

Slater condition (Supplementary for LP).

Consider the following convex problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi. \quad & \min f(x) \\ & \text{s.t. } Ax=b, g_i(x) \leq 0, i=1 \dots m. \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } D = \text{dom } f \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^m \text{dom } g_i \right) \quad (\text{Recall } \text{dom } f = \{x \mid f(x) < +\infty\})$$

Then if there exists $x \in \text{rel}(D)$, s.t. $Ax=b, g_i(x) < 0, i=1 \dots m$.
The strong duality holds.

Pf: W.L.O.G assume A has full row rank. and ϕ 's optimal value is finite, denoted by p^* . and replace $\text{rel}(D)$ by $\text{int}(D)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consider } A &= \{(u, v, t) \mid x \in D, f(x) \leq t, Ax-b=v, g_i(x) \leq u_i, i=1 \dots m\} \\ B &= \{(0, 0, s) \mid s \leq p^*\} \end{aligned}$$

Then $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Since the problem is convex, A is convex.
Notice that B is convex as well.

$$\Rightarrow \exists (\lambda, \mu, \kappa) \neq 0, \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} \lambda^T u + \mu^T v + \kappa t \geq \alpha, \forall (u, v, t) \in A, (\exists \alpha) \\ \kappa s \leq \alpha, \forall (0, 0, s) \in B \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \kappa p^* \leq \alpha, \lambda \geq 0, \kappa \geq 0 \quad (\text{letting } t \rightarrow +\infty \text{ or } u_i \rightarrow +\infty?)$$

For any $x \in D$, let $u_i = g_i(x)$, $v = Ax-b$, $t = f(x)$, we get:

$$\lambda^T g(x) + \mu^T (Ax-b) + \kappa f(x) \geq \alpha \geq \kappa p^*$$

If $K \neq 0$, we get $(\frac{\lambda}{K})^T \vec{g}(x) + (\frac{\mu}{K})^T (Ax-b) + f(x) \geq p^*$ for all $x \in D$.

Hence, $\inf_{x \in D} f(x) + (\frac{\lambda}{K})^T \vec{g}(x) + (\frac{\mu}{K})^T (Ax-b) \geq p^* \Rightarrow$ We get what we want.

If $K=0$, then $\lambda^T \vec{g}(x) + \mu^T (Ax-b) \geq 0$.

Since $\exists x_s$ s.t. $\vec{g}(x) < 0$, we have: $\lambda = 0$ (Recall $\lambda \geq 0$)

Hence, $\mu^T (Ax-b) \geq 0$ for all $x \in D$. Here $\mu \neq 0$ since $(\lambda, \mu, K) \neq 0$.

$\Rightarrow \mu^T A(x-x_s) \geq 0$ for all $x \in D$.

take $x = x_s \pm \varepsilon A^T \mu$ is enough for leading contradiction for small enough ε . (A is of full row rank: AA^T is positive definite)

□

(Rank: Why we assume $\text{int}(D)$?)

Notice that $\text{aff}(D) = x_s + \text{linear vector space } Q$. we can rewrite our problem as

$$\min f(x_s + x - x_s)$$

$$\text{s.t. } A(x-x_s) = 0, g_i(x_s + x - x_s) \leq 0, x \in D.$$

and then use Q 's basis to parametrize $x - x_s$ with $\dim(Q)$ parameters and then 0 satisfies Slater condition...

Rank: for affine g_i , Slater condition can take equality for g_i , i.e. $g_i(x) = 0$. And the strong duality still holds.

And an important observation is that: When p^* is finite (In fact, we just need the dual problem's optimal value $> -\infty$), the dual optimal value is obtained if Slater condition holds.

Now, consider

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(LP)} & \min c^T x \\ & \text{s.t. } Ax \leq b \end{array}$$

↓ Dual

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(DLP)} & \max -\lambda^T b \Leftrightarrow \min \lambda^T b \\ & \text{s.t. } \lambda \geq 0, A^T \lambda + c = 0 \end{array}$$

↓ Dual.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(DDL P)} & \min c^T x \\ & \text{s.t. } Ax \leq b \end{array}$$

If LP is feasible and bounded, we have its optimal value $p^* > -\infty$. Hence, the dual optimal value d^* is attained at some λ^* with $d^* = p^*$. Repeat this, DDL P achieves p^* at some feasible x^* .

