Curried/uncurried functions

Definition

- Curried function (from Haskell Curry): a higher-order function with a single argument returning a chain of functions with a single argument
- Uncurried function: a function with multiple arguments

Facts

- an uncurried function can be transformed in the equivalent curried version
- a curried function can be transformed in the equivalent uncurried version

Examples

Curried/uncurried functions

Partial application

- curried functions allow partial application: arguments can be passed once at time
- uncurried functions do not allow partial application: all arguments must be passed altogether

Example

```
let curried_add x y=x+y;;
let uncurried_add(x,y)=x+y;;
(* computes 1+2 with the uncurried version *)
uncurried_add(1,2);;
(* computes 1+2 by partial application *)
let inc=curried_add 1;; (* passes argument 1 and saves the result *)
inc 2;; (* passes argument 2 and computes the final result *)
```

Curried/uncurried functions

Partial application promotes generic programming

Partial application allows function specialization: from a generic function it is possible to generate more specific ones with *no code duplication*.

- software reuse and maintenance are favored
- interesting examples will be shown later on

Syntax

```
Exp ::= BOOL | 'not' Exp | Exp '&&' Exp | Exp '||' Exp

Type ::= 'bool'

BOOL boolean values false | true
```

Standard syntactic rules

- & & and | | are left-associative
- not higher precedence than & &
- && higher precedence than | |

Static semantics

- false and true have type bool
- not e has type bool if and only if e has type bool
- not e is **not** type correct if either e has type $\neq bool$ or e is not type correct
- $e_1 \&\& e_2$ and $e_1 | | e_2$ have type bool if and only if e_1 and e_2 have type bool
- $e_1 \& \& e_2$ and $e_1 | | e_2$ are **not** type correct if either e_1 or e_2 has type $\neq bool$ or e_1 or e_2 is **not** type correct

Standard semantics

- operands of && and | | evaluated left-to-right with "short circuit"
- if e_1 evaluates to false then $e_1 \& \& e_2$ evaluates to false, else it evaluates to the value of e_2
- if e_1 evaluates to true then $e_1 | | e_2$ evaluates to true, else it evaluates to the value of e_2

Conditional expression

```
Exp ::= 'if' Exp 'then' Exp 'else' Exp
```

Conditional expression has precedence lower than all other operators

Static semantics

- if e then e₁ else e₂ has type t if and only if e has type bool and e₁ and e₂ have type t
- if e then e₁ else e₂ is not type correct if
 - e has type ≠ bool
 - or there is no type t such that e_1 and e_2 have type t
 - or e or e₁ or e₂ is not type correct

More on declarations of global "variables"

Grammar

Remark

- recursive declarations allowed only for function types and other types
- for simplicity we consider only recursive declarations of functions

Example

```
let rec sumsquare n = (*sumsquare can be used on the right-hand side*)
   if n<=0 then 0 else n*n+sumsquare(n-1);;</pre>
```

Curried functions and generic programming

Example 1: addition of square numbers

```
let rec sumsquare n = (*sumsquare can be used on the right-hand side*)
if n<=0 then 0 else n*n+sumsquare(n-1);;</pre>
```

Example 2: addition of cube numbers

```
let rec sumcube n = (*sumcube can be used on the right-hand side*)
   if n<=0 then 0 else n*n*n+sumcube(n-1);;</pre>
```

Remarks

- the two examples are almost identical!
- can we improve code reuse and maintenance?

Solution: use a curried function with an argument of type function



Curried functions and generic programming

```
Solution

let rec gen_sum f n = (* (int -> int) -> int -> int *)
if n<=0 then 0 else f n+gen_sum f (n-1);;

let der_sumsquare = gen_sum (fun x->x*x); (* int -> int *)
let der_sumcube = gen_sum (fun x->x*x*x); (* int -> int *)
```

Remarks

gen_sum can be specialized because

- it is curried
- the "first" argument is f rather than n

Declarations of local "variables"

Syntax

Example

```
# let f x=x+1 and v=41 in f v;; (* f and v can only be used here *)
- : int = 42
# let x=1 in let x=x*2 in x*x (* nested declarations *)
- : int = 4
```

Remark

Nested declarations overrides outer declarations with the same ID

Static scope of declarations

Example let v=40;; let f x = x*v;; (* v refers to the declaration above *) f 3;; (* evaluates to 120 *) let v=4;; (* declaration of v overridden *) f 3;; (* evaluates to 120 *)

Curried functions and generic programming (revisited)

A slightly better solution

```
let gen_sum f = (* (int -> int) -> int -> int *)
    let rec aux n = if n<=0 then 0 else f n+aux (n-1) (* int -> int *)
    in aux;;
```

Remarks

We do not have to pass argument f to the recursive function aux