# **Legal Profession**

# Chapter 6 Richard Dooling

# **Contents**

M&F 4th Chapter 6				1
Notes, Slide Text, Optional Readings				1
Confidentiality				3
Confidentiality and Prospective Clients				3
Dead Clients & Confidentiality				4
Confidentiality				4
Exception for "generally known" information?				5
Metadata & Misdirected Information				5
Confidentiality & Encryption				7
Attorney-Client Privilege				8
Who are "privileged persons" protected by the privilege?				8
Who may claim the privilege?				9
Work Product Doctrine				9
In Sum!				9
Recommended Reading & Viewing				10

# M&F 4th Chapter 6

Notes, Slide Text, Optional Readings

Confidentiality

#### **ABA Model Rules**

- Model Rule 1.4: Communication
- Model Rule 1.6: Confidentiality

- Nebraska Rule of Professional Conduct 1.6: Confidentiality
- Model Rule 1.8(b): Conflicts: Specific Rules
- Model Rule 1.13: "Organization as Client"
- Model Rule 4.4: Respect for Rights of Third Persons

#### Restatement of the Law Governing Lawyers

- Section 59: Definition of Confidential Information
- Section 60: Lawyers Duty to Safeguard Confidential Information

#### Rule 1.6 - Confidentiality

Note the imperative language here.

What kind of information is confidential under Model Rule 1.6?

Think big and broad.

Some lawyers think the duty of confidentiality means a duty to keep client confidences secret. Period. It means don't disclose privileged information and don't blab about anything the client asked the lawyer to keep secret. These lawyers confuse Model Rule 1.6 (an ETHICAL rule) with the attorney-client privilege (a rule of EVIDENCE), which by comparison IS small and narrow, because it applies only when a court or an adverse party is trying to compel you or your client to testify about "a privileged communication made in confidence to obtain or provide legal assistance for the client."

Or worse, other lawyers confuse Rule 1.6 (an *ethical* rule) with the attorney work product doctrine (a *discovery* rule, Rule 26(b)(3) in the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure. Somebody wants documents or other tangible things. The lawyer objects: "NO. That's work product."

The attorney-client privilege and the work product doctrine are both narrow specific defensive tactics the lawyer asserts in proceedings connected with litigation. It is a mistake to think of them as similar to the comparatively huge ethical duty of confidentiality. Lawyers must keep client information confidential whether either of lawyer or client ever sets foot in a courtroom.

The ethical duty of confidentiality embraces: ALL information relating to the representation, WHATEVER ITS SOURCE.

Did I make that up?

No. Straight from Rule 1.6 Comment 3, found in BOTH the Nebraska and the ABA Model Rules.

"The confidentiality rule applies NOT ONLY TO MATTERS COMMUNICATED IN CONFIDENCE but also to ALL INFORMATION relating to the representation WHATEVER ITS SOURCE!"

The client's brother mentions to the lawyer that the client once did time in prison, the client was married twice before, or the client is deeply in debt. CONFIDENTIAL!

Other people may know these things about the lawyer's client. There may be public records, court filings, or old news items about the client's personal or business affairs, but if the lawyer learned about these matters during representation, the information is confidential.

YOU SHOULD NOT BE THE SOURCE OF INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR CLIENT THAT YOUR CLIENT MIGHT CONSIDER CONFIDENTIAL.

# Confidentiality

Clients hate it when lawyers blab. If that is hard for any lawyer to understand, then the lawyer should imagine his infectious disease doctor at a crowded party, where the doctor overhears someone mention the lawyer's name. The doctor joins the conversation and tells everyone that she knows the lawyer quite well, because the lawyer is one of her patients.

"And what kind of doctor are you again?" someone asks.

"I'm an infectious disease specialist."

It's the same with you and your client's information. In many settings, just knowing that your client needs the services of a divorce lawyer, a criminal lawyer, or a water rights lawyer is enough to violate this duty.

Model Rule 1.6's prohibits revealing "information relating to the representation of a client," and it makes no exception for information that has been previously disclosed or is publicly available. The lawyer's ethical duty of confidentiality applies even to [prospective clients][aba1.18] even when no client relationship ensues.

#### **Confidentiality and Prospective Clients**

[Rule 1.18][aba1.18] Duties To Prospective Client

• A person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client.

• Even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned in the consultation

#### **Dead Clients & Confidentiality**

And if the United States Supreme Court's opinion in *Swidler v. Berlin* is any indication, Rule 1.6 protects confidential information even after the death of the client.

# Confidentiality

Confidentiality? Leave it to lawyers to come up with a seven-syllable abstraction for trust and loyalty. Client's pay good money for both, and they expect good judgment and absolute discretion about their legal and business affairs. Patients don't like it when their doctors blab to others about their diseases. And clients don't like it when their lawyers blab to others (including other lawyers) about their client's business and legal affairs.

Many lawyers assume that the duty of confidentiality applies only to information the client shares in confidence. The client says, "Don't tell anybody, but here's what really happened." The duty of confidentiality is much broader. The Model Rules put it this way:

The confidentiality rule, for example, applies not only to matters communicated in confidence by the client but also to all information relating to the representation, whatever its source. A lawyer may not disclose such information except as authorized or required by the Rules of Professional Conduct or other law. Model Rule 1.6 Comment 3.

Confidentiality is a 24/7 open all night BROAD duty to keep your mouth shut about your client affairs. Other duties to keep secrets are much narrower:

The attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine apply in judicial and other proceedings in which a lawyer may be called as a witness or otherwise required to produce evidence concerning a client.

The principle of client-lawyer confidentiality is given effect by related bodies of law: the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine and the rule of confidentiality established in professional ethics.

The rule of client-lawyer confidentiality applies in situations other than those where evidence is sought from the lawyer through compulsion of law.

• Model Rule 1.6 Comment 3.

#### Exception for "generally known" information?

Many lawyers think that client information is NOT confidential if it is part of a public record somewhere. The Model Rules contain NO such exception.

The RLGL §59 does contain such an exception, but it's quite narrow:

d. Generally known information. Confidential client information does not include information that is generally known ... At the same time, the fact that information has become known to some others does not deprive it of protection if it has not become generally known in the relevant sector of the public.

Whether information is generally known depends on all circumstances relevant in obtaining the information. Information contained in books or records in public libraries, public-record depositaries such as government offices, or in publicly accessible electronic-data storage is generally known if the particular information is obtainable through publicly available indexes and similar methods of access. Information is not generally known when a person interested in knowing the information could obtain it only by means of special knowledge or substantial difficulty or expense. Special knowledge includes information about the whereabouts or identity of a person or other source from which the information can be acquired, if those facts are not themselves generally known.

- So even under RLGL \\$59: KNOWN ≠ GENERALLY KNOWN.
- Just because some others may know about client information, or a record of it exists somewhere, does NOT mean the information is "generally known."
- When in doubt, KEEP YOUR MOUTH SHUT.
- If you feel the need to disclose confidential client information, consult the Rule 1.6 confidentiality exceptions that apply in your jurisdiction, then get some good advice about how to proceed.

#### Metadata & Misdirected Information

The ABA's Legal Technology Resource Center ("LTRC") has collected ethics opinions on metadata from around the US.

The LTRC defines metadata this way:

Metadata is loosely defined as "data about data." More specifically, the term refers to the embedded stratum of data in electronics file that may include such information as who authored a document, when it was created, what software was used, any comments embedded within the content, and even a record of changes made to the document.

While metadata is often harmless, it can potentially include sensitive, confidential, or privileged information. As such, it presents a serious concern for attorneys charged with maintaining confidentiality – both their own and their clients. Professional responsibility committees at several bar associations around the country have weighed in on attorneys' ethical responsibilities regarding metadata, but the opinions vary significantly.

#### Under Model Rule 4.4(b) (Respect For Rights Of Third Persons):

A lawyer who receives a document or electronically stored information relating to the representation of the lawyer's client and knows or reasonably should know that the document or electronically stored information was inadvertently sent shall promptly notify the sender.

For many years, lawyers did not know whether by its terms Rule 4.4 applied to metadata contained in documents sent by attorneys. Now Ethics 20/20 updates to Rule 4.4 specifically reference metadata:

For purposes of this Rule, "document or electronically stored information" includes, in addition to paper documents, email and other forms of electronically stored information, including embedded data (commonly referred to as "metadata"), that is subject to being read or put into readable form. Metadata in electronic documents creates an obligation under this Rule only if the receiving lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the metadata was inadvertently sent to the receiving lawyer.

Clear as mud that one. Are we supposed to call the other side and ask: "Was the metadata you sent with your documents advertently or inadvertently sent?"

#### Metadata Ethics Opinions Around the U.S.

Each jurisdiction is addressing the issue in turn, so the LTRC ("LTRC") has collected ethics opinions on metadata from around the US. and even made a map and nice table giving easy access to state bar opinions on the subject.

#### Confidentiality & Encryption

Lawyers May Need to Encrypt E-Mail In Especially Risky or Sensitive Scenarios

Having read reports about email accounts being hacked and the National Security Agency obtaining email communications without a search warrant, [inquiring] lawyers are concerned about whether it is proper for them to continue using email to communicate confidential information.

The panel said that although it "has not addressed the propriety of communicating confidential information by email, many other ethics committees have [concluded that] except in special circumstances, the use of email, including unencrypted email, is a proper method of communicating confidential information."

The committee agreed with those authorities.

"Considering the present state of technology and email usage, a lawyer may generally communicate confidential information by email," it said. "Some circumstances, may, however, cause a lawyer to have a duty to advise a client regarding risks incident to the sending or receiving of emails arising from those circumstances and to consider whether it is prudent to use encrypted email or another form of communication."

As for what circumstances may justify a departure from the general rule, the committee said lawyers should consider using encryption when:

- communicating about "highly sensitive or confidential" matters;
- "sending an email to or from an account that the email sender or recipient shares with others";
- "sending an email to a client when it is possible that a third person (such as a spouse in a divorce case) knows the password to the email account, or to an individual client at that client's work email account, especially if the email relates to [an] employment dispute";
- "sending an email from a public computer or a borrowed computer or where the lawyer knows that the emails the lawyer sends are being read on a public or borrowed computer or on an unsecure network";
- "sending an email if the lawyer knows that the email recipient is accessing the email on devices that are potentially accessible to third persons or are not protected by a password"; or
- "sending an email if the lawyer is concerned that the NSA or other law enforcement agency may read the communication, with or without a warrant."

Bloomberg BNA on Confidentiality: Lawyers May Need to Encrypt E-Mail In Especially Risky or Sensitive Scenarios.

The Professional Ethics Committee For The State Bar Of Texas Opinion No. 648 April 2015.

### JPMorgan's Lawyers Interview Employees About Madoff Fraud

• The Hurdles in Getting Past a Wall of Silence

After Mr. Madoff's Ponzi scheme collapsed in December 2008, JPMorgan's lawyers conducted an internal investigation of how he had used the bank to further his scheme. In 2012, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the bank's primary regulator, started its own investigation of possible wrongdoing related to his accounts.

Unless JPMorgan was willing to waive confidentiality, the only way to obtain the materials was to show that the clients — JPMorgan employees — sought legal advice to further a crime or fraud. Known as the crime-fraud exception, it allows a court to compel a lawyer to produce information about a client that would otherwise not be available.

## **Attorney-Client Privilege**

This is a NARROW evidentiary rule compared to the BROAD ethical duty of confidentially. Wikipedia does a good job with a SHORT fair summary of the privilege and its exceptions.

#### What communications are protected by the attorney-client privilege?

- A communication
- Made in confidence
- To obtain or provide legal assistance for client.

Client can't be compelled to answer the question: What did you say or write to the attorney? But client may be forced to testify about relevant facts, even if client discussed facts with attorney.

#### Who are "privileged persons" protected by the privilege?

- Lawyer
  - agents
  - representatives
- Client (includes prospective clients)

- agents
- representatives

#### Who may claim the privilege?

- Lawyer (on client's behalf)
- Client
  - guardian
  - conservator
- Deceased Client
  - personal representative
- Corporation, Association, Organization
  - successor
  - trustee
  - similar representative

#### **Work Product Doctrine**

The work-product doctrine protects materials prepared in anticipation of litigation from discovery by opposing counsel.

#### Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(3)

- Documents and Tangible Things.
- Ordinarily, a party may not discover documents and tangible things that are
  prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial by or for another party or its
  representative ...

#### Ordinary vs. Opinon Work Product

- *Hickman v. Taylor* "ordinary work" work product may be obtained in discovery upon a showing of "substantial need."
- RLGL §89: "Opinion work product is immune from discovery ... unless ... extraordinary circumstances justify disclosure.

#### In Sum!

- Attorney-client privilege
  - (the law of evidence);
- Work product immunity

- (the law of civil procedure and discovery rules);
- Professional duty of confidentiality
  - (the law of agency: trustees, agents, and other fiduciaries).

#### Recommended Reading & Viewing

- JK Rowling's lawyer opens big mouth to reveal that she is the author of a pseudonymous book.
- Jointly Represented Clients Can't Stop Another From Seeing What Lawyer Told Them (A client suing a former lawyer for malpractice is entitled to discover communications between the lawyer and jointly represented co-clients who chose not to sue).
- John T. Noonan, Jr., *The Lawyer Who Overindentifies With His Client*, 76 Notre Dame L. Rev. 827 (2001).

## Lawyer To The Client, Not The Situation

As Judge Noonan puts it: We are lawyers to clients, not lawyers to the situation.

At the heart of the situation is the lawyer's desire to abstract himself from the needs and pressures of a particular individual in order to go on and straighten out a mess. In some other world, law could be practiced in that fashion. It is not the way law has been generally practiced in ours.

• John T. Noonan, Jr., *The Lawyer Who Overindentifies With His Client*, 76 Notre Dame L. Rev. 827 (2001).