

Inapproximability

Problems not absolutely approximable
Problems not approximable within constant ration

Maximum Clique

- No polynomial time approximation algorithm for the maximum clique problem can guarantee $|A(G)-OPT(G)|\leq K$ for a fixed constant K , unless $P=NP$.
 - Proof: Suppose A is such an algorithm. We will show that A can be used to derive a polynomial time optimization algorithm for Maximum Clique, contradicting the assumption that $P\neq NP$.
- Given as an instance any graph G , the algorithm is to
- construct a new graph G' that consists of $K+1$ copy copies of G with that every two vertices from the different copies are joined by an edge.
 - It is easy to see that $OPT(G')=(K+1)OPT(G)$.
 - Run A on graph G' to produce a clique C' of size $A(G')\geq (K+1)OPT(G)-K$.
 - Output C such that $C=C'\cap G_i$ and $|C|=\max_{1\leq i\leq K+1}|C'\cap G_i|$, where G_i is the i -th copy of G .
- C is a clique for G , and $|C|\geq [(K+1)OPT(G)-K]/(K+1)=OPT(G)-K/(K+1)$, implying that $|C|=OPT(G)$.

Minimum Steiner Tree

- No polynomial time approximation algorithm for the maximum clique problem can guarantee $|A(G,R)-OPT(G,R)|\leq K$ for a fixed constant K , unless $P=NP$.
 - Proof: Suppose A is such an algorithm. We will show that A can be used to derive a polynomial time optimization algorithm for Minimum Steiner Tree, contradicting the assumption that $P\neq NP$.
- Given as an instance any graph $G=(V, E)$ and $R\subseteq V$, the algorithm is to
- Construct a new graph by inserts K new vertices on each edge e of G , so that each e of G is chopped into $K+1$ edges.
 - $OPT(G',R)=1+(K+1)(OPT(G,R)-1)+K$,
 - Run A on (G', R) , producing a sterner tree T' of $A(G',R)\leq 1+(K+1)(OPT(G,R)-1)-K$ vertices.
 - Obtain T by removing from T' the added vertices to recover the original edges.
- T is a feasible Steiner Tree for G and R , and $|T|\leq [(K+1)(OPT(G)-1)-K]/(K+1)+1=OPT(G)-K/(K+1)$, implying that $|T|=OPT(G)$.

Minimum Bin Pack

- If $P\neq NP$, there no polynomial time approximation algorithm for Bin Pack to guarantee $A(G,W)/OPT(G,W)<2/3$.
 - Proof: Suppose algorithm A can do so. We will show how A can be used to solve the NP-complete problem PARTITION. Given an arbitrary instance $A=\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ of PARTITION, the next algorithm works for it.
- $B=(\sum_{a\in A} a)/2$
- Construct an instance $A'=\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ for Bin Pack by letting each $b_i=a_i/B$.
 - Call $A(A')$.
 - Answer "yes" if $A(A')<3$, and "no" otherwise.
- This is correct because if A has an desired partition $OPT(A')=2$ and $A(A')<(2/3)OPT(A')=3$, otherwise $OPT(A')\geq 2$ and $A(A')\geq 3$.

Minimum Traveling Salesman

- No polynomial time approximation algorithm for TSP can guarantee $A(G,W)/OPT(G,W) \leq K$ for a fixed constant K , unless $P=NP$.
- Proof: Suppose algorithm A can do so. We will show how A can be used to solve the NP-complete problem HC. Given an arbitrary instance graph $G=(V, E)$ of HC, the next algorithm decides whether G has an HC.
- Construct an instance of TSP by defining the weight function W as letting $W(u,v)=1$ if $\{u,v\} \in E$, and $K|V|$ otherwise.
- Call $A(G, W)$.
- Answer “yes” if $A(G, W) \leq K|V|$, and “no” otherwise.

This is correct because $OPT(G,W)=|V|$ if G has an HC, and $> K|V|$ otherwise.

Closing the Lecture

Summary
Comment on Assignment 2
FAQ

What have we done? A brief recall

- | | |
|--|---|
| Basic Concepts: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Program are constrained by two factors: space and time.Time is the dominate factor.How to quantify the time so that it is an intrinsic property of an algorithm?What algorithm is efficient (good, practical)? | Algorithm Design: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Reduction (transformation).GreedyDivide-and-conquer.Dynamic Programming.Data Structure. Problem Analysis: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Turing Reduction, Karp-ReductionNP-Completeness.NP-Hardness Coping with NP-hard problems: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Approximation algorithmsNegative results. |
|--|---|