## Ratio 3 and Ratio 4

Richard Adhika

## 1. Introduction

Since in  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , conjugacy is the same as equality of characteristic polynomials, saying that M' has the same trace and determinant as M is equivalent to saying that there exists  $\gamma \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  such that  $M' = \gamma^{-1}M\gamma$ , or  $\gamma M' = M\gamma$ . Now, in the latter equality we can clear denominators, and think of  $\gamma$  as a matrix in  $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . This, however, creates a problem: if we just want such a  $\gamma$  from  $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , it could make us lose information: for example if we take  $\gamma = 0$ , any M and M' would satisfy this condition. So we introduce a notion of 'approximate e-conjugacy': we say that M is approximately k-conjugate to M' if  $\gamma M \equiv M\gamma \mod p^k$ . To resolve the issue of losing information, we want to restrict  $\gamma$  to having  $v_p(\det(\gamma)) \leq e$  for some  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ , which defines how invertible  $\gamma$  is.

With this, given a matrix  $M' \in \mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  having trace t and determinant D, we are interested in counting the number of  $M \in \mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$  satisfying the following,

- M is  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  conjugate to M' (note that this is exactly the numerator condition of ratio 1), and
- there exists  $\gamma \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $M\gamma \equiv \gamma M' \mod p^k$  with  $v_p(\det(\gamma)) \leq e$ .

we are interested in the following ratio

$$\eta_{p,k,e}(t,D) = \frac{\#\{M \in \mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \mid M \text{ satisfies } (1) \text{ and } (2)\}\}}{p^{2n-2}(p^2-1)}.$$

The conjecture is that the addition of the approximate conjugacy constraint does not affect the ratio (give the same number as the first ratio) if  $n \gg k$  and that the minimum e is given by  $v_p(t^2 - 4D)$ .

## 2. Ratio 3

We first look more closely at condition (2) to come up with an efficient code (rather than the obvious brute force that takes way too long). Let

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_0 & m_1 \\ m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & b_1 \\ b_2 & b_3 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } M' = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}.$$

We then want to solve for B in the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_0 b_0 + m_1 b_2 & m_0 b_1 + m_1 b_3 \\ m_2 b_0 + m_3 b_2 & m_2 b_1 + m_3 b_3 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_0 x & b_1 y \\ b_2 x & b_3 y \end{pmatrix} \bmod p^k$$

$$\iff \begin{pmatrix} (m_0 - x)b_0 + m_1 b_2 & (m_0 - y)b_1 + m_1 b_3 \\ m_2 b_0 + (m_3 - x)b_2 & b_1 + (m_3 - y)b_3 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0 \bmod p^k.$$

From this, we have two systems of linear (modular) equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_0 - x & m_1 \\ m_2 & m_3 - x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0 \bmod p^k$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_0 - y & m_1 \\ m_2 & m_3 - y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0 \bmod p^k.$$

Let the two matrices above be  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively, that is

$$M_1 \equiv M - xI \mod p^k$$
,  $M_2 \equiv M - yI \mod p^k$ .

We then want to find the kernel for  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . Previously, we used the built-in function right\_kernel() with respect to the integer ring of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k$ , but it is faster if we solve it manually. We will call this function find\_kernel. Suppose we want to find the kernel of  $M_1$ . The idea is that if one of the entries of  $M_1$  is not 0, we can simplify two modular equations into one modular equation in one variable. Of all the non-zero entries, we will consider the one with the smallest p-valuation. For example, assume  $m_1 \neq 0$  with  $m_1 = zp^v$  and v < k have the minimum p-valuation between all the entries in  $M_1$  (note that v can as well be 0). Then, we have

$$m_1 b_2 \equiv b_0 (x - m_0) \bmod p^k \iff z b_2 \equiv b_0 \frac{x - m_0}{p^v} \bmod p^{k-v}$$

so that

$$b_2 \equiv b_0 \frac{x - m_0}{p^v} z^{-1} \mod p^{k-v}.$$

We can also divide both sides of the other equation by  $p^{v}$ ,

$$\frac{m_2}{p^v}b_0 \equiv b_2 \frac{x - m_3}{p^v} \bmod p^{k-v} \iff \frac{m_2}{p^v}b_0 \equiv b_0 \frac{x - m_0}{p^v} z^{-1} \frac{x - m_3}{p^v} \bmod p^{k-v}$$

from which we obtain  $b_0$  that depends on the p-valuation of

$$\frac{x - m_0}{p^v} z^{-1} \frac{x - m_3}{p^v} - \frac{m_2}{p^v}.$$

After getting  $b_0$ , we can also deduce  $b_2$  from the first equation. Furthermore, the vector  $(0, p^{k-v})$  is one solution (is trivial when v = 0 and may intersect with the solution obtained above), so we include it in the final result of the function. We do similar things if the smallest non-infinity p-valuation is due to the other entries. If all of the entries are zero, we just return the vectors (1,0) and (0,1).

Let M be a matrix satisfying (1). We then use find\_kernel to find the basis kernel of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . We then construct the matrix B from these kernel by trying different combinations of the vectors and lifting them up to mod  $p^n$ , implemented in the function exists\_conj. We do this in two steps:

- 1. For every basis vector  $\begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix}$  obtained above, if  $v_p(b_3b_0-b_2b_1) \leq e$ , we immediately obtain the conjugacy matrix. Otherwise, we proceed to the second step. Note that there is no need to multiply any of the vectors by a constant as it does not affect the p-valuation of the determinant. Implemented in the function called first\_check.
- 2. Applies if  $k \leq e$ . We proceed as in step 1 but we try lifting the entries by adding  $p^k$  to one or more of  $b_0, b_1, b_2$ , or  $b_3$  to try to reduce the p-valuation of the determinant. Implemented in the function called second\_check.

Let  $\begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} b'_0 \\ b'_2 \end{pmatrix}$  (if exists) be the kernel basis of  $M_1$ . Similarly, let  $\begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} b'_1 \\ b'_3 \end{pmatrix}$  (if exists) be the kernel basis of  $M_2$ . Then, there is no need to check the determinant for the matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} ib_0 + jb'_0 & mb_1 + nb'_1 \\ ib_2 + jb'_2 & mb_3 + nb'_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some integers i, j, m, n since it has determinant of

$$im(b_0b_3 - b_1b_2) + jm(b'_0b_3 - b_1b'_2) + in(b_0b'_3 - b'_1b_2) + jn(b'_0b'_3 - b'_1b'_2).$$

This is the linear combination of determinants from the matrices in step 1 so its p-valuation has already been considered.

Another thing to note is that both  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are guaranteed to have non-trivial kernel (with respect to modulo  $p^k$ ). This is because  $M_1$  has determinant of

$$m_0 m_3 - m_1 m_2 - x(m_0 + m_3 - x) = m_0 m_3 - m_1 m_2 - xy \equiv 0 \mod p^k$$

Similarly, we have  $det(M_2) \equiv 0 \mod p^k$ . Hence, these two matrices have non-trivial kernel by the relationship of determinant and kernel in the sense of linear algebra.

We then move on to the function build\_matrices\_kernel. The main task of this function is to generate all the matrices satisfying condition (1), reduce them to modulo  $p^k$  and then count the occurrence of each of the reduced matrix. In other words, this is the optimized version of the previous function that only generate all the matrices satisfying (1) by preventing us from computing the kernel of a single matrix multiple times, as well as generating repeated matrices. Let result be a dictionary, whose key are matrices with entries in modulo  $p^k$  (represented as tuples), each storing the number of the corresponding count in modulo  $p^n$  satisfying (1). Furthermore, let count\_dict(dict, key, num) be a function that increments the count of the specified key by the specified number and create one if the key does not exist yet.

Note that for a fixed a and for  $b \in [0, p^k - 1]$  with  $p \nmid b$ , there is exactly one reduced solution with the second entry b for any  $k \leq n$ . Assume that we already have the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $p \nmid a_2$  and  $a_2 < p^k$ . Consider a new matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ b_3 & b_4 \end{pmatrix}$ . First, let  $b_1 = a_1$  (so  $b_4 = a_4$ ) and rhs  $= a_1a_4 - D$ . Then,  $a_2a_3 \equiv \text{rhs mod } p^n$  has a unique solution  $a_3 \equiv \text{rhs } \cdot a_2^{-1} \mod p^n$ , so that if  $b_2 \equiv a_2 \mod p^k$ , we have

$$b_3 \equiv \operatorname{rhs} \cdot b_2^{-1} \bmod p^n \equiv \operatorname{rhs} \cdot b_2^{-1} \bmod p^k \equiv \operatorname{rhs} \cdot a_2^{-1} \bmod p^k \equiv a_3 \bmod p^k.$$

This means that if  $p \nmid b$ , we only need to consider  $b \in [0, p^k - 1]$  and then add the counter by  $p^{n-k}$  instead. Now, let  $b_2 = a_2$  so that if  $b_1 \equiv a_1 \mod p^k$ , we have

$$b_4 \equiv t - b_1 \equiv t - a_1 \equiv a_4 \bmod p^k$$

and

$$b_3 \equiv (b_1b_4 - D)b_2^{-1} \mod p^n \equiv (b_1b_4 - D)a_2^{-1} \mod p^k \equiv (a_1a_4 - D)a_2^{-1} \mod p^k \equiv a_3 \mod p^k$$
.

Combined with the previous observation, we only need to consider  $a, b \in [0, p^k - 1]$  when  $p \nmid b$ , and then add the counter by  $p^{2(n-k)}$ . This can be seen in line 6-9.

Now we examine the case when p|b. If rhs  $\neq 0$ , we still do what we did in ratio1, that is, first consider the p-valuation of rhs (let it be pval), and then consider those b with p-valuation i starting from 1 up to pval. We have

$$c \equiv \frac{\text{rhs}}{p^i} j^{-1} \bmod p^{n-i}$$

where  $b = p^i j$  with  $p \nmid j$ . Hence, we only need to consider j from 1 to  $p^k - 1$  (if  $n - i \geq k$ ). Then, if  $n - i \geq k$  (meaning there is only one solution c when reduced), for a fixed (a, b, c, d), we have  $p^{n-i-k}$  repeated matrices from simplification of looping  $j \in [0, p^{n-i} - 1]$  to  $j \in [0, p^k - 1]$  and  $p^i$  repeated matrices from the equation of c as we don't need to loop for c anymore. So in this case, we add  $p^{n-k}$  to the count of this corresponding tuple. If n - i < k, then we need to consider all  $j \in [0, p^{n-i} - 1]$ , as well as looping c based on the solution to the equation, but only until  $p^k$  (originally up to  $p^n$ ). Hence, we add  $p^{n-k}$  to the count as well just from the simplification of looping c. This can be seen in line 12-19.

Now, assume that rhs = 0. For b = 0, we only loop through  $c \in [0, p^k - 1]$  and then add  $p^{n-k}$  to the count. Note that c only depends on the p-valuation of b. So first, we consider b with p-valuation  $1 \le \text{pval} < k$ . We will only loop  $b \in [0, p^k - 1]$  since if  $b' \equiv b \mod p^k$ , then the set of reduced solution with the second entry b' will be the same as b. If  $n-\text{pval} \ge k$ , then c will always be 0 (after reduced) with  $p^{\text{pval}}$  of them. Since there are  $p^{n-k}$  b' with  $b' \equiv b \mod p^k$ , we add  $p^{\text{pval}+n-k}$  to the corresponding count. Otherwise, if n-pval < k, then we will loop  $c \in [0, p^k - 1]$  with step size  $p^{n-\text{pval}}$  with count of  $p^{n-k}$ . The argument for b is the exact same, so we add  $p^{2(n-k)}$  to the corresponding count.

Then, we consider b with p-valuation pval  $\geq k$  (when reduced, will give 0). We also count in a similar way as before, For  $b \in [0, p^n - 1]$ , there are  $p^{n-\text{pval}} - p^{n-\text{pval}-1}$  b with  $v_p(b) = \text{pval}$ . Since we are considering all b with the same p-valuation at once, we will add  $p^{\text{pval}}(p^{n-\text{pval}} - p^{n-\text{pval}-1})$  if  $n - \text{pval} \geq k$  and add  $p^{n-k}(p^{n-\text{pval}} - p^{n-\text{pval}-1})$  otherwise (the difference in the first term is due to c as in the previous paragraph). This whole procedure can be seen in line 21-33.

After getting the matrix-count pair in the dictionary result using build\_matrices\_kernel, we then use exists\_conj to check condition (2), and if it does, we just add the corresponding count to our final result. This part can be found in the function count\_matrices3 which sums up the whole procedure for counting ratio3.