Project 2: Three Interpreters for Jam

Points: 100

Files

The support files are provided in the stub directory created when you accept the assignment. Do not accept this assignment before completing Assignment 1.

Overview

Preparation Examine the files in the repository created for you by GitHub Classroom. The support files are identical to those provided for Project 1 except that the file Parser.java contains the class solution instead of your solution to Project 1. You are free to use either version of Parser.java. If you use your own parser, make sure that the map construct accepts an empty parameter list.

Task Your task is to write three interpreters for the *Jam* language syntax from Project 1: one that performs call-by-value evaluation, one that performs call-by-name evaluation, and one that performs call-by-need evaluation. The input to your interpreter will be a Jam program in the abstract syntax generated by the parser from Project 1. Call-by-need evaluation has the same syntactic semantics as call-by-name but the implementation is "optimized" so we must explain call-by-name evaluation first. The difference between call-by-value evaluation and call-by-name evaluation is straightforward. Consider a syntactic semantics for Jam analogous to the one we gave in Lecture 4 for the language LC. In the subsequent discussion of Jam evaluation, we will call Jam maps procedures which is consistent with language-independent programming language terminology. In LC, lambda-abstractions are procedures. In call-by-value evaluation, the arguments in a procedure application (class App in the Java representation of Jam abstract syntax) are reduced to values before the arguments are substituted into the procedure body. In

call-by-name evaluation, the argument expressions are substituted into the procedure body *without* being evaluated! These argument expressions are evaluated if and when their values are demanded during the evaluation of the body. This difference is codified by the reduction rules for procedure applications.

In call-by-value,

(map
$$x_1,...,x_n$$
 to E) $(V_1,...,V_n) \rightarrow E[x_1 \leftarrow V_1,...,x_n \leftarrow V_n]$

where $V_1,...,V_n$ are *values*. Hence, the procedure body in an application is not evaluated until the arguments are reduced to values.

In call-by-name,

$$(\text{map } x_1,...,x_n \text{ to } E) \ (M_1,...,M_n) \rightarrow E[x_1 \leftarrow M_1,...,x_n \leftarrow M_n]$$

where $M_1, ..., M_n$ are arbitrary *expressions*. This rule performs the substitution immediately rather than reducing $M_1, ..., M_n$ to values first.

Since call-by-name defers the evaluation of arguments in procedure applications, some of those arguments may never be evaluated in some cases. As a result, there are Jam programs that converge to an answer for call-by-name but diverge of abort with an error for call-by-value (because an argument in a function application that is never demanded in call-by-name evaluation diverges or aborts in call-by-value evaluation).

For the sake of efficiency, your interpreter must be a "meta-interpreter" that maintains an environment of variable bindings instead of performing explicit substitutions. In the environment, each variable must be bound to a representation that can be evaluated on demand. **Warning**: beware of scoping problems in your call-by-name interpreter. *Make sure that you evaluate each argument expression in the proper environment.* **Hint**: it may be helpful to think of each argument expression as a procedure of no arguments. If you use the correct representation for call-by-name bindings, the implementation of call-by-name requires very little code and the call-by-name and call-by-value interpreters can share most of their code.

We recommend that you use the generic PureList class in PureList.java to represent an environment.

Call-by-need The call-by-name approach to evaluation is wasteful because it re-evaluates an argument expression *every* time the corresponding parameter is evaluated. By using the list representation for environments and performing destructive operations on the data representation of bindings (name/value pairs) in the environment, it is possible to eliminate this wasteful practice and only evaluate each argument expression once, the first time its value is demanded. This evaluation protocol is called *call-by-need*. For functional languages like Jam, there is no difference in the observable behavior or call-by-name and call-by-need, except that the latter is generally more efficient.

Testing You are expected to write unit tests for all of your non-trivial methods or functions and submit your test code as part of your program. For more information, refer back to Project 1. Since there is no straightforward way to inspect closures or environments (without presuming a particular implementation), the direct output of environments or closures will not be tested. We can still however test aspects of a closure. For example: arity(map x to x).

The visible part (for testing) of your program must include the top-level class: Interpreter containing the methods JamVal callByValue(), JamVal callByName(), and JamVal callByNeed(). The interface JamVal is defined in the provided parser library files.

The Interpreter class must support two constructors: Interpreter(String filename) which takes input from the specified file and Interpreter(Reader inputStream) which takes input from the specified Reader. Store your source program in a file named Interpreter.java. In summary, your should create a source file Interpreter.java matching the following template:

```
/** file Interpreter.java **/
class EvalException extends RuntimeException {
    EvalException(String msg) { super(msg); }
}

class Interpreter {
    Interpreter(String fileName) throws IOException;
    Interpreter(Reader reader);

    public JamVal callByValue() { ... };
```

```
public JamVal callByName() { ... };
public JamVal callByNeed() { ... };
}
```

The file Interp.java in your repository already contains the preceding code.

Choice of Language Your program must compile and run correctly using Java 8.

Input Language Specification

The following paragraphs define the *Jam* subset that your interpreter should handle and the new parser's input language.

Your interpreter should accept the *Jam* language including:

- the unary operators +, and ~;
- the binary operators >, >=, !=, +, -, /, *, =, <, <=, & and |; and
- the primitive Jam operations cons?, empty? number?, function?, arity, list?, cons, first, and rest.

The supported operations have exactly the same meaning as their Scheme counterparts (where the unary operator ~ is identified with the Scheme not function, and the binary operators & and | are identified with the Scheme and and or operators) with two exceptions:

- / means the integer division,
- = and ! = mean equality and inequality on arbitrary objects.

The binary operator = behaves exactly like the Scheme equal? function, *i.e.* structural equality for objects and identity for closures (functions). For closures, the only way that functions can be equal is if they are actually the same object, i.e. they were created by the same closure allocation.

Some instructive examples of Jam semantics include:

- 5 = 5 evaluates to true
- 5 = 6 evaluates to false
- cons(1,cons(2,empty))=cons(1,cons(2,empty))
 evaluates to true

- cons(1,cons(2,empty))=cons(1,cons(3,empty))
 evaluates to false
- cons(1,cons(2,empty))=cons(1,empty) evaluates to false
- cons? = cons? evaluates to true
- cons? = empty? evaluates to false
- cons? = (map x to x) evaluates to false
- (map x to x) = (map x to x) evaluates to false, because the two maps are distinct objects in memory.
- let m:=(map x to x); in m = m evaluates to true in call-by-value because the right-hand side of the definition is evaluated once, and from that point on m refers to the same object; to false in call-by-name, because the right-hand side is evaluated each time m is used, so the two objects being compared are not created during the same allocation; and to true in call-by-need, because the right-hand side of the definition is evaluated the first time m is used and from then on refers to that object.
- The equality operation defined on closures in the two bullets above has implications on the equality of lists: you have to compare two lists item by item using Jam =.

By Scheme, we mean the *intermediate language with lambda* defined in DrRacket used in Comp 210 and Comp 211.

The preceding definition of equality for closures is what is commonly done in languages like Scheme, Java, and C#, but it is unappealing from a mathematical perspective. Many common algebraic transformations break if closures are involved. There are more elegant ways to define equality on closures but they are more expensive. If we "flatten" closure representations so that a closure consists of a lambda-expression (AST map) M and a list of the bindings of the free variables in M, then we can compare the lambda-expressions (as ASTs) and the bindings of the free variables (recursively invoking equality testing of objects). For this assignment, we are using the common, inelegant definition which is easy to implement.

The meanings of the primitive functions are as follows:

- cons?(p) returns true if p was created by cons(). Hence,
 cons?(empty) = false.
- empty?(p) returns true if p is empty (the empty list).
- number?(p) returns true if p is a number

- function?(p) returns true if p is a function (i.e., a map or a primitive function)
- arity(p) returns how many parameters the function p takes. It returns an evaluation error if p is not a function.
- list?(p) returns true if p is a list (anything constructed by cons(), and empty)
- cons(p,q) returns a list consisting of the list q with the value p added to the front. It returns an evaluation error if q is not a list.
- first(p) returns the first element of list p. It returns an evaluation error if p is not a list.
- rest(p) returns all but the first element of p. It returns an evaluation error if p is not a list.

The language constructs if, map, let, and application in JAM have the same meaning as if, lambda, let, and application in Racket/Scheme with two exceptions. First, the call-by-name version of Jam uses call-by-name semantics for function application. Second, when Jam if is given a non-Boolean input in the test position, it reports an evaluation error.

Your interpreter should report errors by throwing an EvalException containing an appropriate message. All errors abort execution.

The Jam 1et construct is simply an abbreviation for the corresponding lambda application. Hence

```
let v_1 := M_1;

v_n := M_n;

in E
```

has exactly the same meaning as

(map
$$v_1$$
, ..., v_n to E) (M_1 , ..., M_n)

Hence, a let is a combination of a map and an application. Note that the semantics of let depends on whether the interpreter is using call-by-value or call-by-name.

Lists are created using cons and empty, where empty is the empty list. The one-element list (1) is created using cons(1,empty). Since the

values returned by cons(...) and empty are lists, list? returns true for both of them. The following program evaluates to cons(true, true):

```
cons(list?(cons(5,empty)),cons(list?(empty
),empty))
```

The binary infix operators & ("and") and | ("or") denote the *short-circuit* versions of those operations even in call-by-value Jam. That means these operations look just at their first operand and try to determine the result; only if they cannot determine it from just the first operand do they look at the second at the second operand. For example, (true | x) evaluates to true regardless of what value x has.

The ternary if-then-else operation works in a similar way: It first evaluates the test expression appearing between if and then. If it evaluates to true, if-then-else evaluates the consequence expression immediately following then; if it is false, it evaluates the alternative expression immediately following else. if-then-else never evaluates both the consequence and alternative expressions.

Representation of Program Values

A Jam program manipulates data values that are either numbers, booleans, lists, or function representations (closures). To standardize the visible behavior of Jam interpreters, we stipulate that Jam interpreters *must* observe the following conventions concerning the representation of Jam values in Java. Jam values must be represented using the JamVal interface and subclasses as defined in each of the three parser library files.

Commenting, Testing and Submitting Your Program

Since your program resides in a repository owned by our classroom, you do not need to do anything unless you are taking one or more slip day(s).

Each method in your program should be preceded by a short javadoc comment stating precisely what it does.

Your test suite should ideally test every feasible control path in your code. Actual code often contains unreachable error reports. Such control paths obviously cannot be traversed by any test case. We expect you to come very close to ideal coverage.

Implementation Hints

In your call-by-name interpreter, the argument expressions must be evaluated in the environment corresponding to their lexical context in the program. The free variables in argument expressions behave *exactly* like the free variables in functions (procedures).

The form of your interpreters should be very similar to the environment-based interpreters presented in class. You should represent ground values by their *Object* equivalents (where lists are represented using the PureList class in the parser library file) and function values (closures) as anonymous inner classes (the strategy pattern for representing functions). Implement each of the primitives using the corresponding Java primitive when possible. Of course, you will have to implement the function? and arity primitives on your own since there are no Java equivalents. Fortunately, this task is straightforward.

Your interpreters should return the Java data values (JamVals or aborting Exceptions) representing the results of the specified Jam computations.

Note that unary and binary operators are *not* values while primitives are. Unary and binary operators are not legal expressions; hence, they can never be used as values. In addition, note that the binary operators & and | are special: they do not evaluate their second arguments unless it is necessary. Of course, a Jam programmer can always define maps that define the functions corresponding to operators.

In writing your interpreters: follow the definition of the data. Your interpreters should contain a separate visitor for<class-name> method for each abstract syntax constructor type.

Remember to "factor out" repeated code patterns which you presumably learned in Comp 215 and/or Comp 310.

Implement a very small subset of the primitive operations first and get everything debugged. Write a call-by-value interpreter first and then call-by-name and call-by-need, sharing code using inheritance wherever possible. Once all three interpreters are working, add support for the operations that you intially left out.