Schocken appendix 6).

We won't need to care about these for writing a compiler, though!