Written by Richard Liu

Quantum Ising model: fl = - Jq \Soi - J\Soi of (Transvense Field Ising Model)

· Discuss the situation when g >> 1 / g << 1

<ialterlj,β>=0 (a≠β)

· First case : g >> 1 expand in 1

• For the ground state Let $H = -J \sum_{i = 1}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{2} \sigma_{i}^{2}$ to be pertubation, the first order term gives o $(4+1) \sigma_{i}^{2} \sigma_{j}^{2} (1+2) \otimes (1+2) = 0$

Remember σ^{b} is the "fadder" between \rightarrow , <1 $E_{0}^{(b)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 F L_{k+1}^{(b)}^{2}}{E_{0}^{(b)} - E_{k}^{(b)}} = \frac{M J^{2}}{-49J}$

Then, we have E = - MJq (1+ 1/492 + 1)(1/94)

• One particle state: $\langle i| H_{1}| i + i \rangle = \langle i+i| H_{1}| i \rangle = -J$ Momentum space: $|k\rangle = \sum_{j} e^{jk x_{j}} |j\rangle$

Then the flamiltonian is diagonalised as: $\xi_{R} = \int g \left[2 - (2/g) \cos(ka) + O \left(\frac{1}{g^2} \right) \right]$

(notice: Eo is subtracted)

Next order correction [Didn't proce yet]:

Ek = [g[2-(2/g) coska + (1-cos(2ka))/2g2 + ()(1/g3)]

Concept:

quasiparticle residue A: overlap 1< k=010° (h) 1001°

. Two particle state: [No bound states] scattering eigenstates $e^{i(k_1X_1^i+k_2X_2^i)} + S_{\kappa_1\kappa_2}e^{i(\kappa_1X_2^i+\kappa_2X_2^i)}$ $S_{\kappa_1\kappa_2} = \begin{cases} -1 & d=1 \\ marginal & d=2 \\ +1 & d>2 \end{cases}$

• Second case: $\int_{C_1}^{C_1} H_0 = -J\sum_{i} C_{i}^{i} C_{i}^{j}$; $H_1 = -J\sum_{i} C_{i}^{i}$ • For the ground state $E_0 = -MJ \cdot d + \int_{C_1}^{C_1} A_A + \int_{C_1}^{C_1} C_{i}^{j} C_{i}^{j}$ $E_0^{(1)} = 0 \qquad E_0^{(2)} = -M \int_{C_1}^{C_1} A_A + \int_{C_1}^{C_1} C_{i}^{j} C_{i}^{j}$ $E_0^{(1)} = 0 \qquad E_0^{(2)} = -M \int_{C_1}^{C_1} A_A + \int_{C_1}^{C_1} C_{i}^{j} C_{i}^{j}$

· For d=2
. one particle state

Difference: No correction at order q

At order g^2 : $\epsilon_R = J[8 - \frac{g^2}{4} c_1 + cos k_x + cos k_y) + O(g^3)$ [Piant prove]

Two paroicle state amone particle states

Two paroicle state and d wavel

Question: 3 particle bound state 12]?

Domain wall /kink as the standard quaiparticle

Jordan-Wigner transformation: free fermions

The classical Ising model $Z = \sum_{\{\sigma_i^2 = \pm 1\}} \exp(-\pm \epsilon) \quad \text{. If } = - \times \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_i^2 \sigma_{i,m}^2 - h \sum_{i} \sigma_i^2$

Transfer matrix

Given PBC: $Z = Tr(T, Tz \cdots)$ $T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e^k & \bar{e}^k \\ e^k & \bar{e}^k \end{pmatrix}$ $T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} e^k & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-k} \end{pmatrix}$

 $\frac{1}{2} = \left[T_1 \left(T_1 T_2 \right)^M \right] = \left[T_1 \left(T_2 \right)^M \right] = \left[T_1 \left(T_1 T_2 \right)^M \right] = \left[T_1 \left(T_1 T_2 \right)^M \right] = \left[T_1 \left(T_2 \right)^M \right] = \left[T_1 \left(T_1 T_2 \right)^M \right]$

Then $E_{1,2} = \begin{cases} 2 \cosh(k) & \text{And eigenstates is les}, 1 \Rightarrow \\ 2 \frac{\sin(k)}{2 \sin(k)} & \text{And eigenstates is les}, 1 \Rightarrow \end{cases}$ $C(\ell-\ell') = \langle \sigma_{\ell}^{2} \sigma_{\ell}^{2} \rangle = \frac{E_{1}^{M-\ell'+\ell} E_{2}^{\ell'-\ell} + E_{2}^{M-\ell'+\ell}}{E_{1}^{M} + E_{2}^{M}}$ $\frac{M_{C} \rightarrow \infty}{m_{C} \rightarrow \infty} \text{ (tanh (k))}^{\ell'-\ell}$

This give rise to $\frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{a} \ln \cosh(k)$, we'd like to consider the physics in the scale of 3, in the regime of large k

The scaling limit

Two ways to divide length into large/small

Rexamine the G., 2, 7, (CC), using correlation length

Assertion of universality: The results of the scaling

limit are not sensitive to the precise microscopic model

i'llustrate

Introducing second neighbor hopping

Concept: universal scaling function

(a: As the example of second happing

K., K. will both emerge in the description

of 3. Why 3 can have the same behavior of

F and correlation

. Mapping between quantum Ising Model

and the classical Ising Model

classical model → single Ising spin

2. → Tr exp(-fla/T) fla = Eo-2 fx - û f8

. Notice that a serve as the role of imaginary time

Comment: fla is the scaling theory of fl

Length → Time

quantum model \rightarrow classical model (d=1 D=2) · Reverse the former approach